

643

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th April 1872.*

No. 212. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th March 1872, No. 424 :—

Read the following letter from R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Collector of the Chingleput District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 15th February 1872, No. 64:—

WITH reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 11th January 1872, No. 95, I have the honour to explain that my predecessor found it impossible to obtain such accounts as would enable him to sub-divide the Shrotriem Village, so that only so much of it as would cover the arrear, would be sold, and that he therefore determined to sell the whole village.

2. As at the date of his letter it was supposed that, under Standing Order, No. 274, the sanction of Government was needed for the sale of all *Estates*, the application was submitted as had been usual on former occasions whenever whole villages were sold. It had been supposed that the Government desired that no considerable property should be sold without their sanction, and not that such sanction was only required when the sale of such estates was conducted by portions.

3. In reference to the present ruling of the Board that the sub-division of this Shrotriem would have been contrary to the provisions of Regulation I, of 1819, I have the honour to point out that that Regulation has hitherto been held to be applicable only to such Estates as were permanently settled in the early part of the century, under the special system then in force, and not to favourably assessed properties of the nature of the Shrotriem under reference, though the quit-rent and assessment payable on them may have been equally permanent in its nature, as that fixed upon the ancient Estates. The Board too would seem to have held the same view, so recently as 20th May last, for, in the Proceedings No. 2,081 of that date, they prescribe the course to be followed when *portions* of Inam Villages are sold for arrears of revenue.

4. It would also seem questionable whether the sub-division of minor Inams, or of any of the properties held under the Waste Land Rules would be allowable under the present ruling, as all such properties are permanently settled, inasmuch as the quit-rent payable to Government cannot be enhanced.

ON reconsideration the Board think that the question whether Regulation I. of 1819 is applicable to Shrotriem villages is open to doubt and they resolve to lay it before Government.

2. Regulation I. of 1819 refers to the same estates as Regulation XXVI. of 1802.

3. On the one hand, it was ruled by the Sadr Adalat on the 16th August 1827 that Regulation XXVI. of 1802 applied only to estates held under a Sanad-i-Milkiat Istimrar. On the other hand the framers of Madras Act II. of 1864 seem to have believed that it applied even to Ryotwary land, for they left Sections 2 and 3 expressly in force whilst the rest of the Regulation was repealed.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th April 1872.*

No. 213.

ORDER THEREON, 20th April 1872, No. 643.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government have no doubt
that Regulation I. of 1819 was intended
to apply only to estates held on Sanads
"i-Milkiat Istimrar."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Officiating Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.

Revenue 1872. Depart^{mt}
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

N^o. 841.

PROCEEDINGS, 7TH MARCH 1872, No. 424.

Dep^d 9 March 72.
Encl. 1

Received

MARCH.

No. 424.

Shrotriem Villages. - Question whether
Regulation I. of 1819 is applicable to - sub-
mitted for decision of Government.

With Order
No 643-20 April 72

Handwritten:
I have no doubt that
Reg^d 1 of 1819. Government
apply only to States which on transfer
of land revenue to Government
14. Board of Revenue Dec. 22. April 20. April 72.

No 212-213

C 4th April 72. R. 16th April

R.C. 17th April