

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
4th January 1872.*

No. 7. Read the following letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Director of Revenue Settlement, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras, 18th December 1871, No. 2,471-85:—

In accordance with the orders of Government, dated 5th instant, No. 2,055, I have the honour herewith to submit a revised budget-estimate for the ensuing official year 1872-73, restricting the total allotment as directed to Rs. 2,76,000, or Rs. 6,000 less than that of the current year including printing charges.

2. *Central Office.*—The provision is the same as that contained in the original estimate, except that in the case of the Director's salary deputation allowance only has been calculated for the first three months, and the full pay of the post for the remainder of the year.

3. *No. 1 Party, Kistna.*—Pending the receipt of the orders of Government on the proposals for the settlement of the Guntur portion of the Kistna District, this party will be retained at its present reduced strength, some of the hands being continued to be employed in the Chingleput District. Should Government orders upon the settlement scheme, however, come to hand during the ensuing year, it will be necessary to provide for the cost of introducing the new measures by a supplemental budget, as the grant now sanctioned will not meet this outlay.

4. *No. 2 Party, Salem.*—The actual expenditure incurred by this party for 1870-71 was Rs. 58,889. During the current year it is anticipated that inclusive of the men deputed to the Chingleput District, the outlay will be Rs. 61,000. This sum has been also entered for the ensuing year, and is Rs. 8,880 less than that originally proposed. This reduction prevents the nomination of an Assistant Director, and thus precludes the extension of operations into the Coimbatore District, which must now be deferred until the work in Salem is sufficiently advanced to enable the Deputy-Director personally to superintend the classification in the former province.

5. *No. 3 Party, Kurnool and Cuddapah.*—The allotment proposed for this party is Rs. 84,400, or Rs. 2,016 less than the actuals for 1870-71. The expenditure for the current year is estimated at Rs. 77,200. The smallness of this sum is owing fortuitously to the absence on furlough of the Deputy-Director and of one of his Assistants; to the establishment not having been entertained at full strength; and to a number of the employes receiving only acting allowances. Final orders on the settlement proposals of the Pattikonda and Koilguntla Talooks of the Kurnool District and of the Proddatoor, Jammalamadoogoo, and Cuddapah Talooks of the Cuddapah District, are not anticipated until about the close of the ensuing official year. Here, as in the case of the Guntur Settlement, if orders are received for the introduction of either of the above settlements, a supplemental budget must be resorted to for raising funds whereby to introduce the new rates of assessment.

6. *No. 4 Party, Nellore and North Arcot.*—The actuals for 1870-71 were Rs. 38,985; the expenditure this year is calculated to aggregate Rs. 40,400, and Rs. 46,000 have been estimated for the ensuing year. The duties on which this party is employed and its prospective increase of work are alluded to in paragraph 6 of my letter of 20th October No. 2,030.

7. The estimate for printing charges (Provincial Budget) has been reduced from Rs. 10,500, the amount originally proposed, to Rs. 7,000. The actuals for

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
4th January 1872.

1870-71 were Rs. 8,780. To keep within the allotment it will be necessary partially to postpone the printing of the Salem Settlement Registers.

8. I must point out that it is not safe now to take as a guide the outlay of the years referred to in the Government Proceedings under reply, as during a portion of that period the department was not only awaiting orders upon the settlement proposals already made, but was working at a minimum outlay owing to its retention being a matter of great uncertainty. Now that the department has, however, been ordered to be "re-established" on an effective footing, the outlay must necessarily be greater than when it was merely being retained to finish off work which it had then in hand.

\* G. O., 20th May 1868, No. 1,427.

No. 8.

ORDER THEREON, 4th January 1872, No. 4.

