Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 29th May 1872.

Read the following papers :—

No. 271. From the Acting Accountant-General, Madras, to the Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated 9th March 1872, No. 718.

WITH reference to paragraph 4 of Government Order No. 398, dated 6th instant, I have the honour to forward copy of a memorandum No. 7,551, dated 13th ultimo, addressed to the Board of Revenue, from which it will be seen that there was no delay in this office in objecting to the charge referred to.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From the Deputy-Accountant-General, Madras, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Fort St. George, 13th February 1872, No. 7,551.

Vide your Miscellaneous Proceedings No. 1,067, dated 10th instant.

The undersigned has the honour by direction to point out that the acting allowance for the whole period for which the individuals acted in the arrangement referred to by the Collector was drawn in a supplemental bill so late as in December 1870, and that the bill was presented to this office for audit only in January 1871, upon which objection was taken to certain payments which were contrary to rules. After several references to the Collector the bill was finally passed in April 1871 with the items retrenched, for which the sanction of Government was necessary. It will thus be observed that no fault attaches to this office as regards the lateness of the retrenchment.

(Signed) D. TEAGIAH,
For Acting Deputy-Accountant General.

No. 272. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th May 1872, Miscellaneous No. 3,384.

Read the following letter from the Deputy-Collector in charge of Treasury, North Arcot, to the Acting Sub-Collector in charge of the Collector's Office, dated Chittoor, 21st March 1872, No. 154.

ADVERTING to paragraph 3 of the Proceedings of Government dated the 6th instant, No. 398, and to paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings dated 10th ultimo, No. 1,067, I respectfully beg to state that there were savings to the amount of Rs. 2,475-12-11 available for allowing full salaries to the servants whose pay was retrenched by the Accountant-General, as will be seen from the accompanying statement.

2. When the question of granting acting allowances to the individuals appointed in the Wallajah Tahsildarship's arrangement, consequent on the suspension of Lutchumiah Naidu first arose, I duly brought to the notice of the Collector in the statement which accompanied my letter of the 26th November 1870, that there were savings from which they could be paid without extra expense to the State.

3. I further beg to mention that on receipt of the Objection Statement of the Accountant-General, retrenching the four items specified in the Collector's letter to the Board, embodied in the Proceedings quoted above, I recovered, in cash, the sum of Rs. 185-11-10 from Bashika Charry, and credited the amount to deposit, and withheld Rs. 134-0-6 and 10-1-0 in the Pay Abstract on account of Bujunga Rao and Bagavantha Rao respectively, pending the final orders on the Collector's letter to Board of the 23rd January 1872, No. 24.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th May 1872.

No. 158.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue for transmission to Government, with reference to paragraph 3 of their Order dated 6th March 1872, No. 398.

WANDEWASH, (Signed) A. CRUICKSHANK,
20th April 1872. *Acting Sub-Collector in charge.*

SUBMITTED to Government, with reference to paragraph 3 of Government Order dated 6th March 1872, No. 398.

No. 273. ORDER THEREON, 29th May 1872, No. 837.

Miscellaneous.

THE Deputy-Collector's explanation is not satisfactory. That officer was not warranted in disbursing salaries and allowances contrary to Rule by the fact that savings were available, and the latter part of paragraph 2 of his letter indicates that he advised the Collector to sanction the irregular payment.

2. The presentation of a supplemental bill in December 1870 for pay alleged to be due from December 1869 under doubtful circumstances, should in itself have been sufficient to have attracted the Deputy-Collector's special notice, and to have induced him to study the Rules carefully before ordering the payment.

(True Extract.)
(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

MISCELLANEOUS No. 3384 REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 11th May 1872.

Read the following

From No. 154.
The Dy Collector
Incharge of Treasury

To
The Acting Sub Collector
Incharge of the Collectors Office

SIR,

I adverting to para 3 of the Proceedings of Government dated the 6th instant No 398 and to para 2 of the Boards Proceedings dated 10th February ult 1867, I respectfully beg to state that there were Savings to the Amount of Rs 24795-12-11 available for Allowing pld Salaries to the Servants who were retrenched by the Accountant Generals &c. will be deducted from the accompanying Statement.

2nd Whether the question of granting retiring Allowances to individuals appointed in the Wallajah Talookdarships arrangement Consequent on the Suspension of

Lieutenant

" Lutchmiah Naidu first arose, I duly brought to the notice of the Collector in the Statement which accompanied my letter of the 26th November 1870 that there were Savings from which they could be paid without Extra Expense to the State.

3. I further beg to mention that on receipt of this objection Statement of the Accountant General pertaining the four items Specified in the Collector's letter to the Board, Embodied in the Proceedings quoted above, I Removed in Cash the Sum of Rs 185-11-10 from Basket Charges and credited the amount to is Deposit and withheld Rs 134-0-6 & 10-1-0 in the Pay & Abstract on account of Bayunga Rao and Boyavantha Rao respectively pending the final orders on the Collectors letter to Board of the 23d January 1872 No 24.

