No. 33. Read the following letter from the Officiating Accountant-General, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Ootacamund, dated Fort St. George, 17th June 1872, No. 69 :—

With reference to Proceedings No. 939, dated 13th instant, I have the honour to submit that as the estate of which Mr. Lee-Warner is in charge is attached, it is clear from Section 31 of the Pension Code that the recovery which I proposed is necessary. That Section says expressly that such service does not qualify for pension, and refers to Chapter VII. of the Code, evidently as describing the process by which the service can still be allowed to count. If other Government servants are employed under Mr. Lee-Warner, similar recoveries should be made from their pay.

No. 34. Order thereon, 2nd July 1872, No. 1,026.

Miscellaneous.

It is quite evident from the Section quoted by the Accountant-General that the "attached estates" therein cited are estates attached *under orders of Court* and not for realization of arrears of revenue.

2. The Section expressly defines the service as "paid from funds which Government holds only *as a Trustee.*"

3. In the case of Ramnad the Government is realizing its own dues for itself, and Mr. Lee-Warner is performing public service.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No 2067

Revenue 1872 Department.

ACCTT. GENL.'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

No.

From

The ~~Dep~~ Accountant General, Madras.

To The Secretary
to Govt. Rev. Departt.
at Ootacamund

Dated 17 June 1872
Recd. 19
Ansd. } No. of answer

Subject.

Submits that as the Estate of which Mrs. Lee Warner is in charge is attached, it is clear from Section 31 of the Pension Code that the recovery proposed by him is necessary.

With order
No 1026 of 2d July 72