10 7

M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 4

(2) Date of repair 26-4-66

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Rev DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	107	Press / Mis.
Dated	17-11-1873	, 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1011 10/1. Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No. 7418

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

dated 3d. November 1873

Read the following

No. 370.

From The Honorable D. Arbuthnott

Collector of Tanjore

To J. Grose Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Chepauk Madras.

Sir,

In continuation of my endorsement No. 339 dated 27th. ultimo, I have the honor to submit another case in which the sanction of Government is required for salary retrenched.

2. In this case, the individual concerned is Venkat-Row, 3d. Gumastah of the Negapatam Taluq also included in my tabular report dated 10th. April 1873 No. 131, under the impression that the sanction of Government was necessary

even

D/E

even for compelling one to retire after he had attained the age of fifty five. In the Boards Proceedings on this report dated 13th. May following Miscellaneous No. 2884, the cases of two other persons therein included and who were recommended for retention in service, were alone submitted for the favorable consideration of Government, but by an over sight in my office, orders for the retirement of this and the other individual referred to in my endorsement above quoted, were not issued until the receipt of the Government order authorizing the retention of those other two, and thus they remained in service till 17th. June.

3. Venkal Row has received his salary for April only, which has been retrenched by the Accountant General, and for the subsequent period of one month and seventeen days during

† Dated 28th. May communicated in Boards Proceedings Mis. No. 3456 dated 7th. June.

which he remained in service, his salary has been withheld. Sanction is required therefore for the pay he has received for April being paped and that due to him from 1st. May to 17th. June being disbursed. Of course no successor was appointed to him until after he actually retired on 17th. June, so that the payment for which sanction is asked for does not involve any expense to the state beyond the fixed scale of the sanctioned establishment.

I have &c.
Tanjore Collector's Office } Sd/ D. Arbuthnott
Vallam 24th. October 1873 } Collector.

Submitted for the favorable consideration of Government through the Accountant General.

By / True Copy and Extract /

J. Grote
Secretary

Accountant General's Office
Recd 4 } 11 1873
Ansd __ }

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
through the Accountant General

Mr G.O. 28th May/73 No 187
G.O. 17 April/72 — 163-164

No. 107

Sanction is accordingly granted for the retention of Venkat Row in the service up to 17th June last. —

17: Nov 1873.

13d of Rev. } Asst. Secy. } Lr: 15 Nov

C11 Nov/73-1215-

Rev. 1873, Dept.
No. 3574

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 3d November 1873
Despd 3 — " — "
Recd 6th

No. 107 — 17 Nov.

Submitting for the favorable consideration of Govt. letter from Collr. of Tanjore requesting sanction for paymt. of salary to Venkata Row — 3rd Gumastah, Negapatam Taluq, for April, May & portion of June /73, which the Accountant Genl. refuses to pass.

With Order

11817

No. 388.

Submitted to Government for Orders. If the retention of Venkat Row is sanctioned up to 17th June last, his pension will have to commence from the 18th Idem and the retrenchment alluded to by the Collector will be withdrawn.

Fort Saint George
Accountant Genl's Office
4th November 1873 } McDonald
Offg. Dy. Acct. Genl.

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Compd.