

477

17

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th March 1872.*

No. 111. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th March 1872:—

Read again Government Order, dated 15th February 1872, No. 88.

Read also the following letter from the Acting Director of Revenue Settlement, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 23rd February 1872, No. 348-9.

REFERRING to the orders of Government and Board noted in the margin, I have the honour to forward herewith a statement of Revenue Settlement results in the form furnished by the Government of India. The original form, however, does not enable the required information to be given as clearly as could be wished, owing to its having been prepared to suit the requirements of a somewhat different system. I have, therefore, deemed it advisable to submit an additional statement, showing the exact state of affairs as regards settlement-matters in this Presidency.

2. Some explanation regarding the area and assessment entered in these statements is necessary. In the original form, column 3 is headed "area in square-miles." It is not quite clear what area should be entered therein; but as the next column is superscribed "previous revenue-demand," it is presumed that the *occupied* area upon which the revenue-demand is realized is intended; and I have accordingly acted upon this view of the case. Again, the abstract given below the form in question leads to the conclusion that, under the head "previous revenue-demand," that of 1856-57 or Fasli 1266 should be inserted for all districts settled during the period from "1856-57 to 1869-70 inclusive." The results of the particular year thus given having comparatively far less connection with the new settlement in this Presidency than the revenue-demand of the year immediately preceding the introduction of the new rates, I have accordingly taken the preceding year as the standard of comparison for each district. The new settlement demand of the year 1869-70 or Fasli 1279 is likewise shown as required in the statement. I may here briefly mention that, if the results of the new rates were judged by a comparison with the year 1856-57 mentioned in the abstract, the increase, by the new measures, would stand at Rs. 6,74,143. This figure should, in reality, be higher, as in the Land-revenue of 1856-57 is included the assessment derived from certain villages subsequently made over to Zemindars, and which does not consequently appear in the settlement demand of 1869-70. Columns 5 and 6 of the form have been left blank, as there are no districts falling under these denominations. These columns have been excluded altogether from the supplemental statement forwarded as explained above.

3. I must here remark that I have given in this second statement the particulars of districts or portions of districts for which settlement schemes have been submitted but not yet sanctioned, in order to show what progress has been made by the department. It appears equally necessary likewise to inform the Government of India why more districts have not come under settlement since the department was organized in 1858. The causes of this apparent deficiency have been fully described in my predecessor's letter to the Board dated 24th August 1869, No. 1,191; and I have slightly touched upon this subject in my letter of the 20th ultimo, No. 98-3, when submitting, at the requisition of the Government of India, a statement of the prospective increase by the new settlement. I note, however, that the Board did not allude thereto in their Proceedings of the 31st ultimo when forwarding the above statement to Government.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th March 1872.*

THE two statements, received from the Director, will be submitted to Government with reference to their order recorded above.

2. It will be observed that the statement No. 1 is in accordance with the form furnished by the Government of India, and that the other, No. 2, is prepared so as to exhibit more precisely the result of settlement operation as carried on in Presidency. Both the returns may be transmitted to Government of India.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Officiating Chief Secretary.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
MADRAS.

No. 112.

No. 417.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
REVENUE, AND COMMERCE.

Land Revenue.

SIR,—With reference to your letter dated 10th February 1872, No. 2-167, I am directed to forward herewith a statement of the districts under settlement in Madras, and the fiscal results thereof in the form which accompanied your communication.

2. I transmit also copies of the explanatory letter of the Acting Director of Revenue Settlement, dated 23rd ultimo, No. 348-9, and of the supplementary return furnished by him to exhibit more precisely the result of the settlement operations as carried on in this Presidency.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Officiating Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.
dated 7th March 1872

Read again Government Order, dated 15th
February 1872 No 88.

Read also the following

No 348
9

From

Geo. Banbury Esq^r,

As Director of Revenue Settlements

To, James Grose Esq^r,

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Sir, Referring to the orders of Government
and Board noted, in the Margin, I have
the honor to forward herewith a statement of
Revenue Settlement results in the form
furnished by the Government of India.

The original form however, does not enable
the required information to be given as
clearly as could be wished, owing to its
having been prepared to suit the require-
ments of a somewhat different system.
I have, therefore, deemed it advisable to
submit an additional statement showing
the

G.O. No 88 dated
15th February 1872
Board Proc: No 1149
dated 16th idem.

the exact state of affairs as regards Settlement matters in this Presidency.

2. Some explanation regarding the area and assessment entered in these statements is necessary. In the original form, Column 3 is headed "Area in Square Miles". It is not quite clear what area should be entered therein, but as the next column is superscribed "Previous Revenue demand", it is presumed that the occupied area upon which the revenue demand is realized, is intended; and I have accordingly acted upon this view of the case. Again, the abstract given below, the form in question leads to the conclusion that under the head "Previous revenue demand" that of 1856-57 or Fusly 1266 should be inserted for all Districts settled during the period from 1856-57 to 1869-70 inclusive.

