

172

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th January 1872.*

Read again G. O., Revenue Department, No. 1,485, dated 29th August 1871 :—
Read also the following papers :—

No. 344. From J. W. HANDLEY, Esq., Government Pleader, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated 30th October 1871, No. 144.

WITH reference to the Proceedings of Government noted in the margin, I have the honour to report, for the information of Government, that C. V. Narayanappa, the Zemindar of Vissanapeta, has now executed the agreement therein referred to. The deed has been duly registered here, and I have sent two of the originals to the Collector of the Kistna District, one to be filed in the Civil Court at Guntur, and the other to be kept in the Collector's Office.

2. I may mention that the Zemindar has not been to blame for the delay in the matter, which has been caused by various questions having arisen as to the proper stamp, necessity for registration, etc., and latterly by objections on the part of the Zemindar to the map and schedule attached to the deed, which were, to a certain extent, well founded.

No. 345. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th December 1871, No. 5,108.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Bapatla, 20th November 1871, No. 3,418.

WITH reference to the correspondence noted in the margin I have the honour to report that Mr. Handley, Government Pleader at Madras, having returned to me the two Deeds of Agreement duly executed by Cavali Venkata Narayanappa,

To Board, 20th January 1871, No. 212.	
From do., 28th February " " 30.	
To do., 16th March " " 897.	
From do., 4th April " " 1,486.	

Zemindar of Vissanapeta, I sent one copy to the Government Vakil in the Civil Court at Guntur, with instructions to present the same to the Court with a petition signed by himself on behalf of the Collector, and by the Vakil authorized by Venkata Narayanappa. I have retained the other copy in the Collector's Office.

2. The deeds in question were registered by the Registrar at Madras on 24th October 1871.

3. A copy of Mr. Handley's letter is enclosed for the information of the Board. I have removed the map from the deed to be filed in the Court as suggested by Mr. Handley.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From J. W. HANDLEY, Esq., Government Pleader, Madras, to the Acting Collector of the Kistna District, dated Madras, 30th October 1871, No. 143.

WITH reference to the correspondence noted in the margin I have the honour to send two Deeds of Agreement duly executed by C. V. Narayanappa, Zemindar of Vissanapeta. The Government Vakil at Guntur should be instructed to present one to be filed in the Civil Court at Guntur, and the Zemindar's Vakil, on proper

From Mr. Boswell to Government Pleader, 31st August 1871, No. 2,697.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th January 1872.*

notice being given him of the day of such presentation, will appear to consent to its being filed. The one to be filed in Court may have the map removed from it. The Zemindar does not admit its accuracy, and is afraid he may be prejudiced if his Vakil consents to its being filed with the deed, and there is no necessity for this being done. The other original is for retention in your Office, and one has been left with the Zemindar. The deed has been duly registered here.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government.

No. 346. Telegram from Collector of the Kistna District, to Revenue Secretary to Government, dated 10th January 1872.
VISSANAPETA Zemindar's agreement has been filed.

No. 347. From C. V. NARAYANAPPA, Zemindar of Vissanapeta, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 15th November 1871.

REFERRING to the orders of Government dated 29th August last, No. 1,485, I have the honour to state, for the information of Government, that the agreement referred to was, in the first instance, executed by me so far back as the 8th February 1871, when it was presented by the Government Pleader under the orders of the Government, and again on the 23rd October 1871, when a fresh instrument was presented consequent on the objection raised by the Collector of the Kistna District in regard to the correctness of the stamp on which he himself had framed the first agreement. This second agreement was duly registered in accordance with the wishes of the Government Pleader J. W. Handley, Esq.

2. Having thus fulfilled the part assigned to me, I request you will be so good as to move the Government now to take into its impartial consideration the facts and merits of my case as set forth in my Memorial dated 18th July last, so that the integrity of the justice bearing thereon may be fully vindicated.

No. 348. ORDER THEREON, 29th January 1872, No. 172.

Miscellaneous.

ON 23rd September 1869 the Government required V. Narayanappa to execute an agreement, abandoning all claim to mesne profits and costs of suit in consideration of the Government waiving in his favour their right to exercise their equity of redemption in regard to the Vissanapeta estate.

2. On 3rd May 1870 they informed him that as soon as this agreement was executed they would be prepared to receive from him any further representation he might be in a position to make on the subject of the costs of the suit.

