

No. 7. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th December 1872, No. 2,386 :—

Read the following letter from J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 25th November 1872, No. 243 :—

I HAVE the honour to solicit the advice of the Board on the following subject.

2. The Sub-Magistracies of Trichinopoly Town and Manaparei are both 1st class, whilst that of *Lalgudi* is only 2nd class. But the Sub-Magistrates of Manaparei and Lalgudi are also Sub-Registrars of Assurances, and as such draw respectively about Rupees 13 and Rupees 60 per mensem in addition to their substantive salaries; so that of the three appointments Lalgudi is the best in a pecuniary point of view, Manaparei the next best, and the Town of Trichinopoly infinitely the worst.

3. Now Manaparei is a place of very little importance, and the work is very light, the cases averaging 150 for the last three years, and the appeals from Sub-Magistrate's decisions 2 per cent.

4. The Town and Lalgudi on the contrary are both very important charges, the average number of cases being 844 and 222 respectively.

5. I have just been compelled to suspend the Sub-Magistrate of Manaparei, and he is not likely to be reinstated; so that the present is a favourable opportunity for reducing the appointment to the 2nd class, *Lalgudi* being at the same time raised to the 1st class. I could then appoint Muttukumarasami Pillai (a deserving officer) to the Sub-Magistracy of Lalgudi without appearing to degrade him, and so give him a little extra pay. I have already removed Srinivasa Aiyangar from Lalgudi where he has been too long, and has secured a very evil notoriety, and the post is at present vacant.

6. If the Board consider these proposals reasonable, I should be much obliged by their submitting them for the favourable consideration of Government.

SUBMITTED for the favourable consideration of Government.

2. Manaparei was classed above Lalgudi because its population was much greater when Government Order, 16th November 1860, No. 2,160, was passed. The Collector gives no statistics as to the importance of the two divisions, but shows that the Sub-Magistrate's work at Lalgudi is far harder than at Manaparei.

No. 8. ORDER THEREON, 6th January 1873, No. 4.

Miscellaneous.

THESE proposals are sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

27th Nov/60 No 288-87.

Revenue 1872. *Overpart*
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

N. 4040.

PROCEEDINGS, 14TH DECEMBER 1872, No. 2,386.

Sup. 18 Dec 72
Encl.

Received *192*

DECEMBER.
No. 2,386.

Sub-Magistracies—of Lalgudi and Manapare in Trichinopoly District.—Collector's proposal to raise former Sub-Magistracy from 2nd to 1st class and to reduce latter from 1st to 2nd class, submitted to Government for favourable consideration.

These proposals are sanctioned

W. L. 10/11
11/12 5/19
W. L. 10/11

14 Board of Revenue 7 Jan 73
6 Dec 1872

No 7-8

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th January 1873.*

No. 27. Read the following despatch from the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India, (Revenue,) to His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor in Council, Fort St. George, dated India Office, London, 21st November 1872, No. 31 :—

THE despatch of your Excellency in Council, dated 30th September, No. 29 of 1872, transmitting a memorial from Kandoor Narasimha Charlu, formerly a Tahsildar in the district of North Arcot, in which he prays for restoration to the appointment from which he has been dismissed, has been considered by me in Council.

2. In reply I desire that the Memorialist may be informed that he has been very properly dismissed, and that I will not interfere in his favour.

3. I desire, however, to be informed whether there is any foundation for the assertion of Narasimha that the repairs of the irrigation works from which the lands should be watered have been for a length of time neglected.

No. 28. [ORDER THEREON, dated 8th January 1873, No. 14.]

Miscellaneous.

FORWARDED to the Board of Revenue for communication of the decision of the Secretary of State in paragraph 2 to the Memorialist, and for report on the point adverted to in paragraph 3.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with copy of Despatch to Secretary of State,
30th September 1872, No. 29, Miscellaneous, and memorial of
K. Narasimha Charlu, the latter to be returned with the reply to this G. O.

2246



India Office

London, 21st November 1872

Revenue
N^o 31.

My Lord,

1. The despatch of your
Excellency in Council dated 30th
September N^o 29 of 1872

transmitting a Memorial from
Handoor Narasimha Charlu
formerly a Tahsildar in the
district of North Arcot, in which
he prays for restoration to the
appointment from which he has
been dismissed, has been
considered by me in Council.

2. In reply I desire that the
Memorialist may be informed
that he has been very properly
dismissed and that I will not
interfere in his favour.

3. I desire, however, to be informed
whether there is any foundation for
the assertion of Narasimha that
the

His Excellency
The Right Honorable
The Governor in Council
Fort Saint George.

the repairs of the irrigation works
from which the lands should
be watered have been for a
length of time neglected.

I have the honor to be

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient

humble servant



N. 19

Revenue

21st Nov. 1872

The

Letter to Fort St. George

N. 31.

Received 15th Dec. 1872—

Via

Brindisi & Bombay.

Declining to interfere in the case of ^{the} Memorialist Narasimha Chakr, a dismissed Tahsildar of North Arcot, & desiring to be informed if there is any foundation for his assertion that the repairs of irrigation works have been for a long time neglected.

S.O. No 14 - 8th Jan'y 1873

Don

Desp from Secy of State

No 31 of 1872

N. 14

Forwarded to the Board of Rev: for communication of the decision of the Secy of State in para 2 to the memorialist, and for report on the point adverted to in para 3.

14. Board of Rev: with copy of

Despatch to Secy of State

30th Sept 1872 No 29. Miscellaneous

and Memorial of N. Narasimha

Chakr, the latter to be returned with the reply to this S. O.

8th Jan'y 73

Des 10 Jan'y 73

N. 27-28

Go
In Dep. for Secy of State
No 31 of 1872

[Faint, illegible handwriting]

[Faint, illegible handwriting]