

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
7th May 1872.*

Read again G. O., dated 3rd April 1872, No. 541.

No. 56.

ORDER THEREON, 7th May 1872, No. 735.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government have very carefully considered the suggestion for the re-transfer to the former holders, of the

Office Inam lands which it is now proposed to enfranchise from obligation of service on payment of quit-rent, but arrive at the same conclusion as the Board of Revenue, that it is impracticable.

2. The cases brought under consideration are—

1st.—Those of lands formerly enjoyed by “Lopayakaris,” or non-working members of the family possessing the hereditary right of office, which lands were re-attached to the office on lapse.

2nd.—Those where the lands and the office have been transferred on lapse from one family or branch of a family to another.

3rd.—Those where similar transfers have been made for misconduct.

3. There is no doubt that in all these cases there was a certain amount of hardship in depriving the former holders of that property in the land which had been created by the expenditure of labour or capital by themselves or their ancestors on its improvement, but the alienation of the emoluments from the office was an abuse when it permitted the creation of this kind of property. It would be equally so were it now in a manner recognized by repetition. To undo what has been done in this matter in the last fifteen years would probably entail more objectionable results than to leave matters as they are in regard to possession.

4. The enfranchisement will be carried out.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Officiating Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Officiating Inam Commissioner.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

Revenue Dept.

#735.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Under date the 7th April 1872.

Read again G. O.
dated 3rd April 1872, No 541

The Govt have
very carefully considered
the suggestion for the re-
transfer to the former
holders, of the Office Inam
lands which it is now pro-
posed to enfranchise from
obligation of service on
payment of quit-rent,
but arrive at the same
conclusion as the Board
of Revenue, that it is
impracticable.

2. The cases brought
under

A/A.

L.O.S.

RSL.

1872/206/11

under consideration are
1st those of lands formerly
enjoyed by "Lopayakaris"
or non-working members
of the family possessing
the hereditary right of
office, which lands were
reattached to the office
on lapse.

2^d Those where the lands
and the office have been
transferred on lapse from
one family or branch of
a family to another.

3^d Those where similar
transfers have been made
for misconduct.

3. There is no doubt
that in all these cases

there was a certain amount
of hardship in depriving
the former holders of that
property in the land
which had been created
by the expenditure of labour
or capital by themselves
or their ancestors in its
improvement, but the
alienation of the emolu-
ments from the office
was an abuse when it
permitted the creation of
this kind of property and
would be equally so were
it now in a manner
recognised by repetition.
To undo what has been
done in this matter in
the

the last 15 years would
probably entail more
objectionable results than
to leave matters as they
are in regard to possession.

4. The enfranchise-
ment will be carried
out.

L.B.

16. Board of Rev Des^d May 1872
4. Offg. Secy. Jan 1872

L.B.