3. The agreement having been duly executed, registered, and filed in the Guntur Court, petitioner now renews his application on the subject.

4. Before disposing of the application the Government resolve to call on the Government Pleader to furnish them with a statement or estimate of the amount

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th January 1872.*

of petitioner's taxed costs, distinguishing, if possible, so much as was occasioned by his contesting the right of the Crown to take by escheat, which costs were disallowed by the Privy Council in their final decree.

5. The Government Pleader will also state what amount the petitioner has already received with reference to his letter 22nd June 1870.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

No 143.

Madras. 30th October 1871

From, J. W. Handley Esquire
Government Pleader

To J. C. Haunington Esquire
Acting Collector, Krishna District.

Sir,

From W. Boswell Esq
Pleader 31st
August 1871
No 2697

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to send two deeds of agreement duly executed by C. V. Narayanappa Zamindar of Thosaimapeta. The Government Vakil at Guntur should be instructed to present one to be filed in the Court at Guntur and the Zamindar's Vakil, on proper notice being given him of the day of such presentation, will appear to consent to its being filed. The one to be filed in Court may have the stamp removed from it. The Zamindar does not admit its accuracy and is afraid he may be prejudiced if his Vakil consents to its being filed with the deed, and there is no necessity for this being done. The other original is for retention in your office & one has been left with the Zamindar. The deed has been duly registered here.

I have &c.
Signed J. W. Handley
Govt Pleader.

(True Copy)

Haunington
Acting Collector



COURT FEES.

To

W Huddleston Esq^r
Secretary to Govt. Revenue Department
Fort Saint George

Respected Sir

Referring to the Orders of Government, dated 29th August last No. 1485 I have the honor to state for the information of Government that the Agreement referred to was in the first instance executed by me so far back as the 8th February 71 when it was presented by the Government Pleader under the Order of the Government again on the 23rd October 71 when a fresh instrument was presented consequent on the objection raised by the Collector of the Kistna District in regard to the correctness of the Stamp on which he himself had framed the first Agreement. This Second Agreement was duly Registered in accordance with the wishes of the Government Pleader Handley.

Having thus fulfilled the part assigned to me, I request you will be so good, as to move the Government now to take into its impartial consideration the facts and merits of my case, as set forth in my Memorial dated 18th July last, so that the integrity of the Justice bearing thereon may be fully vindicated.

I have the honor to be
Respected Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant

S. Narayanaiah

Ja genda of Tunnore

Jamindar of Tunnore

Madras
15th November
1871

DEPARTMENT

The Collector

of Kistna District

To
The Secretary to Board

Dated 20th November 1871

No. 3418

Copy of a letter

Recd 10/11/71

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 3rd November 1871.
 and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities.
 I am, Sir, very respectfully,
 Yours truly,
 A. S. V.

134
 2. Feb 12th 1866. The
 estate was in the following
 the demand on the 22nd of
 and the collection of \$4201.
 The business was increasing
 and with increasing confidence
 in the management of the
 affairs. The debt is only
 \$1886. partly
 consisting of the
 20% per cent of collection
 the remainder balance
 of the estate now
 assumed at \$17500.
 \$18000. in the prospect of
 improvement
 capitalizing this at
 25 years purchase the
 estate would be worth
 at 1/2% less. The debt

$$\begin{array}{r} 17000 \\ 25 \\ \hline 85000 \\ 34000 \\ \hline 425000 \end{array}$$

the sum of \$100,000
the calculation was
\$20,000 abt.

the claim on his
own valuation was \$186,241.

the value of his property

in the city of New York
in possession.

I think he has got
as much as he could
possibly get.

as to the first claim
against the estate
the question of cost.

the value of the estate
as per the account.
the value of the land
the value of the estate
the value of the estate
the value of the estate

yes and a great
deal more, in
my opinion. J.D.

100000
100000
100000
100000
100000
100000
100000
100000
100000
100000

yes.

154
I am sure that the
for the actual & credit
the present of the
the & the council
for many years.

I expect to do.

J.B.

I am sure that the
the actual & credit
the present of the
the & the council
for many years.

11 Jan. 12.

I am sure.

N.

A.A.

100000

...
...
...
...
...

...
...
Claims -

...
...
...
...
...

...
...
...
...
...

29 August 1771 No 330-31

Revenue 1871. Depart
No. 3665.

