

No. 51. Read the following letter from the Acting Accountant-General, Madras, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort St. George, 22nd August 1875:—

ADVERTING to your Assistant's letter of the 13th

Kistna.	Ganjam.
Godavery.	Salem.
Vizagapatam.	Trichinopoly.

the treasury of South Canara (Mangalore) has not yet reached me.

2. The Board of Revenue, to whom they have given instructions for remedying several defects. Copies of their Proceedings are herein enclosed.

3. In all his reports Mr. Fitzgerald

* *Vide* paragraph 3 of Resolution of Government of India No. 1,506 of 31st March 1865, Financial Department.

confine the entries in the ledger to deposits

4. I beg to state, with reference to

† Copy enclosed.

the extract registers sent to this office and officers to the Board which were encumbered with items of a similar recurring nature should

5. It is apparent that in the districts in others also, the register of receipts of a mistaken idea that it was no longer required. I have, however, forwarded to the Board for the Collectors that the register of deposits which has been maintained should be at once resumed, and in the personal ledger that the procedure from the Comptroller-General, No. 4 of 30th March 1875, communicated to them, should be followed.

6. Mr. Fitzgerald states that in some cases the balances of deposits have not been readily ascertained, but had the register been maintained, and each deposit when of a similar recurring nature, the repayment

23rd January 1872, I have the honor to forward herewith a report from Mr. Fitzgerald of the treasuries, noted and inspected by him. The report has been inspected by him, but his

reports have been communicated, and the defects brought to notice.

observations on the personal ledger for revenue and general deposits* "on one payable to one person" have been made. His suggestions are to be one person.

It is, that in their Proceedings† of September 1869, No. 7,058, the Board, with a view to simplify the returns sent by treasury numerous entries, directed that they should be entered into the personal ledger.

It has been inspected, and probably have not been kept up under a personal ledger was opened. I have drafted a Circular, instructing the treasuries to have a personal ledger, which has not been continued to be referred to items requiring record in paragraph 11 of Circular No. 365, which was not previously

subsidary books have been opened so that balances of deposits may be readily ascertained, and each deposit when entered therein, including items of a similar recurring nature, the repayment

Government, Revenue Department,
1872.

because under this system

7. It is stated by Mr. Fitz
efficient examination of the depu
that the monthly extracts receive
prescribed by the Comptroller-Gen
April and 30th May 1865.

8. It seems to me, an

* 4th April 1868,

that every voucher on wh
ment is made from items p
outstanding balance to m
vouchers which may appea
take care that the totals of

9. I would beg to refer to
of 23rd September 1871 passed
reference to paragraph 6 of
to state that no report was
time of its receipt on tour,
stay at the Presidency wo

10. The delay which
my having awaited the Bo
Two reminders have been
for sometime, I have thoug

From the Officiating Under-Secretary
the Accountant-General

In acknowledging the receipt
ing Mr. Fitzgerald's reports on

† No. 265, dated 28th May

meaning of the third sentence in
there may be a separate personal
account, namely, on account of
one ledger should be kept up for
check.

Copy of the above and
Government of Madras for in

would have been no necessity for these
culity in ascertaining the balance can arise.
hat he does "not think there can be an
actions" in this office, but I would observe
n treasury officers are in the forms
a his Circulars Nos. 1 and 4, dated 24th

union my predecessor concurs (*vide* his
letter* to Government annexed), that it
is the duty of the treasury officer to see
made is genuine, and that no repay-
onal ledger, until the sufficiency of the
ertained, while this office must reject
n in any way tampered with, and has to
counts are not over-drawn.

l's remarks in their Proceedings No. 4,092
report on the Kistna treasury, and with
dings No. 4,797 of 21st November 1871,
on Mr. Fitzgerald, because he was at the
nown that after his return therefrom his
rt.

e in disposing of this case has arisen from
the reference alluded to in paragraph 5.
answer appears likely to be forthcoming
le to delay this report no longer.

RE No. 1.

Government of India, Financial Department, to
d Simla, 28th June 1872, No. 583.

No. 8,765, dated the 22nd March 1872, forward-
tain treasuries in the Madras Presidency, I am
directed to forward a copy of the Comptroller-
General's report† thereon, and to state that the
ation No. 1,506 dated 31st March 1865, is that
payable to the *same person* or on the *same*
state, and so forth. It never was intended that
estate, and to do so, would be to remove all

to which it is a reply forwarded to the

Order, etc.)

(Signed) D. BARBOUR,
Under-Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Proceedings of the Madras Govern
6th July 1872

ORDER THEREON, 6th J.

Miscellaneous.

(True Extra
(Sign

Board of Revenue.

Bantleman.

Revenue 1872

No. 2240

From

The Govt of India

Financial Depart^t

Dated 28th June } 1872.
Recd 5th July }

En. 1.

Des

Forwarding copy of
Comptroller General's report on
the state of certain treasures in
the Madras Presidency explaining
the intent of the 3rd Sentence
in Financial Resolution No. 1505,
dated 31st March 1865.

No. 1035

Comd^r to the Hon^{ble} Secy.

LK

5th July 1872

111 To Ld. Secy. of Govt. Dec. 11 July