Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th November 1873.

No. 162. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th November 1873, No. 2,290:—

Read the following letter from C. G. MASTER, Esq., Collector of Ganjam, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 27th October 1873, No. 2,564:—

I HAVE the honour to request an early reply to the first paragraph of my letter, dated the 10th July last, on the subject of the applicability or otherwise of the additional Rule communicated with the Board's Proceedings, No. 528, dated 4th April last, to the sale of impressed Court Fee Stamps.

THE order of the Government of India and the rule framed thereon specify only Court Fee Labels, but the Board doubt whether the intention was so to restrict the prohibition. The Government of India seem to have written under the impression that labels were in exclusive use, as intended to be ultimately the case. The Board request early orders of Government whether the rule should not be applied to all Court Fee Stamps.

No. 163. ORDER THEREON, 27th November 1873, No. 1,310.

Separate Revenue, No. 13.
Stamps.

THE Government have no doubt that the restriction directed by the Government of India was intended to apply to Court Fee Stamps of all descriptions.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 11th November 1873. 2290

Read the following

No. 2,564.

From,

The Collector of
Ganjam.

To,

The Secretary to the,
Board of Revenue,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to request an early reply to the 1st para of my letter dated the 10th July last on the subject of the applicability or otherwise of the additional Rule communicated with the Board's Proceedings No. 528 dated 4th April last, to the sale of impressed Court Fee Stamps

Dated Camp Ganjam
27th October 1873.

I have &c.
sd C. G. Master
Collr of Ganjam

The order of the Government
of

of India and the rule framed thereon specify only Court Fee Labels, but the Board doubt whether the intention was so to restrict the prohibition. The Government of India seem to have written under the impression that Labels were in exclusive use, as intended to be ultimately the case. The Board request early orders of Government whether the rule should not be applied to all court fee stamps. +

/ True copy + Extract /

H Ltchie

Offg Sub Secretary

By the Offg Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Offg Sub Secretary

of India and the rule framed

Rev: 1873: Dept
No. 3645

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 10th November 1873
Despd 11th —
Recd 12th —

No. 1310 — 27th Nov
Submitting for orders
Govt letter from
Collr of Guntur en-
quiring whether addi-
tional rule communi-
cated with Board's Pro-
ceedings 4th April 1873
regarding sale of Court
Fee labels is applicable
to sale of impressed Court
Fee Stamps —

6 Nov 73 - R 17th

606 May 1873 No 3839.

Copies sent
for Guntur

No. 162

Revenue Department.

No. 1310

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 27th November 1873.

Read the following Proc: of the
Board of Revenue

Submitting letter from Collr of Guntur enquiring whether additional rule communicated with Bd's Pros: 4th: April 1873 regarding sale of Court Fees Labels is applicable to sale of impressed Court Fee Stamps — (N.E. 11th November 1873.)

In the order of the Govt of India dated 10th February 1873. No 966 the word Labels is clearly used. That order does not therefore apply to impressed Court Fee Stamps.

The Govt have no doubt that the restriction decided by the Govt of India was intended to apply to Court Fee stamps of all descriptions

Ests
The Bd of Revenue

13/11/73

14 Bds Proceedings
dated 28 Nov

that the word "Label" was
used by the Govt of India
under the impression
that Labels will serve in
exclusive use as will
Eventually be the case.-

As no reason appears
is apparent for the restriction
being limited to Labels
alone the view of the
Govt. of Bengal is in-
deed correct.

H

C 15 Jan/03 - R 17 -