

submitted by the Director of Revenue

THE Board do not consider that the Collector's estimate for 1873-74 is excessive.

2. The increase over the sanctioned grant for 1872-73 consists of the following items:—

	RS.
(1.) Salary and allowances of the additional Sub-Collector appointed by Government for the despatch of current duties while Mr. Puckle is engaged on the Settlement ...	13,983
(2.) Salary and travelling allowance of the Special Assistant Venkata Charri placed under Mr. Puckle under Board's Proceedings dated 17th July 1872, No. 1,342, recorded in G. O. dated 6th September 1872, No. 1,289 ...	8,300
(3.) Preparing, printing, and binding of the Settlement Registers ...	10,450
(4.) Contingencies, etc ...	1,159
Total...	33,892

3. Mr. Puckle evidently considers that the services of the additional Sub-Collector and Special Assistant will be required for the whole year, and consequently no reduction can be made in the Items 1 and 2.

4. The provision made for the cost of preparing and printing Settlement Registers (Item 3) is moderate, but it appears as an increase, as no provision was made on this account in 1872-73 in consequence of there having been no necessity for the same.

5. The increase under Item 4 is small and calls for no remark. The Collector does not give any explanation.

No. 19.

ORDER THEREON, 4th February 1873, No. 142.

Land Revenue.

UNDER the explanation now given the provision of Rs. 55,000 made in the Budget for 1873-74 for the Settlement

Establishment in Tinnevely, agreeably to the order of Government dated 4th January 1873, No. 1, is confirmed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
,, the Board of Revenue.
,, the Director of Revenue Settlement.
,, the Financial Department.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 29th January 1873.

Read Letter from Proceedings of the Extraordinary Government Revenue Department, dated 17th December 1872, No. 1685, reversing Revenue Settlement Budget Estimate for 1873-74, submitted by the Director of Revenue Settlement.

The Board do not consider that the Collector's Estimate for 1873-74, is excessive.

2. The increase over the sanctioned grant for 1872-73 consists of the following items:

I Salary and allowances of the additional Sub Collector appointed by Government for the despatch of current duties while Mr. Puckle is engaged on the settlement. } 13,983.

II Salary and Travelling allowance of the Special Assistant Tahsildar Chavri placed under Mr. Puckle under Board's Proceedings dated 17th July 1872, No. 1342, recorded in Government Order dated 6th September 1872, No. 1289. } 8,300

Preparing

III. Preparing Printing and
Binding of the Settlement
Registers. 10,450

IV. Contingencies &c. . . . 1,159

Total 33,892.

3. Mr. Puckle evidently considers
that the services of the additional
Sub Collector and Special Assistant
will be required for the whole year,
and consequently no reduction
can be made in the items I + II.

4. The provision made for the
cost of preparing and printing
settlement Registers (item III) is
moderate, but it appears as an
increase, as no provision was
made on this account in 1872-73
in consequence of there having been
no necessity for the same.

5. The increase under item IV is
small and calls for no remark. The
Collector does not give any ex-
planation.

True Extract

Per

Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

57-01-02
4979

Memorandum

The undersigned requests that the First Assistant, Revenue Department, Government Office, will be good enough to forward to this Office the enclosure sent with Board's Proceedings dated 29th January 1873 No 123, as it was not returned with the G. O. passed thereon dated 4th February 1873 No 142.

Revenue Board Office
Madras

22nd October 1873

To
The 1st Assistant
Revenue Department
Government Office
Registrar

1017 Dec 12/10/10-10

Revenue 1873-0445
273
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Dated 29th January 1873.
Despatched 29th " "
Recd 30th " "

Recd

Saying that the Board do not consider that estimate of the Collector of Simsimth on account of settlement of the amount of the said for 1873-74 is satisfactory

No. 18-19

1017 Dec 12/10/10-10
1017 Dec 12/10/10-10
1017 Dec 12/10/10-10

Revenue Department
28-1-73.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 4th 5th 1873

Read the following
Proc: of the Board of Revenue

Stating that the Bd do not con-
sider that estimate of the Coll^y of
Immielly on account of Settlement
Establishment for that D^y for 1873-74
is excessive -

(A.E. 29: January 1873 A.E. -)

Under the explanation
= tion now given the provisions
of Rupees 55,000 made in
the Budget for 1873-74
for the Settlement esta-

= bishment in Immielly
agreeably to the Order of
Government dated 4th

January

January 1873 No 1 is
Confirmed.

6 Accountant General Des & Feb
14 Board of Revenue
13 Director of Rev. Settlement
5 Financial Department.

Ind. 9th

Handwritten text, possibly a signature or name, located in the upper right corner of the document.



B5