Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th December 1873.

No. 92. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th November 1873, No. 2,376 :—

Read again the following papers :—

Letter from the Acting Collector of Chingleput, dated 18th March 1872, No. 112, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 5th April 1872, No. 534.

Letter from the Acting Collector of Chingleput, dated 30th April 1872, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 15th May 1872, Miscellaneous No. 3,473.

Read also the following letter from R. W. BARLOW, Esq., Acting Collector of the Chingleput, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 28th October 1873, No. 501 :—

I HAVE the honour to forward a statement of costs incurred in Original Suit No. 35 of 1869, on the file of the late Civil Court of Chingleput, and to request the sanction of the Board to the adjustment of the amount (Rupees 152-14-10), mentioned in column 6.

2. It will be seen from the statement that the total amount of costs incurred by Government is Rupees 304-12-10, of which the Court have awarded for Government Rupees 151-14-0, the whole of which has already been recovered from the plaintiff and adjusted in the accounts, leaving as stated above, a balance of Rupees 152-14-10 to be adjusted. The decree was in favour of Government, as was reported to the Board, in my letter No. 163, of the 30th April 1872.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement of costs incurred.

PLAINTIFF, the Zemindar of Kalastry, sued to have the decision of Mr. Thornhill, the Settlement Officer appointed under Act XXVIII. of 1860, set aside. Plaintiff claimed, as belonging to his Estate, a tract of hill and jungle land comprising Cawnies 14,000 which Mr. Thornhill awarded to the Government village of Kambakkam. The suit was dismissed with costs. The costs actually incurred in the defence of the suit amounted to Rupees 304-12-10. The sum awarded by the Court is only Rupees 151-14-0. The sanction of Government is solicited for payment of the balance Rupees 152-14-10.

2. Through an oversight the sanction of Government was not obtained for the defence of the suit.

No. 93. ORDER THEREON, 8th December 1873, No. 1,371.

Miscellaneous.

SANCTIONED; the excess costs, it appears, were the costs of an adjournment granted at the request of the Government Pleader.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

Chancery Division
Collector's Office
Law Courts Buildings
18th March 1872.

No 112.

Sir,

R. N. Barlow Esq.,
Asst. Collector of Pte.,
Chancery Division.

To

Sir,

J. Grove Esq.,
Secretary to the,
Board of Revenue,
Dublin.

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that on the 25th ult. on the Petition of the Court of Chancery, regarding the Estate of Mary Jane, the deceased of Leixlip was ordered in the Parish Proceedings dated 31st August 1870, No 5560, wherein the Estate Timber in Leixlip was sold, and the Purchaser required by the Conditions of Sale to replace on behalf of Government the Timber felled, the Petitioner having been directed to pay the

Petit Proceedings
dated 31st August
1870, No 5560

More

2. There is none due by any of former
a copy of the Indenture deeds above
stated however were ordered by the
Court by June, they will be procured
hereafter when received.

Issued
by R. M. Barlow
Esq. Collector

(True Copy)
J. Ernst
Secretary

2. There is some delay in furnishing

G.O. 8 Dec. 93 No. 2903-93

Enclosure in Proceedings of the Board of Revenue & the Offg. Secretary to Government N.W.P. dated 20th November 1893, No. 2376.

Rev. 2/3826/93

Copy of letter from Colln. & Chief Magistrate Etawah to the Civil Court Complaint thro' Vakil Munshi Ganesh Lal in Appeal with all Court fees No. 35 of 1869 in which Munshi Ganesh Lal is Plaintiff

MISCELLANEOUS No. 3493. REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 15th May 1894.

Read the following

No. 863.

From,

E. B. Sanderson Esqr.
Officiating Collector of Etawah.

To,

J. Gracey Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith Civil Suit No. 35 of 1869, Judge's Judgment in C.S. No. 35 of 1869, the Government Vakil thro' retained the Copy of the Decree in order to accompany the Court for its appeal, but it will be returned hereafter.

I have &c.
E. B. Sanderson
Officiating Collector.

Chinglepet District
Collector's Office
Publicanam
30th April 1894

Recorded.

True Extract
for the Collector
Chinglepet
True Copy

Secretary

1872.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 5TH APRIL 1872, No. 534.

Encl.

Rec 4 3826/73

Received

APRIL.

No. 534.

Suit No. 35 *of* 1869—filed in Chingleput Civil Court by Zemindar of Kalastri regarding Kambacam Droog range.—Letter from Collector, reporting that this suit has been decided against the Zemindar, and promising to forward a copy of the decree and judgment, recorded.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 5 April 1873

Despatched

Rev: 1873: Dept
No 3826

1873.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 20TH NOVEMBER 1873, No. 2,376.

Encl.s

Received 27 Nov 1873

NOVEMBER.

No. 2,376.

Suit—No. 35 of 1863, filed in Chingleput Civil Court by Zemindar of Kalastry to establish his right to a tract of hill and jungle land decreed by Mr. Thornhill, under Act XXVIII. of 1860, to belong to Government village of Kambakkam and decided against Zemindar.—Sanction of Government requested for payment of Rupees 152-14-10 costs incurred on behalf of Government in excess of amount sanctioned by Court in—.