

102

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th January 1872.*

No. 116. Read the following letter from the Officiating Collector of Tanjore, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Tanjore, 13th December 1871, No. 1,559 :—

WITH reference to the Order of Government, Financial Department, dated 18th October last, No. 576, I have the honour to report on the cases of the eighteen Uncovenanted Servants in this district who have passed the age of fifty-five, as per the list therewith received.

1. Panchanadam Pillai, 3rd Class Revenue Inspector, Tanjore Talook.
2. Devaji Row, Sheristadar, Negapatam Talook.
3. Muniya Pillai, 1st Clerk, Muttupettai Sea-Customs Office.
4. Devachudamani Pillai, Sea-Custom Superintendent, Tirumalavassel.

2. Of these the four named in the margin have since retired from the public service; in the case of the nine individuals named below there is nothing which renders their retention in the service desirable :—

1. Kuppayar, Assistant Huzur Vernacular Record-keeper.
2. Timmappa, 1st Class, Revenue Inspector, Combaconum Talook.
3. Seshappier, 3rd Class, do. do.
4. Vaidilinga Pillai, Head Shroff, Nannilam Talook.
5. Vaidilinga Pattan, 2nd Shroff, do. do.
6. Ramayar, 1st Class Inspector, Negapatam Talook.
7. Rama Row, Head Gumastah, Tritrapundi Talook.
8. Raghavaiengar, 1st Class Inspector, Sheali Talook.

9. Virana Pillai, Assistant Salt Superintendent, Arasanagiri ; and in the case of Sama Row, 1st Class Inspector, Nannilam Talook, the Sub-Collector explains that he will not have completed fifty-five years before April next, and that it was owing to an error in calculation that his age was put down as fifty-five in the list furnished to the Accountant-General. This last-mentioned individual must be retained, therefore, till the end of the current official year. Deducting these fourteen individuals there remain the four, viz. :—

1. Rama Row, Head Gumastah, Negapatam Talook.
2. Venkata Row, 3rd do. do.
3. Seshayaiengar, Gumastah, Land Customs Station, Sannamangalam.
4. Srirama Naik, Salt Superintendent, Tranquebar.

3. The first and third the Sub-Collector considers to be efficient and useful, and recommends ought to be retained. I agree with that officer, and think that they may be retained for a further term of three years. With respect to the second the Sub-Collector states that he gives satisfaction to his superior, the Tahsildar, and wishes to serve another year. I think with Mr. Stokes that he may be allowed to do so. As regards the fourth Srirama Naik, Salt Superintendent, Tranquebar, the Salt Deputy-Collector says that though "active for his age" he is "getting too old for such work, and, in his opinion, unfit for further effective service." I cannot, however, agree with Mr. Ricketts in this opinion. Srirama Naik is yet only fifty-eight years, and having known him for eighteen years, I can confidently say that, from his strong hardy constitution and his active and temperate habits, he is capable of serving the Government efficiently for at least one or two more years.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th January 1872.

In his case, therefore, I do not consider it either desirable on public grounds or fair to the individual to call upon him now to retire, and would recommend that he be retained for two years.

No. 117.

ORDER THEREON, 10th January 1872, No. 60.

Miscellaneous.

THE Collector will submit a report in a tabular form on the thirteen cases noticed in the above letter, and will state

in each case the grounds on which it is deemed necessary to compel the individual to retire.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

No. 1559.

Madras Collector's Office

13th December 1871



From

H. M. Cadell Esq

Offs. Collector of Madras

To

The Honorable. R. S. Ellis. C.S.D.

Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

Fort. Saint. George

Financial Department

With reference to the order of Government dated 18th October last No. 576, I have the honor to report on the cases of the thirteen unincorporated servants in this District, who have passed the age of fifty five, as per the list therewith received —

1. Panchanadham Pillai
2. Elab. Kannaiah Inspector
3. Devaji Rao, Christian
4. Muniya Pillai 1st Class
5. Muthupettai Sea Customs Office
6. Divachudamani Pillai Sea Customs Superintendent
7. Malavassil —

Of these, the four named in the margin have since retired from the public service, in the case of the 9 individuals named below, there is nothing which renders their retention in the service desirable: —

1. Suppayas Assistant Nagar Vernacular Record Keeper
2. Simmappa 1st Class and
3. Pichappan 3rd Class Revenue Inspectors
4. Vaidilinga Pillai, Head Shroff, and
5. Vaidilinga Pattan, 2nd Do. Hannilam Taluk
6. Pannayar 1st class Inspector Negapatam Taluk
7. Rama Rao, Head Jinnastah Tritrapundi Taluk

8 Raghavairagar, 1st Class Inspector Sheali Saluk &
 9 Virana Pillai, Assistant Salt Superintendent. Arasa-
 nagari; and in the case of Sama Row,
 1st Class Inspector, Kannilam Saluk, the Sub Collector
 explains, that he will not have completed 55 years
 before April next, and that it was owing to an error
 in calculation, that his age was put down as 55
 in the list furnished to the Accountant General.
 This last mentioned individual must be retained
 therefore, till the end of the current official
 year. Deducting these 14 individuals, there
 remain the four vizt—

1. Sama Row, Head Gunastah, Nagapatam
 Saluk.
2. Venkatesh Row 3^d Do. Do.
3. Seshayengar, Gunastah Land Customs Station,
 Sammamangalam.
4. Virama Naik, Salt Superintendent, Tranquabar.

3 The 1st and 3^d, the Sub Collector
 considers to be efficient and useful, and recommends
 ought to be retained. I agree with that officer,
 and think that they may be retained for a
 further term of three years. With respect to the
 2^d, the Sub Collector states that he gives satis-
 faction to his Superior, the Tahsildar, and
 wishes to serve another year. I think with
 Mr. Stokes.

300
 4/21 Mr. Stokes, that he may be allowed to do so. He says
 the 4th Virama Naik, Salt Superintendent, at
 Tranquabar, the Salt Deputy Collector says, that
 though "active for his age" he is "getting too old
 for such work, and in his opinion, unfit for
 further effective service." I cannot however
 agree with Mr. Ricketts in this opinion. Virama
 Naik is yet only 58 years, and having known him
 for eighteen years, I can confidently say, that
 from his strong hardy constitution and his active
 and temperate habits, he is capable of doing the
 Government efficiently for at least one or two more
 years. In his case therefore I do not consider
 it either desirable on public grounds or fair to the
 individual to call upon him now to retire and
 would recommend that he be retained for
 two years—

4/21 I have the honor to be
 Sir
 Your Most Obedt. Servant
 Wm. J. L. L.
 Offg. Collector

8-60

W. J. L. Wilbur

a report in a letter from
a the 3 cases mentioned
the above letter. and will
state in such case the grounds
as to if it is deemed necessary
to amend the individual &
the

J. H.

10-29-1872

Col. of Virginia Dec 20 11 Jan 1872

No 115-117

What are they?

W. J. L. Wilbur
in the Virginia
the Virginia

Revenue 1871

from

No 4024

The 1st Collector
of Virginia

To The Chief Secretary
to Government
Madison

Received 13th December 1871

Received 18th Nov 1871

No 20. 1871

Reporting on the 18th
unconvinced certain
who have passed the
age of 55 years &
No

W. J. L. Wilbur

10-29-1872

Gobt. of {1872} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF TANJORE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated January 10th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 10th January 1872, No. 60.

Vide No. 1203/72.

JANUARY.

Nos. 116-117.

Certain servants of the Tanjore Collectorate.
—Collector to submit a report in a tabular form
of the grounds on which it is deemed necessary to
compel the individuals to retire.