REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
OOTACAMUND,
16*th September* 1872.

No. 1,325.

No. 100.
To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

Separate Revenue, No. 10.
Salt.

SIR,—I am directed to request that this Government may be favoured with an expression of the opinion of the Government of Bombay on the working of the Salt Excise System as compared with the so-called "Government Monopoly" system of raising a revenue from salt.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

Madras Sept 12

My dear Sir,

Read a long talk with Pritchard, the Salt Commissioner, at Poona.

He says that the exercise System has resulted in so much loss of revenue that many other evils that the Bombay Govt have decided against it are introducing the

Madras monopoly system as fast as they can

It thinks it very strange that Madras is introducing the very system which has been tried & failed in Bombay

Amongst the districts where there has been a great loss of revenue he specially mentioned N. Canara.

The Governor & Colonel Anderson & I discussed

Coimbatore. Sunday

My dear Wallis to

I gave also a note from Vote. It would [illegible] back the Bishop [illegible] the result of the [illegible] of the Salt [illegible] in S. [illegible] many things [illegible] many points [illegible] [illegible] It is thinking

Revenue Department.

16th Sept 72.

No. 1325.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Govt.
of Bombay.

Sir,

I am directed to request that this Govt may be favored with an expression of the opinion of the Govt of Bombay on the working of the Salt Excise System as compared with the so called "Govt Monopoly" system of raising a revenue from Salt.

I have &c.

Despd in D.S.
16th Sept 72
3 Bound Copies and 2 Sep