

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Revenue***DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1285

Press
Mia

26-27

Dated 6

9

18-72

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
6th September 1872.*

No. 26. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th August 1872, No. 1,495 :—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 6th April 1872, No. 541.

Read also the following letters :—

From J. H. MASTER, Esq., Acting Collector of Bellary, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 10th May 1872, No. 140.

WITH reference to the Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, No. 1,754, dated 6th October 1871, forwarded with the Board's endorsement, Miscellaneous No. 3,638, dated 18th idem, and to G. O., Revenue Department, No. 2,006, dated 24th November 1871, forwarded with the Board's endorsement, Miscellaneous No. 85, dated 4th January 1872, and to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 6th instant, on letter No. 483-19 from the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, I have the honour to state that though the report of Mr. Gompertz, 1st Assistant Superintendent, was received early in February, the last of the maps referring to the boundary disputes in question was only received from him on the 17th ultimo.

2. I beg to enclose copy of Mr. Gompertz report. Out of thirty cases of disputed boundary, thirteen were settled by amicable arrangement between the villagers themselves.

3. The remaining seventeen were settled after inquiry by Mr. Gompertz and Mr. Meiklejohn, Assistant Superintendent of the Mysore Survey. A map and a memorandum of grounds of decision are given for each dispute and are herewith enclosed.*

* Sent by rail.

4. In one case, No. 28, in consequence of the absence of an Inamdar, Mr. Gompertz thought fit, with my concurrence, to reserve to the Madras Government the right to re-open the matter—(vide paragraphs 2, 3, and 4 of his letter); but as it was subsequently discovered that the case had originally been settled by a Panchayet properly convened in 1866, this is now unnecessary.

5. The total amount of acreage awarded to Bellary is 772 acres and odd, and that to Mysore 910 acres and odd; I have the honour to request that the sanction of the Government to the above settlements may be accorded, and that the plans and other papers may be returned to this office for record.

6. Mr. Gompertz had addressed me on the subject of a special allowance being granted him for the time he was employed in settling the boundary. With this of course I have nothing to do. The duty assigned to him has been performed satisfactorily.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Copy of Mr. Gompertz's report.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Map and grounds of decision.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
6th September 1872.*

From the same Officer, dated 10th May 1872, No. 141.

IN continuation of my letter No. 140 of to-day's date, I have the honour to report upon the settlement of other boundary disputes between this district and Mysore.

2. In the latter part of 1870, Captain Grant, Superintendent of the Mysore Survey, requested me to depute an officer to meet Mr. Barker, Assistant Superintendent, for the settlement of certain disputed boundaries between the taluqs of Kudlighi, Bellary, Raidrug, and Dharmavaram, and the Mysore territory.

3. I accordingly deputed Mr. Weld, Assistant Collector, and the two officers in conjunction settled twenty-six disputes.

4. Enclosed is their joint report. Maps of the localities are also sent; the red line showing the boundary as settled. One, that for case No. 25, is wanting; I have applied to Mr. Barker for a copy.

5. The submission of this report has been delayed pending the settlement of the boundaries to the south of the district, that the sanction of Government might be applied for at one and the same time.

6. Four disputes still remain to be settled between villages of the Hindupur Taluq and the Mysore territory; a list is enclosed. A copy has been forwarded to the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 17.—Plans.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 18 and 19.—Report and Statement.

RESOLVED that the foregoing papers be submitted for the information and orders of Government, with reference to G. Os., dated 6th October 1871, No. 1,754, and 24th November 1871, No. 2,006.

2. With each of his letters the Collector submits a report on the settlement of the boundary disputes between Bellary and Mysore.

3. Letter No. 140 forwards a report from Mr. Gompertz, Assistant Superintendent of Revenue Survey, of the settlement effected by him in conjunction with Mr. Meicklejohn of the Mysore Survey Department.

4. It will be seen that thirty disputes have been disposed of, thirteen being settled by amicable arrangement between the villagers themselves, and the remaining seventeen cases decided by such evidence as could be obtained.

