No. 31. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th October 1873, Miscellaneous No. 7,288 :—

Read the following letter from A. MACGREGOR, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Calicut, 17th October 1873, No. 243.

I HAVE the honour to report the following case for the orders of the Board.

2. In the month of March 1872, one Purathekat Parwathy, of Palliprom Amshom, Walluvanad Taluq, died intestate, leaving movables (brass pots) valued at Rs. 1-6-4, and immovable property worth Rs. 68-15-3, consisting of a kanom claim of twenty-five fanams (Rs. 7-2-3) on a compound about half an acre in extent, the jenm property of a Namburi, together with a house and improvements on it valued at Rs. 61-13-0.

3. The woman appears to have been a menial servant of her jenmi (landlord) for a considerable time, who has also rendered her some assistance while she was sick.

4. Considering that the property left by the woman is worth, in the aggregate, the trifling amount of Rs. 70, I would have moved the Board to assign it to the Namburi under whose protection she was for a considerable length of time; but the circumstances which I shall briefly advert to below renders the step inexpedient.

5. When the Revenue-officers set an inquiry into the effects of the deceased afoot, one Madhavan Namburi, the brother of the jenmi, alleged that he had supplied the woman during her lifetime with paddy from time to time to the value of Rs. 60, and that, in return for this, she had made over to him the rights she possessed on the said paramba; he also stated that he had spent Rs. 10 and some paddy to perform her funeral ceremony. On subsequent inquiry, this claim has proved to be totally false.

6. Besides this, he also asserted that the deceased woman, in a petition addressed to the Head Assistant Collector before her death, had stated that she made over the property to him, and that the revenue of the paramba (Rs. 0-2-5) might be transferred in his name. This petition, from its date and other circumstances, has been found to have been fraudulently sent after the death of the woman.

7. Although this was done by Madhavan Namburi, yet there is ample room to suspect that his brother, the jenmi, was a participator in the fraud. It is this which has withheld me from making a recommendation in behalf of this man.

8. I am, however, reluctant to recommend that this property, trifling as it is, should be taken by Government. I would, therefore, request the Board will be pleased to assign it to Krishnan Nair, a neighbour of the deceased, who humanely received the woman in his own house, and attended on her during her illness for nearly a month, and in whose house she ultimately died.

SUBMITTED for the favourable consideration of Government.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 8th November 1873.

No. 32. ORDER THEREON, 8th November 1873, No. 1,245.

Miscellaneous. SANCTIONED as a special case.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. STURROCK,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government.

Revenue Department

Memorandum

The Registrar Government Office Revenue Department is informed with reference to his Memo: of this day's date No. 156 that the blank in para 5 of the letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 17th October 1873 No. 243 recorded in Board's Proceedings dated 29th October 1873 Mis: No. 7288 should be supplied with the word "rights".

Revenue Board Office
Madras
17th November 1873

J. Cornelius
For Registrar

To,
The Registrar, Government Office
Revenue Department

C/o Mc Narasimha Chary.

Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No. 7288

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 29th October 1873

No. 243 Read the following

From,

A. MacGregor Esquire
Collector of Malabar

To,

H. E. Stokes Esquire
Asst. Secretary to the Board
of Revenue, Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to report the following case for the orders of the Board.

2. In the month of March 1872 one Puratthekal Parmvathy of Palli-
-prom Anushom, Walluvanad Taluq died intestate leaving moveables (brass pots) valued at Rupees 1-6-4 and immoveable property worth Rupees

Rupees 68-15-3, consisting of a Kanom claim of 25 fanams (Rupees 7-2-3) on a compound about half an acre in extent, the Jenm property of a Namburi together with a house and improvements on it valued at Rupees 61-13-0.

3. The woman appears to have been a menial servant of her jenmi (Landlord) for a considerable time who has also rendered her some assistance while she was sick.

4. Considering that the property left by the woman is worth in the aggregate the trifling amount of 70 Rupees, I would have moved the Board to assign it to the Namburi under

57

under whose protection she was for a considerable length of time. But the circumstances which I shall briefly advert to below renders the step inexpedient.

5. When the Revenue Officers set an enquiry into the effects of the deceased afoot, one Madhavan Namburi the brother of the jenmi alleged that he had supplied the woman during her life time with paddy from time to time to the value of 60 Rupees, and that in return for this she had made over to him the rights she possessed on the said paramba; he also stated that he had spent 10 Rupees and some paddy to perform her funeral ceremony. On subsequent enquiry

enquiry this claim has proved to be totally false.

6. Besides this he also asserted that the deceased woman in a petition addressed to the Head Assistant Collector before her death, had stated that she made over the property to him and that the Revenue of the Parambo (Rupees 2-5) might be transferred in his name. This petition from its date and other circumstances has been found to have been fraudulently sent after the death of the woman.

7. Although this was done by Madhavan Namburi, yet there is ample room to suspect that his brother the Jenmi was a participator in the fraud. It is this which has withheld me from making a recommendation in behalf of this man.

8. I am however reluctant to recommend that this property trifling as it is should be taken by Government. I would therefore request the Board will be pleased to assign it to Krishnan Nair, a neighbour of the deceased, who humanely received the woman in his own house and attended on her during her illness for nearly a month and in whose house she ultimately died.

I have &c
(Sd) W Austin
Asst Sub Collr for Collector

Malabar Collector's Office
Calicut 17th October 1873

Submitted for the favorable consideration of Government.

True copy & Extract

J Grose
Secretary
Revenue Department

To, The Acting Secretary to Government

Examined Harry

Rev: 1873: Dept
No 3503

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 29th October 1873
Desp. 29 " "
Rec'd 3d Nov. "

Miscellaneous No 7288
No 1245 – 8th Nov.

Submitting for the favorable consideration of Govt. letter from the Collector of Malabar reporting the death of one Parwathy without heirs & requesting sanction to make over property left by her to one Vishnuvan Nair for certain reasons.

No 1245

Sanctioned as a special case

8th November 1873

Bd. of Rev. Nov. 15 1873

C. 6th Nov. 73 28