Land Revenue.

|                      | RS.      |
|----------------------|----------|
| Actuals of 1870-71   | 2,68,806 |
| Grant for 1871-72    | 2,76,000 |
| Estimate for 1872-73 | 2,69,000 |

THE revised budget-estimate of the Settlement Department for 1872-73, submitted with the above letter, agreeably to the Order of Government, dated 5th December 1871, No. 2,055, amounts to Rs. 2,69,000. The Government did

not intend that the estimate should be less than the grant for 1871-72 which is Rs. 2,76,000 exclusive of the provision for printing. Mr. Banbury's estimate is therefore raised and passed at Rs. 2,76,000. The addition of Rs. 7,000 is made to the estimate of the Salem Party to enable the Acting Director to nominate an Assistant for the Coimbatore District, where otherwise operations will have to be deferred till the Deputy-Director in Salem is at liberty.

2. Should Mr. Banbury find, in the course of next year, that, under the circumstances stated in paragraphs 3 and 5 of his letter, the budget now passed is insufficient, he will submit a supplemental budget for an additional grant with clear explanation of the necessity for it.

3. The estimate of Rs. 7,000 for printing under "Provincial Services" is accepted.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General, with budget-estimate.  
,, the Acting Director of Revenue Settlement.  
,, the Financial Department.  
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue Settlement Office

No. 2471  
85

Madras,

18th December 1871.

From.

Geo. Banbury Esquire

Acting Director of

Revenue Settlement.

To.

W. Hudleston Esquire

Secretary to Government

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In accordance with the orders of Government dated 5th Instant No. 2055, I have the honor herewith to submit a revised Budget Estimate for the ensuing Official year 1872-73, restricting the total allotment as directed, to Rs. 2,76,000 or Rs. 6,000 less than that of the Current year including printing charges.

Central Office. 2. The provision is the same as that contained in the original Estimate, except that in the case of the Director's salary, deputation allowance only has been calculated for the first 3 months and the full pay

pay of the post for the remainder of the year.

No. 1 Party, Kistna. 3. Pending the receipt of the orders of Government on the proposals for the settlement of the Sunter portion of the Kistna District, this party will be retained at its present reduced strength, some of the hands being continued to be employed in the Chingleput District. Should Government orders upon the settlement scheme, however, come to hand during the ensuing year, it will be necessary to provide for the cost of introducing the new measures by a Supplemental Budget, as the grant now sanctioned will not meet this outlay.

No. 2 Party, Salem. 4. The actual expenditure incurred by this party for 1870-71 was Rs. 58,880. During the current year, it is anticipated that inclusive of the men deputed to the Chingleput District, the outlay will be Rs. 61,000. This sum has been also entered for the ensuing year, and is Rs. 8,880 less than that originally proposed

proposed. This reduction prevents the nomination of an Assistant Director, and thus precludes the extension of operations into the Coimbatore District, which must now be deferred until the work in Salem is sufficiently advanced to enable the Deputy Director personally to superintend the classification in the former Province.

No. 3 Party, Kurnool & Cuddapah 5. The allotment proposed for this party is Rs. 84,400, or Rs. 2,016 less than the actuals for 1870-71. The expenditure for the current year is estimated at Rs. 77,200. The smallness of this sum, is owing fortuitously to the absence on furlough of the Deputy Director and of one of his Assistants, to the establishment not having been entertained at full strength, and to a number of the employes receiving only acting allowances. Final orders on the settlement proposals of the Pattikonda and Rongthla Taluqs of the Kurnool District, and of the Proddatur, Jammalamadoppa and Cuddapah Taluqs of the Cuddapah District, are not anticipated until about the close of the ensuing

ensuing official year. Here as in the case of the Sunter Settlement if orders are received for the introduction of either of the above settlements, a supplemental Budget must be resorted to for raising funds whereby to introduce the new rates of assessment.

N<sup>o</sup>. 4. Party, Vellare & North Arch.

6. The actuals for 1870-71 were Rs. 38,985; the expenditure this year is calculated to aggregate Rs. 40,400, and Rs. 4,6000 have been estimated for the ensuing year. The duties on which this party is employed and its prospective increase of work are alluded to in para 6 of my letter of 20<sup>th</sup> October N<sup>o</sup>. 2030.