5. The number of acres in dispute appears to have been 1,682, of which 772 have been given to Bellary and 910 to Mysore.

6. The method adopted in arriving at these decisions was (in the case of cultivated land) to give it to the actual cultivators. Where the land in dispute was waste, the decision was according to the evidence, where any existed; and where there was none, the land was divided equally between the claimants, with due regard to such natural features as would suggest themselves as good boundary marks.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
6th September 1872.*

7. The only case calling for special notice is that numbered 28; and in this it was thought fit to reserve to the Madras Government the right to re-open the question if desirable.

8. The Bellary land in dispute belonged to an Inam village and the question had been already settled in 1866. The Inamdar subsequently appealed to the Acting Sub-Collector against the decision and his appeal was rejected. During the recent settlement, the Inamdar was absent and it became necessary to settle the matter without reference to him. The previous decision has been upheld, subject to the proviso above mentioned.

9. The report forwarded with letter No. 141 concerns the settlement of further disputed boundaries between the taluqs of Kudlighi, Bellary, Raidrug, and Dharmavaram and the Mysore territory.

10. In this instance, Mr. Barker, of the Mysore Survey Department, acted in conjunction with Mr. Weld, Assistant Collector of Bellary.

11. Twenty-seven cases have been disposed of, four disputes in which villages in the Hindupur Taluq are concerned remaining unsettled for the present.

12. The principle adopted in deciding these disputes was exactly similar to that of Mr. Gompertz and none of the cases call for any special remark.

13. The first four disputes reported on were between Mulculmuru (Mysore) and the neighbouring Hospett Taluqs. The remaining cases were, one between Mulculmuru and Bellary, ten between Mulculmuru and Kudlighi, seven between Mulculmuru and Raidrug, one between Dodair and Raidrug, and one between Dodair and Dharmavaram.

14. Five of these disputes appear to have been arranged, without interference, by the villagers themselves, and the rest have been decided as in the cases mentioned above.

15. The Board's Proceedings of 6th April 1872, No. 541 embody a letter from Colonel Priestley recommending that a special allowance should be granted to Mr. Gompertz in consideration of the work performed by him and the extra expense incurred during the two months he was engaged in arranging these disputes.

16. The Board allowed this recommendation to remain over till the receipt of the Collector's reports, from which it now appears that Mr. Gompertz has performed his work in a satisfactory manner.

17. In a somewhat similar case (viz., the arrangement of the disputed boundary between the Nellambur Rajah and Government) a special allowance* of Rupees 500 was granted to Major Hessey, who acted as arbitrator on that occasion, and the Board would recommend (seeing that Mr. Gompertz worked all through the Christmas holidays and is stated to have done so to good purpose) that an honorarium of Rupees 400 be granted to him as an acknowledgment of his services and to reimburse him for such extra expenses as he has incurred as mentioned in paragraph 2 of Colonel Priestley's letter, embodied in the Board's Proceedings of 6th April last.

* G. O. of 24th January 1871, No. 158.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department.
6th September 1872.

No. 27. ORDER THEREON, 6th September 1872, No. 1,285.

Miscellaneous.

THE Governor in Council accepts the decisions arrived at by the Survey Officers deputed to settle the boundary disputes between villages on the Mysore and Bellary Frontier.

2. The Accountant-General is authorized to pass to Mr. Gompertz an honorarium of Rs. 400, in acknowledgment of his special services and reimbursement of his extra expenses on the occasion, as recommended by the Board.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

„ the Accountant-General.

„ the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

„ the Director of Revenue Settlement.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

21-8-90
7-2-91
31-8-96
17-1-95
6-2-05
11-8-09
12-10-09
27-5-08
25-1-10
15-12-10
11-7-13
4-6-12

Boundary Disputes between Villages on the Mysore and Bellary Frontier.—Decisions arrived at by the officers deputed to settle the disputes in question accepted, and an honorarium passed to Mr. Gompertz in acknowledgment of his special services.

SEPTEMBER.
Nos. 26-27.

G. O. of 6th September 1872, No. 1,385.

Dated September 6th.
Recd. Encl.

REVENUE.
THE BOARD OF REVENUE.
GOVT. OF 1872, MYSORE.
CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA AND INDIAN STATES.

No. 1,495.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 5th August 1872.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 6th April 1870, No. 541.

