

132

10

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th April 1872.*

No. 189. Read the following letter from the Acting Accountant-General, Madras, to the Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated 27th March 1872, No. 779 :—

I HAVE the honour, with reference to Government of India Order No. 3,270, dated 27th December 1871, to request that the Government Orders Nos. 102 and 514, dated 15th and 25th instant, passed in the case of Deputy-Collector Purushotamaiya, together with the letter from this Office No. 731, dated 13th idem, may be submitted to the Government of India, as it seems to me that the view taken by His Excellency in Council is not in accordance with the rules in force.

No. 190. ORDER THEREON, 19th April 1872, No. 632.

Miscellaneous.

ORDERED to be referred as requested.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Officiating Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
19th April 1872.

No. 191.

No. 633.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Miscellaneous.

* G. O., 15th March 1872, No. 102.

„ 25th „ „ „ 514.

„ 19th April „ „ 632.

SIR,—At the Accountant-General's request I am directed to forward the papers noted in the margin* for the orders of the Government of India. The case is simply this. On 24th December Purushotamaiya, the Deputy-

Collector of Coimbatore, availed himself of privilege leave to proceed to Masulipatam, where he had been formerly employed as Head Sheristadar and Deputy-Collector. Meanwhile, necessity arose for employing an extra Deputy-Collector in Masulipatam, and the Government arrangements for supplying the need were greatly facilitated by a qualified man being on his way to the district, whose place in his own district had been already supplied.

2. Purushotamaiya was accordingly gazetted on 9th January to do duty in the Kistna District, and his privilege leave was cancelled. Mr. Lodwick objects to the period, from 24th December to 9th January, being so cancelled; but the Government consider that, as the joining time from Coimbatore to Masulipatam would have exceeded this period, Purushotamaiya, who lost his leave, is entitled to count this period as joining time, and that it should not be deducted from leave at his credit, or in any way treated as privilege leave.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Officiating Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No. 779

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,

27th March 1872.

FROM

As
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO

THE Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

SIR,

I have the honor with reference
to Government of India ^{order} No. 3270 dated 27
December 1871 to request that the G. O.
No. 1029514 dated 15th 425 instant passed
in the case of Deputy Collector Purnahotam
together with the letter from this Office
No. 731 dated 13th idem may be submitted
to the Government of India as it seems to
me that the view taken by His Excellency
in Council is not in accordance with
the rules in force -

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your obedient servant
M. Rodent

As Account General

way to the District, whose
place in his own District
had been already supplied.

Purnshotamaiya was
accordingly gazetted on 9th
January to do duty in the
District, and his
privilege leave was cancelled.
Mr. Loderick objects to the period
from 24th Dec. to 9th January
being so cancelled, but the
Govt. consider that as the
joining time from Coimbatore
to Masulipatam would have
exceeded this period, Purn-
shotamaiya, who lost his leave,
is entitled to count this
period as joining time, and
that it should not be deducted
from leave at his credit or
in any way treated as
Privilege leave.

28

28

No 191

To

Govt of India

Regarding Panosote
mayor's letter -

C. B.

Despatch