7. The estimate for Printing Charges (Provincial Budget) has been reduced from Rs. 10,500, the amount originally proposed, to Rs. 7,000. The actuals for 1870-71 were Rs. 8,780. To keep within the allotment, it will be necessary partially to postpone the printing of the Salem Settlement Registers.

8. I must point out that it

it is not safe now to take as a guide the outlay of the years referred to in the Government Proceedings under reply, as during a portion of that period the Department was not only awaiting orders upon the settlement proposals already made, but was working at a minimum outlay owing to its retention being a matter of great uncertainty. Now that the Department has, however, been ordered to be "reestablished on an effective footing," the outlay must necessarily be greater than when it was merely being retained to finish off work which it had then in hand.

I have the honor to be,  
Sir,

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>

Geo Rankin.

Acting Director of Rev Sett<sup>s</sup>

\*  
L.O. 20<sup>th</sup> May 1868  
N<sup>o</sup>. 11,27

Ld. T.H.

Sp. 5 December/71 2m 47.45  
20 Dec/69 2m 502.9.

1st Dec

Revenue 1871-72  
N. 4028.

From  
The Ag. Director  
Rev. Settlement  
To  
The Secretary to Govt.  
Rev. Department

Dated 18 Dec 1871  
Recd 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 1871  
N. 2471  
85

Submitting a revised  
Budget Estimate for  
1872-73.

S.C. No 4 - 4 January 1872.

No. 7

C<sup>d</sup> 22 Dec/71.



No. 4

Revenue Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 4<sup>th</sup> Dec 1872

Read the following letter from  
the Ag. Director of Rev. Settlement.

Submitting or revised } (N. 18<sup>th</sup> December 1871 2471)  
Budget Estimate for 1872/73 } 85

Actuals of 1870/71 - 2,68,806  
Grant for 1871/72 - 2,76,000  
Estimate for 1872/73 - 2,69,000

The revised Budget  
estimate of the Settlement  
Department for 1872/73,  
submitted with the above  
letter agreeably to the order  
of Govt. dated 5<sup>th</sup> Dec 71  
Rs 2055 amounts to  
Rs 2,69,000. The Govt. did  
not intend that the esti-  
mate should be less  
than the grant for 1871/72  
which

which is Rs. 27,600 ex-  
clusive of the provision for  
printing. Mr. Bamberg's  
estimate is therefore raised,  
and ~~the sum~~ proposed at  
Rs. 27,600. The addition  
of Rs. 7000 is made to the  
estimate of the Salem  
fronty to enable the Asst.  
Director to nominate an  
Assistant for the Coimbatore  
District where otherwise  
operations will have  
to be deferred till the work  
in Salem is sufficiently  
advanced.

2. Should, under the  
circumstances stated  
in ~~para 3~~ of his letter,  
Mr. Bamberg find, in  
the course of the next  
year,

that under the circumstances stated  
in paras 3 & 5 of his  
letter

The Budget now proposed  
is insufficient, he will submit  
a supplemental  
Budget for an additional  
grant, with clear explanation  
of the necessity for it.

3. The estimate of  
Rs. 7000 for printing under  
"Provincial Services" is  
accepted.

LD

6. Acct. sent with Budget  
Estimate  
13. Secy. Secy. of Rev. Settlement  
5. Financial Dept.  
14. Board of Revenue

Sp. Secy.

*Y.B.*  
*Revised Settlement*  
*Budget for 1872*

*73*

of 1872. Madras.

REVENUE.

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,

Secy. Secy. Secy.

January 4th.

4th January 1872, No. 4.

JANUARY.

Nos. 7-8.

Document Budget.—Revised estimate for 1872, submitted by the Acting Director of Revenue Settlement, passed.

*Dec 71. R. 3<sup>rd</sup> Jan 72*