The results of the particular Year thus given having comparatively far less connection with the New Settlements in this

this Presidency than the revenue demand of the Year immediately preceding the introduction of the new rates I have accordingly taken the preceding Year as the standard of comparison for each District. The new Settlement demand of the Year 1869-70 or Fusly 1279 is likewise shown as required in the Statement. I may here briefly mention that if the results of the new rates were judged by a comparison with the Year 1856-57 mentioned in the abstract, the increase by the new measures would stand at Rs 674,143. This figure should, in reality, be higher, as in the Land Revenue of 1856-57 is included the assessment derived from certain villages subsequently made over to Zemindars, and which does not consequently appear in the Settlement demand of 1869-70. Columns 5 and 6 of the form have been left blank as there are no Districts falling under these denominations. These

These columns have been excluded altogether from the supplemental statement forwarded as explained above.

3. I must here remark that I have given in this 2^d statement the particulars of Districts or portions of Districts, for which Settlement schemes have been submitted, but not yet sanctioned; in order to shew what progress has been made by the Department. It appears equally necessary likewise to inform the Government of India why more Districts have not come under Settlement since the Department was organized in 1858. The causes of this apparent deficiency have been fully described in my predecessor's letter to the Board dated 24th August 1869, No. 1191; and I have slightly touched upon this subject in my letter of the 20th Ultimo No. 98³ when submitting at the requisition of the Government of India a statement of the prospective increase by the new Settlements. I note, however

6A
however, that the Board did not allude thereto in their Proceedings of the 31st Ultimo when forwarding the above statement to Government.

Revenue Settlement Office,
Madras,
23^d February 1872

I have &c
(Signed) Geo: Banbury.
As Director of Revenue Settlement.

The two statements received from the Director will be submitted to Government with reference to their order recorded above.

2. It will be observed that the statement No. 1 is in accordance with the form furnished by the Government of India and that the other No. 2 is prepared so as to exhibit more precisely the result of Settlement operation as carried on in this Presidency. Both the returns may

may be transmitted to Government of
India.

(True Copy & Extract)

J. G. M.
Secretary

To, The Off. Chief Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

connected with statements

J. M. S.
C. P. Ramaswami Sastri

8-209

1000
 200
 300
 400
 500
 600
 700
 800
 900
 1000
 1100
 1200
 1300
 1400
 1500
 1600
 1700
 1800
 1900
 2000
 2100
 2200
 2300
 2400
 2500
 2600
 2700
 2800
 2900
 3000
 3100
 3200
 3300
 3400
 3500
 3600
 3700
 3800
 3900
 4000
 4100
 4200
 4300
 4400
 4500
 4600
 4700
 4800
 4900
 5000
 5100
 5200
 5300
 5400
 5500
 5600
 5700
 5800
 5900
 6000
 6100
 6200
 6300
 6400
 6500
 6600
 6700
 6800
 6900
 7000
 7100
 7200
 7300
 7400
 7500
 7600
 7700
 7800
 7900
 8000
 8100
 8200
 8300
 8400
 8500
 8600
 8700
 8800
 8900
 9000
 9100
 9200
 9300
 9400
 9500
 9600
 9700
 9800
 9900
 10000

Dec. 1872 *Debit*
 \$806.

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue.

22nd March 1872.
 Page 1 — " —
 Dec 7 — " —

1872
 1873. 147. 80 March 1872

Submitting letter
 from Acting Director
 of Revenue Settlement
 in sub- forwarding
 State's Revenue Settlement
 in Revenue Settlement
 the Revenue Settlement

