

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,

21st May 1872.

No. 216. Read the following despatch from the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India, (Revenue,) to His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor in Council, Fort St. George, dated India Office, London, 18th April 1872, No. 14 :—

THE despatch of your Excellency in Council dated 7th of March, No. 10 of 1872, forwarding a Memorial from Shaik Ibrahim and three others, in which they complain of the non-distribution among them of the "Batta" collected on the service of Process, has been considered by me in Council.

2. In reply, I desire that the Memorialists may be informed that I shall not interfere in their favour.

No. 217

ORDER THEREON, 21st May 1872, No. 809.

Miscellaneous.

COMMUNICATED to the Board of Revenue, who will cause the decision of the Secretary of State to be made known to the Memorialists.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Proceedings,
7th August 1866, No. 5,444.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.



Revenue
No. 14.

India Office
London. 10th April 1872

My Lord

The despatch of your
Excellency in Council dated 7th
of March, No. 10 of 1872,
forwarding a memorial
from Shaik Ibrahim and
three others, in which they
complain of the non-distribution
among them of the "Batta"
collected on the service of
Process, has been considered
by me in Council

His Excellency
The Right Honorable
The Governor in Council
Fort St. George

2. In reply I desire
that the Memorialists may
be informed that I shall
not interfere in their favour.

I have the honor to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most Obedient,

Humble Servant,



No 216-217

No. 9.

Revenue.
18th April 1872.

The
Letter to Lord St. George.
No. 14.

No. 809.

Communicated to the
Board of Revenue, who
will cause the decision
of the Secretary of State
to be made known to
the Memorialists.

L.R.

21st May/72.

Declining to interfere
with the decision of the Madras
Govt in the case of Memorialist
Shaik Ibrahim and three others,
who complained of the non-
distribution among them of
the "Batta" collected on the ser-
vice of Process.

14 B. of Rev. with reference to
their Pro. of Aug. 1866. No. 5444.
Des 22nd May/72

With order
No 809-21st May/72