

*Proceedings of the Madras Government. Revenue Department,
19th January 1872.*

Read again G. O., 12th December 1871, No. 2,099, and 5th January 1872, No. 15.

No. 237.

Dated 19th January No. 121.

Miscellaneous.

In their Proceedings of 11th November, No. 4,676, and 11th December 1871, No. 4,986, relating to

the transfer of District Tappal Establishments to the control of the Postmaster-General, which were disposed of in the above G. Os., the Board of Revenue assumed that on such transfer the Postmaster-General would provide for future charges, but the Government pointed out that in such case the budget provision in the Revenue grants to meet the charges must be transferred also.

2. The Government have since learnt that when the control of the District Tappal Establishment is placed in the hands of the Postmaster-General, the charges, as previously sanctioned, continue to be disbursed by the Collector. The result is the same as if the grant for the service were transferred, so far as the effect on the Postmaster-General's budget is concerned.

3. The Government notice the point in order that it may be understood that the cost cannot be charged to the Postmaster-General's Department unless the provision to meet it is relinquished by the Revenue Department and added to the Postmaster-General's budget-grant.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

„ the Postmaster-General, } with G. O. No. 2,099.
„ the Accountant-General, }

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

12-306 ✓

29-10-26 ✓



Revenue Department.

No. 121-

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 19th January 1872.

Read the following again

G. O. 12th December 1871. No. 2099

5th January 1872. No. 15

In their Proceedings
of 11th November, No. 4676, and
11th December 1871, No. 4986,
relating to the transfer of
District Pappal Establishments
to the control of Post Master
General which were disposed
of in the above G.O's, the
Board of Revenue assumed
that on such transfer, the
Post Master General would
provide for future charges,
but the Govt. pointed out,
that in such case the
Budget provision in the
Revenue Grants to meet
the

Is

the charges must be transferred also.

The Govt. have since learnt, that when the control of the District Tappal establishment is placed in the hands of the Postmaster General, the charges as previously sanctioned continue to be disbursed by the Collector. The result is the same as if the grant for the service were transferred, so far as the effect on the Postmaster General's Budget is concerned.

The Govt. notice the point in order that the ~~Board~~ may ^{understand} that the cost cannot be charged to the Postmaster General's department, unless the provision

provision to meet it is relinquished by the Revenue department and added to the Postmaster General's budget grant.

L.B.

14. Bt. of No. Decr 22^d Jan'y 72
2. Copy of Bt. of No. with G.O. No 2099
6. Accts. book with G.O. No 2099.

Ms 237

Transfer of Dist. Post
Office to Control of
Post Master General