Statement of the Settlement of Land Revenue, in the Madras Presidency for the years 1856-57 to 1871-72, inclusive.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	1649	Abbreviations
Operations when commenced	Districts under Settlement	Occupied Pottan area in square miles.	Districts or portions of Districts in which the new Settlement was sanctioned.	Year in which the new Settlement was introduced.	Settled area in square miles.	Previous Revenue Demand	Revenue Demand sanctioned under the new Settlement.	Increase or decrease	Explanation	Year in which the report was submitted	Area reported upon in square miles.	Truly Amount	Previous Revenue Demand	Revenue Demand proposed under the new Settlement.	Increase or decrease	Specified in square miles hereafter to be reported upon	
1858/59	1 South Arcot	1922	1860-61	273	520,605	551,976	837		As remarked by the Board of Revenue in their proceedings of the 31st ultimo No 234, the new assessment fixed on a classification of the soils and their produce.	1868/69	2002	1275	2296711	2486148	+189437		WD Western delta
	2 Godavery	1101	WD 1861-62 68 CD 1865/66	1101	2054721	2209387	+154666										EXCD Eastern & Central del.
	3 Trichinopoly	1193	1864-65	1193	1619405	1516410	-102995										M.P. Masulipatam portion
	4 Tirunelveli	2615	ME 1865-66	613	579350	759777	+180427		Two powers not only raised the assessment where it has been hitherto unduly low, but also corrected excessive unequal and anomalous rates of land-tax so that the revised Settlements do not always therefore result in an increase of the average demand on the land.	1871/72	824	1277	1175080	1350270	+175190		G.P. Guntur portion
1860/61	5 Salem	2058	1870-71	2058	1831653	1872645	+40992										P.D. Principal Division
	6 Nellore	1394															P Pattikonda Taluk
1861/62	7 Tirunelveli	1853	KE 1864/65	977	729464	712564	-16900										K Kailasgutta &c
1862/63	8 Tinnevely	2023															RV River Valleys
1863/64	9 Cuddalore	2049															P.C. Portion of Cuddalore
1870/71	10 Chingleput	805															
1871/72	11 North Arcot	1064															

Abstract

Number of Districts of which the Settlement was sanctioned from 1856-57 to 1869-70 inclusive	Aggregate area in square miles	Revenue demand of ten years previous to introduction of new Settlements	Revenue demand in 1869-70	Increase or decrease	Remarks
11	4157	Rs 57,17,906	Rs 57,17,906	+214,461	

Do in 1870/71	Do in 1871/72	Do
2058	Rs 1831653	Rs 1872645 + 40992

Total	6215	7385198	7590551	+255453
-------	------	---------	---------	---------

Revenue Settlement Office,
Madras, 23rd February 1872.

(Signed) G. Bambury
As Director of Revenue Settlement.
(True Copy)

Prole
Secretary -

Handwritten notes and signatures at the top of the right page, including a signature that appears to be "James C. Smith".

1830
1831
1832

1833
1834
1835

Handwritten notes and signatures at the bottom of the right page, including a signature that appears to be "James C. Smith".

Handwritten notes and signatures at the top of the left page, including a signature that appears to be "James C. Smith".

Enclosed in the
-ceding of the Doan
of Lawrence, to the
Offs & his Secretary to
Government, D. C.
1837-1838

Attorney for the
-ment of Land & Paving
in the District of Columbia
for the years 1836-37 to 1871
inclusive

Handwritten notes and signatures at the bottom of the left page, including a signature that appears to be "James C. Smith".

Handwritten notes and signatures at the bottom of the left page, including a signature that appears to be "James C. Smith".

11A

As remarked by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings of the 31st ultimo. No 234, the new assessment fixed on a classification of the soils and their productive powers, not only raises the assessment where it has been hitherto unduly low, but also "corrects" excessive, unequal and anomalous rates of land-tax, so that the revised settlements do not always therefore result ^{in an} ~~much~~ increase of the Revenue demand on the land.

Number of Districts or portion of Districts of which the settlement was sanctioned	Area in square miles	Aggregate Revenue demand of the year preceding 1859-60	Revenue demand in 1859-60	Increase or decrease	Remarks
1858-59 to 1859-60 inclusive	4,157	Rs. 5,17,906	Rs. 5,13,545	2,14,468	

1850-54 6,189-70 including 41101
Do in 1870s. -- 1 2,058 18,31,653 Do in 1870s Do in 1870s Do
18,72,645 + 40,992

Total 6 6,215 73,85,198 75,90,551 2,55,453

Revenue Settlement Office
Madras 23^d. February 1872 }

9.2.8.8

True Copy

John
C. Hargis

Signed Geo. Baumburg
Sg. Director of Revenue & Excise

4 Copies Dec 14 1872
In Dec 13 1872

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

Department of Agriculture
Revenue and Commerce

Sir,

With reference to
your letter, dated 10th Feby.
1872, N^o 27, I am directed
to forward herewith a
statement of the Districts
under Settlement in Madras
and the fiscal results
thereof in the form which
accompanied your com-
munication.

2. I transmit also
copies of the explanatory
letter of the Acting Director
of Revenue Settlement, dated
23rd Ultimo, N^o 348, and of
the

Rev. 28 6/72

Enclosure in proceedings
of the Board of Revenue
to the Hon. Secy. to Govt.
of Madras, 10. 12. 72.
dated 7. 12. 72.

Copy of statement
of the Board of Revenue
to the Hon. Secy. to Govt.
of Madras, 10. 12. 72.
dated 7. 12. 72.

the supplementary return
furnished by him to
exhibit more precisely
the result of the Settlement
operations as carried on
in this Presidency.

Reference to

7/3/72

George W.

To Govt of India
Revenue Section
Bombay.

No III-112