

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th November 1871.*

No. 143. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 31st October 1871, Miscellaneous No. 3,846 :—

Read the following letter from the Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 23rd October 1871, No. 511.

No. 15. Veerasamy Moodelliar.
 „ 16. do.
 „ 17. Streenevasa Iyengar.
 „ 18. Marakal Sahib.
 „ 19. Vencata Reddy.
 „ 20. Arnoolahchella Reddy.
 „ 22. Chennableer Naidu.
 „ 23. Moonia Pillay.
 „ 24. do.
 „ 25. Margasaya Moodelliar.
 „ 26. Ebram Sahib.
 „ 27. Lalapett Arnoolchella Iyer.
 „ 28. Ebrahim Sahib.
 „ 29. Vencumma Naidu.
 „ 30. Chinnabbee Naidu.
 „ 31. Do.

I HAVE the honour to forward herewith Title-deeds Nos. 15 to 20 and 22 to 31, in duplicate, to be given to the individuals noted in the margin for the lands at Arconum, the assessment of which has been redeemed by them under the Freehold Rules, and to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the Seal of Government to these Title-deeds and return them to me for delivery to the parties concerned.

RESOLVED that the sixteen Title-deeds and plans, in duplicate, received with the foregoing letter, be submitted for the Seal of Government.

2. The following corrections, etc., should be initialled by the Collector :—

(1.) In every case the insertion of the words “ Missionary School” before the word “ street” in the boundary as given in the plan, and the insertion of the same words in the schedules attached to deeds numbered 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31.

(2.) In the plan attached to every deed, except those numbered 26 and 28, the alteration of the word “ belongs” into “ belonging.”

(3.) In one copy of the deed numbered 28, the insertion of the word “ ground” in the schedule.

(4.) The correction of the word “ Sahib” in the plans attached to one copy of Deed No. 27, and one copy of Deed No. 18, respectively.

(5.) The plans attached respectively to Deed No. 26, and to one copy of that numbered 22, the correction of the name of the proprietor of the ground forming the southern boundary.

(6.) In one copy of plan attached to Deed No. 15, the correction of the word “ Moodelliar’s” in the name of the proprietor of the ground forming the northern boundary.

3. In the plan attached to the Deed numbered 31, the word “ Tritany,” or “ Tirutany,” has been interpolated in pencil before the word “ road.” This should appear both in the plan and the schedule in ink, and should be initialled by the Collector.

4. In one copy of the plan attached to Deed No. 24, the word “ ground” before “ plan” is spelled “ grund,” and should be corrected.

No. 144. ORDER THEREON, 16th November 1871, No. 1,945.

Land Revenue.

ORDERED that the sixteen deeds, received with the foregoing Proceedings, be sealed and returned.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th November 1871.*

2. The erasures, alterations, and interlineations made in the schedules of the deeds and in the plans ought to have been initialled by the Collector. This should now be done.

3. The *residence* of the witness to the Collector's signature, which has been omitted in Deeds Nos. 15 to 20 and 22 to 25, should be entered therein.

4. In one copy of Deed No. 24, the name of the purchaser is entered in the third line of the first page as *Moonia* instead of *Moonia Pillay*; and in the description of boundaries in the schedule of one copy of Deed No. 28, the word *waste* has been incorrectly written for *west*. These errors should be corrected under the Collector's initials.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

10 1945

1 Ordered that
the fifteen deeds
received with the
foregoing Prop be
sealed and returned.

2 The erasures,
alterations and
interlineations made
in the Schedules of
the Deeds and in
the Plans ought to
have been initialled
by

by the Collector. This
should now be done.

3. The residence
of the witness to the
Collector's signature
which has been
omitted in Deeds nos
15 to 20 and 22 to 25
should be entered
therein.

4. In a copy of
Deed no 24 the name
of the purchaser is
entered in the third
line of the first page
as Noonia instead
of Noonia Pillay; and
in the description of
boundaries in the
schedule of a copy
of Deed no 23, the
word waste has been
incorrectly written
for

for wash. These
errors should be
corrected under the
Ct's initials. —

14. F. H. O. Board of Revenue, Sept 1871
and duplicate Dec 17/1871

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th November 1871.*

No. 145. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st November 1871, No. 4,572:—

Read the following letter from the Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 27th September 1871, No. 1,884.

ADVERTING to the Board's remark in paragraph 2 of their Proceedings dated 14th instant, No. 3,964, that they "do not understand why a properly fenced platform should be the exception in Nellore," I have the honour to submit that repeated experiments have proved that (speaking generally) no secure fence but a prickly pear one can be raised in this district in the localities where our platforms are situated, and Government have peremptorily forbidden the planting of prickly pear for the purpose. The fence at Gogulapalli, to which I referred, is a prickly pear one grown long ago.

2. Prickly pear has no greater enemy in the country than myself; but I would nevertheless fence the platforms with it, in despair of securing them effectively by any other means.

3. To dig new and expensive trenches, such as were proposed at Kistnapatam, I regard as a waste of money. Unless combined with a fence impervious by itself, no trench will ensure security, and, if we have the impervious fence, the trench remains but an extravagant superfluity.

2. As observed by the Collector the protection of salt-works by a fence of prickly pear has been strictly forbidden* by Government; the Board are, however, convinced, from personal knowledge of the localities in which salt-platforms are placed on the East Coast, that in some places nothing but prickly pear will grow so as to form an efficient fence, and, as the Collector remarks, "unless combined with an impervious fence, no trench will ensure security."

3. The Board are fully† aware of the desirability of eradicating and preventing the spread of prickly pear near villages, and in other places where it is a nuisance; but they submit that good cause exists for making an exception to the general rule (as was done in Government Order No. 611, dated 29th April 1870, Revenue Department) in the case of special localities, and for special purposes such as that referred to above—a measure which was‡ recommended by the Honourable Mr. Clarke in regard to certain salt-pans in the Kistna District, and to which§ Government apparently offered no objection.

4. The Board trust that Government will not deem it necessary to negative their proposal on the ground that, in their Proceedings dated 27th October 1870,

*Government Order dated 24th February 1865, No. 412, Revenue Department.
Do. dated 12th May 1865, No. 1,001, Revenue Department, paragraph 23.
Do. dated 27th October 1870, No. 1,653, Revenue Department.

†Proceedings recorded in Government Order dated 31st October 1860, No. 2,009, Revenue Department.
9th July 1861, No. 1,372, Revenue Department.

‡Report, dated 24th May 1864, paragraphs 21, 22, 64, 120, 122, 134.
§Government Order dated 29th May 1865, No. 1,128, Revenue Department.