Read also the following letters:—

From J. H. MASTER, Esq., Acting Collector of Bellary, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 10th May 1872, No. 140.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, No. 1,754, dated 6th October 1871, forwarded with the Board's endorsement, Miscellaneous No. 3,638, dated 18th idem, and to G. O., Revenue Department, No. 2,006, dated 24th November 1871, forwarded with the Board's endorsement, Miscellaneous No. 85, dated 4th January 1872, and to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 6th instant, on letter No. 483-19 from the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, I have the honour to state that though the report of Mr. Gompertz, 1st Assistant Superintendent, was received early in February, the last of the maps referring to the boundary disputes in question was only received from him on the 17th ultimo.

2. I beg to enclose copy of Mr. Gompertz report. Out of thirty cases of disputed boundary, thirteen were settled by amicable arrangement between the villagers themselves.

3. The remaining seventeen were settled after inquiry by Mr. Gompertz and Mr. Meiklejohn, Assistant Superintendent of the Mysore Survey. A map and a memorandum of grounds of decision are given for each dispute and are herewith enclosed.*

* Sent by rail.

4. In case, No. 28, in consequence of the absence of an Inamdar, Mr. Gompertz thought fit, with my concurrence, to reserve to the Madras Government the right to re-open the matter—(vide paragraphs 2, 3, and 4 of his letter); but as it was subsequently discovered that the case had originally been settled by a Panchayet properly convened in 1866, this is now unnecessary.

5. The total amount of acreage awarded to Bellary is 772 acres and odd, and that to Mysore 910 acres and odd; I have the honour to request that the sanction of the Government to the above settlements may be accorded, and that the plans and other papers may be returned to this office for record.

6. Mr. Gompertz had addressed me on the subject of a special allowance being granted him for the time he was employed in settling the boundary. With this of course I have nothing to do. The duty assigned to him has been performed satisfactorily.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Copy of Mr. Gompertz's report.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Map and grounds of decision.

From the same officer, dated 10th May 1872, No. 141.

In continuation of my letter No. 140 of to-day's date, I have the honour to report upon the settlement of other boundary disputes between this district and Mysore.

2. In the latter part of 1870, Captain Grant, Superintendent of the Mysore Survey, requested me to depute an officer to meet Mr. Barker, Assistant Superintendent, for the settlement of certain disputed boundaries between the taluqs of Kudlighi, Bellary, Raidrug, and Dharmavaram, and the Mysore territory.

3. I accordingly deputed Mr. Weld, Assistant Collector, and the two officers in conjunction settled twenty-six disputes.

4. Enclosed is their joint report. Maps of the localities are also sent; the red line showing the boundary as settled. One, that for case No. 25, is wanting; I have applied to Mr. Barker for a copy.

5. The submission of this report has been delayed pending the settlement of the boundaries to the south of the district, that the sanction of Government might be applied for at one and the same time.

6. Four disputes still remain to be settled between villages of the Hindupur Taluq and the Mysore territory: a list is enclosed. A copy has been forwarded to the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 17. — Plans.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 18 and 19. — Report and Statement.

RESOLVED that the foregoing papers be submitted for the information and orders of Government, with reference to G. Os., dated 6th October 1871, No. 1,754, and 24th November 1871, No. 2,006.

2. With each of his letters the Collector submits a report on the settlement of the boundary disputes between Bellary and Mysore.

3. Letter No. 140 forwards a report from Mr. Gompertz, Assistant Superintendent of Revenue Survey, of the settlement effected by him in conjunction with Mr. Meicklejohn of the Mysore Survey Department.

4. It will be seen that thirty disputes have been disposed of, thirteen being settled by amicable arrangement between the villagers themselves, and the remaining seventeen cases decided by such evidence as could be obtained.

5. The number of acres in dispute appears to have been 1,682, of which 772 have been given to Bellary and 910 to Mysore.

6. The method adopted in arriving at these decisions was (in the case of cultivated land) to give it to the actual cultivators. Where the land in dispute was waste, the decision was according to the evidence, where any existed; and where there was none, the land was divided equally between the claimants, with due regard to such natural features as would suggest themselves as good boundary marks.

7. The only case calling for special notice is that numbered 28; and in this it was thought fit to reserve to the Madras Government the right to re-open the question if desirable.

