al, Madras, to the Secretary to Govern-
ed Fort St. George, 7th September 1872,

eceipt of the Proceedings of Government,
sorry to have to ask that the case may
submit, too, that the present would be
a positive ruling for future guidance; for
that I have never pressed for a reference
nful reluctance, and in cases in which I
ould have been at once admitted by any
have had the honour to serve, if, indeed,
suing them.

Nc
be re
a convenient opportunity t
I can assure His Excellency in
to the Supreme Government with
think the necessity for further sanctio
one of the other Administrations which
it had not sought that sanction before i

2. Of course, no one pretends th
a local Government in employing it
without increased charge to the State;
only the actual travelling charges of
reference for the approval of the Gove
Mr. Dalyell's deputation to inquire int
ment of a substitute for him, besides
mensem; the accident that Mr. Barlow
consequently his deputation allowance i
prejudice the case; but the special em

| | Rs. | A. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Sub-Collector, acting as Collector. | 777 | 12 | 5 |
| Head Assistant, acting as Sub-Collector... .. ... ... ... ... | 288 | 14 | 2 |
| Assistant, acting as Head Assistant. | 200 | 0 | 0 |
| Total ... | 1,266 | 10 | 7 |

there is any restraint on the discretion of
establishments on any duty it pleases
but when that increased charge has been
the officer deputed, I have often known
rnment of India. In the present instance,
o the Abkari system necessitates employ-
the special allowance of Rs. 500 per
has no permanent appointment, and that
exceptionally large (Rs. 1,750), need not
ployment of a Collector ordinarily neces-
sitates the chain of acting appointments
shown in the margin, and a consequent
extra charge of Rs. 1,266-10-7 per
mensem. It is not for the special
employment of Mr. Dalyell, but for the
consequent extra charge (for acting
officers and for his special allowance) that the sanction of the Supreme Govern-
ment is needed, according to the views which I respectfully lay before Govern-
ment.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
*Secretary to Government.*

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
OOTACAMUND,
13*th Septer*r 1872.

2.

ERNMENT OF INDIA,

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Separate Revenue, No.

*Abkari.*

SIR,—I am directed, at the request f the Acting Accountant-General, to nit the following arrangements for confirmation of the Government of dia.

2. Mr. Dalyell, when lately Acting a Member of the Board of Revenue, was deputed by Government to inquire o the working of the Sadr Distillery system in Mysore, and, on the completio that inquiry, was directed to extend his investigation into the working of the Abkari system into the district of Salem, which adjoins Mysore.

3. The inquiry is deemed of much importance in connection with an important branch of revenue, and will probably need to be prosecuted over a yet wider area; and this Government request that the attending additional charge for deputation allowance, under the rules, to Mr. Dalyell and the officer who continues to act for him in his own appointment, may be sanctioned by the Government of India.

4. I am, however, directed to add that, although this reference has been made at the reiterated request of the Acting Accountant-General, it is not in the opinion of this Government necessary.

5. The deputation allowance in question is granted, according to long established rule, to any officer on deputation beyond his own district on duty, and the nomination of an officer to act for him during his absence is a necessary consequence.

6. The question raised by the Accountant-General is, in fact, as to the competency of this Government to decide when it may be necessary, in the public interests, to institute inquiries which entail the deputation of an officer beyond his own district; and this Government are of opinion that they are perfectly competent to decide the point without reference to the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
*Secretary to Government.*

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Post Audit Depart.

No. 51

Dated Simla the 7th September 1872

Received in Audit Office the 7th September 1872

From The Accountant General

Madras

To The Secretary to Government

Remarks, Departments

Sir

I have the honor to state for your information with reference to the proceedings of Government No 1267 dated 31st August 1872 and to request that the case may be referred to the Government of India for submission to the Secretary of State for India in Council.

2. In doing so I would beg to point out that the question involved is one of considerable importance, and that it would be convenient if it could be settled once for all, so as to obviate the necessity for future correspondence. I would also request that His Excellency in Council will be moved to direct that the Government of India be moved for a reference to the Supreme Government without unnecessary delay, and in case it should be decided that the Madras Government is entitled to the privilege claimed, that the Supreme Government will be moved to direct that the Madras Government be informed of the decision without delay.

3. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

4. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

5. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

6. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

7. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

8. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

9. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

10. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

11. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

12. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

13. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

14. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

15. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

16. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

17. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

18. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

19. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

20. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

21. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

22. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

23. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

24. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

25. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

26. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

27. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

28. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

29. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

30. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

31. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

32. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

33. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

34. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

35. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

36. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

37. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

38. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

39. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

40. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

41. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

42. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

43. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

44. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

45. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

46. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

47. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

48. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

49. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

50. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

51. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

52. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

53. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

54. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

55. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

56. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

57. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

58. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

59. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

60. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

61. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

62. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

63. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

64. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

65. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

66. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

67. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

68. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

69. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

70. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

71. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

72. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

73. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

74. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

75. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

76. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

77. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

78. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

79. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

80. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

81. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

82. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

83. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

84. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

85. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

86. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

87. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

88. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

89. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

90. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

91. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

92. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

93. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

94. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

95. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

96. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

97. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

98. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

99. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

100. I would also point out that the Madras Government has been allowed to exercise the privilege claimed for a period of 12 years, and that during that time no objection has been taken to the exercise of the privilege by the Supreme Government.

Sub Coll.ra acting as Coll.r 777-12-6
Remd Assists. — Sub Coll.r 288-11-2
Assists. — Ad.t Assists 200-0-0
1266-10-7

Rec. 1872 Dept
No 2967
Finance
The Accountant
The Secy to Govt
Revd Dept

Dated 7th Sept 1872
Rec'd 11th Sept 1872

No 352

Requests that the case of Mr Dalyell referred to in G.O. 31 Augt 1872 & No 1267 may be referred to the Govt of India -

No 951

Letter to Govt of India No
No S.L. No 1322 - 13th Sept 72
Copy for ref -

Rec'd in No 5 on the 13 Sept 72
75 C (13 Sept 72)

Revenue Department
13 Sept 72

No 1322

From

The

to the Govt of India
Financial Dept

Sir

I am directed, at the request of the Actg Accountant General, to submit the following arrangements for the confirmation of the Govt of India.

2 Mr Dalyell, when lately acting as a member of the Board of Revenue, was deputed by Govt to enquire into the working of the Stills & Distillery Systems in Mysore, and, on the completion of that enquiry, was directed to extend his investigation into the working of the Abkari system into the District of Salem, which adjoins Mysore.

The enquiry is deemed

deemed of minor importance in connection with an important branch of Revenue - and will probably need to be presented ere a yet wider area - and this Govt request that the attending additional charge for deputation allowance under the Rules, to be paid to and the Officer who continues to act for him in his own appointment may be sanctioned by the Govt of India.

4 I am, however, directed to add that, altho' this reference has been made at the re-iterated request of the Ag Accountant General, it is not in the opinion of this Govt necessary

5 The deputation allowance in question is granted, according to long established rule, to any Officer on deputation beyond his own District or duty - and the nomination of an Officer to act for him during his absence is a necessary consequence.

6.

6. The question raised by the Accountant Genl is in fact as to the competency of this Govt to decide when it may be necessary in the public interests to institute enquiries which entail the deputation of an Officer beyond his own district - and this Govt are of opinion that they are perfectly competent to decide the point without reference to the Govt of India.

J.P.

Despd in H.S.
13 Sept 72.