

(1) Number of pages 4(2) Date of repair 26-4-43

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

6

Press
~~Mis.~~

11-12

Dated

4-1-

1872.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.

4th January

No. 11. Read the following Proceedings
November 1871, No.

Read the following letter from C. T. LONGLEY, Esq.
to the Atting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
1871, No. 3,177

I HAVE the honour to inform the Government have, in the
dated 11th September 1871, No. 1,261, Judicial Department, called upon every
District Magistrate, between whose district and Native Territory there is a demar-
cated boundary line, to inspect and report annually the condition of the boundary
pillars, etc. ; I have reported to Government that I am unable to carry out their
instructions in this matter, as the boundary between this district and the Mysore
country has not yet been defined.

2. I would take this opportunity of drawing the attention of the Board to the
late Collector's letter dated 27th October 1868, No. 250, and the Sub-Collector's
letter dated 4th November 1868, No. 681, copy of which was sent to the Board
on the 14th idem. I need hardly point out the importance of authoritatively
defining the boundary line and showing the same on the maps in preparation by
the Survey Department.

3. I wrote to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey to know if maps could
be had, defining the boundary pillars, and I was informed, in reply, that the
boundary line has not been defined, and that reliable maps of such are
not in existence. I enclose copy of the letter in question. I would therefore now propose
that the Sub-Collector should, in connection with the Mysore authorities, proceed
to demarcate the boundary line as proposed by Mr. Price in his letter, forwarded
with the late Collector's letter No. 681 of the 4th November 1868.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government. The Board support Mr. Longley's
proposal. It is most important that these boundaries should be settled before
disputes occur.

No. 12. ORDER THEREON, 4th January 1872, No. 6.

Miscellaneous.

THE Collector's proposal is approved.

2. The Chief Commissioner of Mysore
will be requested to direct the neighbouring division officers to co-operate with
Mr. Price.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

Boundary between Bolan District and
Mysore Territory. - Enquiry of the Collector
under the provisions of the Mysore
Commissioner of Mysore to be requested to direct
the neighbouring British officers to co-operate
with the Mysore officers.

JANUARY.
Nos. 11-12.

G. O. 4th January 1872. No. 6.

Dated January 1872.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

REVENUE.

Copy of 11th Nov 1871. No. 681.

18.11.07
6-7-07
18.11.10
23-5-14

No. 4,865.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 28th November 1871.

Read the following letter from C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., District Magistrate of Salem, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 1st November 1871, No. 3,177 :-

I HAVE the honour to state that the Government have, in their Proceedings, dated 11th September 1871, No. 1,261, Judicial Department, called upon every District Magistrate, between District and Native Territory there, to inspect and report annually the condition of the boundary pillars, etc. I have reported to Government that I am unable to carry out their instructions in this matter, as the boundary between this district and the Mysore Country has not yet been defined.

2. I would take this opportunity of drawing the attention of the Board, to the late Collector's letter, dated 27th October 1868, No. 250, and the Sub-Collector's letter, dated 4th November 1868, No. 681, copy of which was sent to the Board on the 14th idem. I need hardly point out the importance of authorising the defining the boundary, and showing the same on the maps in preparation by the Survey Department.

3. I wrote to the Superintendent of the Mysore Territory, on the 1st November 1871, No. 3,177, to enquire how far the boundary pillars, and I was informed in reply that the boundary has not been defined, and that the maps of the Survey Department are not yet complete. I would, therefore, now propose that the Sub-Collector should be authorised to define the boundary, and to show the same on the maps of the Survey Department. I have the honour to be, Sir, your obedient servant.

The Board support Mr. Longley's proposal. It is most important that these boundaries should be settled before disputes occur.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. B. Cunningham
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of Salem.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

The great point is often
the chief source of error & it is
in the nature of things that
it is difficult to find the true
point of view.

1860

Perman 1871. Oct.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS. 7/38/2

PROCEEDINGS, 28TH NOVEMBER 1871, No. 4, 865

Page 24 Dec 31
Encl.

Received. \$⁴/₆ _____

NOVEMBER.
No. 4,865.

Boundary between Salem District and Mysore Territory.—Proposal of District Magistrate of Salem that Sub-Collector should, in connection with Mysore authorities, undertake derivation of, submitted for favorable consideration of Government.