8. The Bellary land in dispute belonged to an Inam village and the question had been already settled in 1866. The Inamdar subsequently appealed to the Acting Sub-Collector against the decision and his appeal was rejected. During the recent settlement, the Inamdar was absent and it became necessary to settle the matter without reference to him. The previous decision has been upheld, subject to the proviso above mentioned.

9. The report forwarded with letter No. 141 concerns the settlement of further disputed boundaries between the taluqs of Kudlighi, Bellary, Raidrug, and Dharmavaram and the Mysore territory.

10. In this instance, Mr. Barker, of the Mysore Survey Department, acted in conjunction with Mr. Weld, Assistant Collector of Bellary.

11. Twenty-seven cases have been disposed of, four disputes in which villages in the Hindupur Taluq are concerned remaining unsettled for the present.

12. The principle adopted in deciding these disputes was exactly similar to that of Mr. Gompertz and none of the cases call for any special remark.

13. The first four disputes reported on were between Mulculmuru (Mysore) and the neighbouring Hospett Taluqs. The remaining cases were, one between Mulculmuru and Bellary, ten between Mulculmuru and Kudlighi, seven between Mulculmuru and Raidrug, one between Dodair and Raidrug, and one between Dodair and Dharmavaram.

14. Five of these disputes appear to have been arranged, without interference, by the villagers themselves, and the rest have been decided as in the cases mentioned above.

15. The Board's Proceedings of 6th April 1872, No. 541 embody a letter from Colonel Priestley recommending that a special allowance should be granted to Mr. Gompertz in consideration of the work performed by him and the extra expense incurred during the two months he was engaged in arranging these disputes.

16. The Board allowed this recommendation to remain over till the receipt of the Collector's reports, from which it now appears that Mr. Gompertz has performed his work in a satisfactory manner.

17. In a somewhat similar case (viz., the arrangement of the disputed boundary between the Nellambur Rajah and Government) a special allowance* of Rupees 500 was granted to Major Hessey, who acted as arbitrator on that occasion, and the Board would recommend (seeing that Mr. Gompertz worked all through the Christmas holidays and is stated to have done so to good purpose) that an honorarium of Rupees 400 be granted to him as an acknowledgment of his services and to reimburse him for such extra expenses as he has incurred as mentioned in paragraph 2 of Colonel Priestley's letter, embodied in the Board's Proceedings of 6th April last.

(True Copies and Extract.)

J. G. Gole
Secretary.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, R. D., with copy of Board's Proceedings, dated 6th April 1872, No. 541, seventeen plans, one map, two reports, a statement, and grounds of decision.

Copy to the Collector of Bellary.

.. to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

End. T. Cartledge.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 5th August 1872

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Sub^d for orders reports from Collector on settlement of boundary disputes between Bellary Dist^t and Mysore territory and Board's Pro^s containing recommendation of Supt. Rev. Survey for grant of a honorarium to Asst. Supt. Mr. Gompertz for his services in this matter.

(H.E. 5th August 1872, N^o 1495)

The Governor in Council accepts the decisions arrived at by the Survey officers deputed to settle the boundary disputes between villages on the Mysore and Bellary frontier.

187
A. V.
Jt

No. 2691
Rev: 1872. 11th Sept.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 5TH AUGUST 1872, NO. 1,495.

20/29 Aug 1872

Received 15th August 1872

AUGUST.
No. 1,495.

Boundary disputes—between Bellary District and Mysore territory.—Reports from Collector on settlement of—and Board's Proceedings containing recommendation of Superintendent, Revenue Survey, for grant of a honorarium to Assistant Superintendent Mr. Gompertz for his services in this matter, submitted for order of Government, with remarks.

No. 1285-6-26/72

The Accountant General
is authorized to pass to
Mr. Gompertz an honorarium
of Rs 400 in acknowledgment
of his special services and
reimbursement of his
extra expenses on the
occasion as recommended
by the Board.

LB

14. Board of Revenue 13. Sept 72
6. Act. Genl. D.
13. Secy. of Revenue
13. Director of Revenue

4

Boundary dispute
between villages in the
Hymn & Killyferrie

1844

No 26-27

19th Aug 172 R 6th Sept