From

C. V. Narraiahappaiah
Zemindar of Vissana-
petta

Noted 15th 3 hours 1771.
Received 16th 1771.
H

Submitting his claim
to the cost of the suit,
connected with the Zemini-
dary of Vissanapetta, under
the circumstances represented.

1347

S. C. No 172 - 29 June 1772

Aug 1772. R 19th

C 18 June 1772 R 19th

Received for the above

Cavalry Prukata Narraiahappaiah 18 Nov. 71.
From the Head- 20 Oct. 71.
- N. of the. 20 Dec. 71.
Telegram from the 10. Jan. 72.

Received for the above
from the Head- 20 Oct. 71.
- N. of the. 20 Dec. 71.
Telegram from the 10. Jan. 72.
Received for the above
from the Head- 20 Oct. 71.
- N. of the. 20 Dec. 71.
Telegram from the 10. Jan. 72.
Received for the above
from the Head- 20 Oct. 71.
- N. of the. 20 Dec. 71.
Telegram from the 10. Jan. 72.

by his enticement & strength
of the Crown & Church
Bishop.

He is estimated that
his claim under this decree (exclusive of cash)
be amount to about 2 1/2 laes.

that he had recd about 2 laes
during the 11 years that he was

in possession and that
I see would be the payable...

by for under this equity
of redemption & new
possession of the Estate.

Now on his own assertion
claim for more than 4 1/2 laes.

Report in April by Nicholas
I have him in undisturbed
possession of the Estate.
Subject to the condition of

his entering an affidavit
that he is the owner of
the left 1/4 of the land

all for the claims on
account of the same
work on the 1st

In May 1780 the Govt
in reply to the
representation of the

him that according
they heard that this
agreement had been
fully executed the Govt

he proposed to consider
his further representation
on the subject of the
locks only

For further order in
this case he was permitted
to have all certificates
removed by him

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 29th January 1872.

Read the following again G.O.

Rev. Dept. No 1485 dated

29th August 1871.

Read also the following.

From the Gov^t Pleader
Reporting that C. V. Narraimappa, Zemindar of Vissanapettah, has executed the agreement relative to the suit in which he claimed costs.

H.E. 30th Oct 1871, No 144.

Pro. Board of Revenue

" 20 Decr. 1871, No 5108.

Del from Coll^r of Kistna

" 10 Jan'y 1872

Del from J. V. Narraimappa
Zemindar of Vissanapettah

" 15 November 1871

On 23rd Sept. 1869,

the Gov^t required

V. Narraimappa to

execute an agreement

abandoning all claim

to

In July 1869 the Gov^t required
V. Narraimappa to execute an
agreement relative to the suit in
which he claimed costs. and was
informed that he had executed
the agreement. On 29th Aug 1871
the Gov^t required V. Narraimappa
to execute an agreement relative to
the suit in which he claimed costs.
On 23rd Sept 1869 the Gov^t required
V. Narraimappa to execute an
agreement relative to the suit in
which he claimed costs. On 29th Aug
1871 the Gov^t required V. Narraimappa
to execute an agreement relative to
the suit in which he claimed costs.
On 23rd Sept 1869 the Gov^t required
V. Narraimappa to execute an
agreement relative to the suit in
which he claimed costs. On 29th Aug
1871 the Gov^t required V. Narraimappa
to execute an agreement relative to
the suit in which he claimed costs.

G.O. 29th Aug 71
1485.

to meane profits and
costs of suit in considera-
tion of the Govt waiving
in his favor their right
to exercise their equity
of redemption in regard
to the Vissanapettah Estate.

2. On 3^d May 1870
they informed him that
as soon as this agree-
ment was executed
they would be prepared
to receive from him any
further representation
he might be in a posi-
tion to make on the
subject of the costs
of the suit.

The

3. The agreement
having been duly
executed, registered,
and filed in the Court,
Petitioner now
renews his application
on the subject.

4. Before disposing
of the application the
Govt resolve to call on
the Govt Pleader to
furnish them with
a statement or esti-
mate of the amount
of Petitioner's taxed
costs - distinguishing
if possible so much
as was occasioned by
his

his contesting the right
of the Crown to take
by Escheat, which
costs were disallowed

by the Privy Council
in their final decree.

5. The Govt Pleader
will also state what
amount the Petitioner
has already received,
with reference to his
letter of 22nd June 1870

L.D.

3. Govt Pleader Depo 30 Jan'y/72
The Board of Revenue

JP