

(1) Number of Pages..... 10
(2) Date of repair..... 28.3.66
(3) Initials of the Referencer..... by

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

..... *Rev* DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

138

Press
Mis.

Dated

22.9.1963

196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Statement shewing the particulars of land taken up for public purposes in the District of South Canara.

S. No.	Settlement whether Ryot or Zamindary.	Owner's Name.	Number	Whether dry or wet land.	Approx. extent in Acres.	Estimated Value for public purposes.	Estimated Value for private purposes.	Remarks.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----

Noted
District Officer

Ryotwary Babu Sheshu (deceased), present his Widow Subbamma. No. 102. tiravakken only.

Taken up in 1856 for the erection of a Court House for the District Municipality of Mangalore.

South Canara Collectors Office,
Mangalore, 14 August 1873.

[Signature]
Assistant Collector

It is cellaneous No. 6000.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 15th September 1873.

From

H. S. Thomas Esquire
Collector of South Canara.

S. J. Grace Esquire
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit
for the sanction of the Board of
Revenue, a statement shewing the
amount of compensation due for a
piece of land taken up for the
erection of a Court House for
the District Munsiff of Harkal
and not yet paid to the owner.
2. The claim is an old one,
the ground having been acquired
and built upon so far back as
1856, or 17 years ago. It appears that
the

In closure

In a letter from the Collector
of Canara to the Secretary
to the Board of Revenue
Madras dated 11th August
1873 No 1343.

Enc No 4/65/73

R 2901/73

Statement shewing the
particulars of land taken
up for public purposes
in the dist of South Canara

1600/18/198

2243/11/1993

the right in question was personally selected in 1856 by the then Collector Mr. Fisher, and that the Tahsildar was ordered to settle and report the amount of compensation payable to the owner for the same. This was done by the Tahsildar in a report addressed to the Collector on the 26th September 1856. The General Register of correspondence of that year proves the receipt of the Report in the H. a. g. in, but beyond this there is nothing in it to show how it was disposed of, the remark column in the Register having been left unfilled. A search made in the records for the papers has proved of no use. It is therefore most probable that the report was mislaid and the matter appears to have since been lost sight of.

3. Neither the Tahsildar nor the other clerks who were employed in 1856 and had any thing to do with

with the disposal of such matters in this Office, are now living, and I am unable to hold any person now on the establishment responsible for the loss of the papers. At the same time the inquiries now made show that the owner of the land (who is reported to have died some six years ago) did not take any steps during his lifetime to claim the amount of compensation due to him, which also accounts for the matter having been so completely lost sight of. Even now it would not have come to light but for a petition which the deceased's widow lately put in, claiming the amount due to her late husband.

4. From inquiries made I am satisfied that the compensation due was never paid. On the 26th January 1860 a general statement of all claims for compensation due on account of lands taken up for

for roads and buildings in this Dis-
-trict between the years 1856 and 1860
and remaining unadjusted up to
that time, was submitted for the
sanction of Government, through
the Chief Engineer, involving the
payment to various Ryots of the
large sum of Rupees 4,262 which
was eventually disbursed, under
G.O. dated 16th June 1860, No 1002,
but the present item does not appear
in it; and the Tahsildar also reports
that no orders were received in the
Taluk for the payment of the
amount.

5 The extent of ground appropri-
-ated for the Court house is a mere
trifle, being only Guntas 8-12 $\frac{1}{4}$
or less than $\frac{1}{4}$ of an acre. From
the copy of the report submitted
by the Tahsildar in 1856, it appears
that the Tahsildar had then
recommended the payment of
Rupees 25 as a reasonable com-
-pensation for the piece of ground, though

138
though the villagers consulted had
valued it at Rupees 40, and one of
them had offered to pay 50 Rupees
if the land was given to him.
6. The present Claimant demands
Rs 50 as proper compensation for
the site. Considering the length
of time which has elapsed
between the taking up of the land
and this application, I am of
opinion that the amount origi-
-nally fixed by the Tahsildar may
now be well doubted, as the addition-
-al price will only give the owner
6 percent interest on the sum
originally fixed. I have the honor
therefore to recommend that the
amount entered in the accompa-
-nying statement viz Rs 50, may
be sanctioned.

7. The way of which the land in
question formed a part, has an
area of 38 $\frac{1}{4}$ Guntas, and bears an
assessment of annas four. The
proportionate amount of Rs 18
to

Dec 29th
Proceeds of the
Board of
Revenue

Book of
Reverence

Noted 15th September

1873
Leaf 16

Reed

and

Wentworth

Recommending Company

of Rs. 50 on confidential work
of Rs. 200 received

The world of our
 our former in South America

25 per cent taken out for

Harvard St. Museum

Countess Anne, & Viscountess

Donnerstag 15. 7. auf dem

abundantly found in land

W. H. Baker

No 138.

1. Under the circumstances reported the payment of Rs 50. 0. 0 as compensation for land taken as a site for the Immash Court at Karkul is sanctioned. Order regarding the provision of funds will be issued in the P. W. Dept.
2. The refund of - annas 15 ^{per 7} _{assess} [±] wrongly collected is also sanctioned