North Arcot Collectors Office Chittoor 21st March 1872

I have &c.
(Signed) I. A. Maxwell
Dy Collector

No 158

Submitted to the Board of Revenue for transmission to Government with reference to para 3 of their order dated 6th March 1872 No 398.

Wandewash 20th April 1872

(Signed) A. Cruickshank
Offg Sub Collector
In charge

Submitted to Government with reference to para 3 of Government Order dated 6th March 1872 No 398.

(True copies Extracts)

J Gole
Secretary

To The Officiating Chief Secretary to Government Revenue Department

W D Gw Locke
Cbd Dinajepore

Read the following papers—

Treasury.

Post Audit Department.

No. 718

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
9th March 1872.

From The Accountant General
to the Government of Madras.

To The Offg Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir,

With reference to para 4 of Government Order No. 398 dated 6th Instant I have the honor to forward Copy of a Memorandum No. 7551 dated 13th ultimo addressed to the Board of Revenue, from which it will be seen that there was no delay in this Office in objecting to the charge referred to—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your obedient servant

W. H. Rodwell
Offg Accountant General

Rec'd March 1872 Proceedings 1872-73

Revenue 1872 Board's Proceedings.

No. 1059.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 11th March 1872.

Despatched 11th March 1872.

Received 13th March 1872.

Subject.

S.O. No 837-29th May 1872 Requesting that the Collector of Trichinopoly may be called upon to explain why the Executive Engineer of Public Buildings, Trichinopoly, should not be required to contribute certain sums towards the cost of the Public Buildings at Srirangam.

No. 2772

C. 18 Aug 1872 Rs. 20:

Audit Department.

No. 7551

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
13th February 1872.

From

The Deputy Accountant General, Madras,

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Chepauk.

Vide your Miscellaneous Proceedings No. 1067 dated 10th instant.

The undersigned has the honor by direction to point out that, the N.T. allowance for the whole period for which the individuals acted in the arrangement referred to by the Collector, was drawn in a supplemental bill so late as in December 1870 and that the bill was presented to this Office for audit only in Jany. 1871, upon which Objection was taken to certain payments which were contrary to rules. After several references to the Collector, the bill was finally passed in April 1871 with the items retrenched for which the Sanction of Government was necessary. It will thus be observed that no fault attaches to this Office as regards the lateness of the retrenchment.-

Signed/ D. Teagiah
For Actg. Dy. Accountt. Genl.

(True Copy.)

D/ [illegible]
Asstt. to the
~~Deputy~~ Accountant General.

Received 1st Decr 1872 Dept.
No. 833.

By
Department.
From The Acctt. General
To the Govt. of Madras.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George

Dated 1st March 1872
Received 4th

No. 718

Enclosures.

Forwarded a Copy of
Madras address to the
Board of Revenue from
Mr. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

S.O.R. 837-29 Dec. 72

Rec'd 1872 Feb 72-73

Rec'd 1872 Dept.
No. 833.

By
Department.
From The Acctt. General
To the Govt. of Madras.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George

Dated 1st March 1872
Received 4th

No. 718

Enclosures.

Forwarded a Copy of
Madras address to the
Board of Revenue from
Mr. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

S.O.R. 837-29 Dec. 72

Rec'd 1872 Feb 72-73

C. 18 Aug. D. 20.

C. 18 Aug. D. 20.

11a

Revenue Department.

No. 837.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 29th May 1872.

Read the following papers

From the Ag. Accts General.

Forwarding a copy of memo addressed to the Board of Revenue from which it will be seen that there was no delay in this office in objecting to the charge referred to. (H.E. 9th March 1872 No. 7181)

Proc of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting letter from Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury, North Arcot, regarding the payment of full salaries to certain incumbents. (H.E. 11th May 1872 No. 3384)

The Deputy Collector's explanation is not satisfactory. That officer was not warranted in disbursing salaries and allowances contrary to rule by the fact that savings were available, and the latter

latter part of para 2 of his letter indicates that he advised the Collector to sanction the irregular payment.

2. The presentation of a supplemental bill in December 1870 for pay alleged to be due from December 1869 under doubtful circumstances, should in itself have been sufficient to have attracted the Deputy Collectors special notice, and to have induced him to study the rules carefully before ordering the payment.

To,
1 The Board of Revenue. Dec 30 May/73
6 The Accountant General.

Sd/ H.S.

No. 271–273

Payment of Salaries, Pensions, Arrears in North Ar... Col Dist.

Govt. of [1872] Widow

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c. &c.

Dated 29th May.

Recd.

G. O. 29th May 1873 &c.

MAY.

Nos. 271–273.

Payment of full Salaries to ... Incumbents in North Arcot Dist... Collector's explanation unsatisfactory.