Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 15th November 1871.

No. 122. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st October 1871, No. 4,458 :—

Read the following lette

From the Collector of the Godavery Distri[illegible]ecretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Coc[illegible]ada, 15th August 1871, No. 288.

With reference to the Notification of the Government of India dated 15th June 1871, published at page 1,062 of the *Fort St. George Gazette* of the 27th June 1871, ruling that all officers, whose age exceeds 55 years, must retire, I have the honour to forward copy of a statement furnished by me to the Accountant-General, at his request, showing the officials who come under the operation of the rule.

2. Nos. 1, 2, and 3, on the list are officials on my Huzur Establishment, the first two occupying responsible and high salaried offices. There can be no question as to their mental and physical fitness for further service, and their services have been valuable throughout their long stay in Government service.

3. Nos. 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15, as also Nos. 7, 8, and 9, have been reported, the former by the divisional officers as deserving of retention for a further period in service.

4. No. 17, K. Venkata Row, is the Talook Sheristadar of Yernagudem Talook, in the sub-division. The late Sub-Collector, Mr. Horsfall, reported in December 1870 that the individual was physically and mentally fit for further service; but the present Acting Sub-Collector, Mr. Gribble, thinks* that he no longer possesses the energy and power of work necessary in his appointment. For myself, I am inclined to support Mr. Horsfall's opinion as that of an officer who has had longer experience of the individual than Mr. Gribble. I do not know the man's qualifications myself.

* *Vide* his letter, 1st August 1871.

5. I request that the sanction of the Local Government may be obtained for the retention of the individuals named for a further period of five years, and that the Board will apply for the necessary authority of the India Government in regard to P. Kanakaraz and the other individuals named in the margin.

Nos. 3, 7, 8, 11, 14, and 17.

Enclosure No. 1.—List.

From the Acting Head Assistant Collector in charge of the Collectorate, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 14th September 1871, No. 324.

Referring to the Board's official memorandum No. 1,163, dated 4th instant, I have the honour to send herewith a revised statement of Uncovenanted Servants aged 55 years, showing the length of service in each case.

2. Nos. 6 and 16 are unfit for further service, and have not therefore been named in paragraph 5 of Mr. Fraser's letter.

3. P. Kanakaraz, [illegible] is not a specially useful man, and as his age is upwards of 62 years, I think [illegible] better that he should retire.

[illegible]

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—List.

THE list, received with the first letter recorded above, (with certain modifications,) will now be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the officers entered therein may be retained in the service for the further periods set opposite their names in the column of remarks.

2. With reference to the Acting Head Assistant's remark in paragraphs 2 and 3 and the Collector's (in paragraph 4 of his letter), the Board are not prepared to recommend that the sanction of the Government of India should be obtained for the further employment of P. Kanakaraz, U. Reddi Pantulu, or K. Virarazu, or K. Venkata Row; their names have therefore been omitted, and they must retire at once.

3. As to C. Suraya and H. Purushottama, who have both completed their service for full pension, the Board require further information before recommending their retention in the service. The number of years it is proposed to retain them should also be specified in each case.

STATEMENT of Uncovenanted Servants, aged 55 years, in the Godavery District, on the 1st August 1871.

Name.	Designation of Office.	Salary.	Age on the 1st August 1871.	When appointed to the present Post.	Length of Service.			Remarks.
		RS. A. P.	Y. M. D.		Y.	M.	D.	
		Huzur.						
1. B. Govinda Row	Vernacular Head Clerk ...	70 0 0	56 | 5 | 0	1866, February 3rd ...	35	10	0	Till the age of 60.
2. D. Ramachendra Row ...	English do. ...	150 0 0	53 | 5 | 0	1862, January 17th ...	27	7	0	Do.
		Land Customs, Neelapilly Division.						
3. L. Venkatrayudu Naidu ...	Ameen	30 0 0	55 | 5 | 0	1867, January 7th ...	31	0	7	Do.
		Ramachendrapur Talook.						
4. C. Suraiya	Shroff	15 0 0	64 | 5 | 0	1862, April 29th ...	42	3	19	
		Amalapur Talook.						
5. G. Venkatachellam	Do.	15 0 0	59 | 4 | 0	Do. 17th	31	11	9	Do.
6. A. Subbanna	Revenue Inspector ...	30 0 0	56 | 4 | 0	Do. 17th	30	4	22	Do.
		Bheemaveram Talook.						
7. H. Vencatakristnaiya... ...	Do.	30 0 0	55 | 4 | 0	1865, June 21st	19	11	9	Do.
8. H. Purushottam	Do.	25 0 0	63 | 8 | 0	1869, October 1st... ...	37	4	17	
		Tanuku Talook.						
9. K. Sreeramulu	Do.	30 0 0	56 | 5 | 0	1862, August 11th ...	20	6	0	Do.
		Peddapuram Talook.						
10. C. Subbaiya	Do.	30 0 0	56 | 5 | 0	1865, November 13th ...	29	2	6	Do.
11. B. Ramakistna Row	Do.	20 0 0	59 | 5 | 0	1870, May 17th	23	5	18	Do.
		Ellore Talook.						
12. C. Subbaiya	Gumastah	20 0 0	55 | 4 | 0	1866, September 11th ...	20	2	15	Do.

COCANADA,
14*th September* 1871.

(Signed) W. A. HAPPELL,
Acting Head Assistant Collector in charge.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 15th November 1871.

No. 123. ORDER THEREON, 15th November 1871, No. 1,934.

Miscellaneous.

OMITTING Suraya and Purushottama, the retention in the public service of the other men in the list, until the age of 60, is sanctioned as recommended by the Board.

2. The Government request that in future the Board will enter their recommendations in the list received from the Collectors, and forward the original to Government. The course adopted by them in the present instance is very inconvenient, and calculated to lead to mistakes.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

No. 4,458.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 21st October 1871.

Read the following letters:—

From J. FRASER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 15th August 1871, No. 288.

WITH reference to the Notification of the Government of India, dated 15th June 1871, published at page 1,062 of the *Fort Saint George Gazette*, of the 27th June 1871, ruling that all officers, whose age exceeds 55 years, must retire, I have the honour to forward copy of a Statement furnished by me to the Accountant-General, at his request, showing the officials who come under the operation of the rule.

2. Nos. 1, 2 and 3 on the List are officials on my Huzur Establishment, the first two occupying responsible and high salaried offices. There can be no question as to their mental and physical fitness for further service, and their services have been valuable throughout their long stay in Government service.

3. Nos. 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 as also Nos. 7, 8, and 9 have been reported, the former by the Divisional Officers, as deserving of retention for a further period in service.

4. No. 17, K. Venketrow is the Taluk Sheristadar of Yernagudem Taluk, in the Sub-division. The late Sub-Collector, Mr. Horsfall, reported in December 1870, that the individual was physically and mentally fit for further service; but the present Acting Sub-Collector, Mr. Gribble, thinks* that he no longer possesses the energy and power of work necessary in his appointment.

* *Vide* his letter 1st August 1871.

For myself, I am inclined to support Mr. Horsfall's opinion, as that of an officer who has had longer experience of the individual than Mr. Gribble. I do not know the man's qualifications myself.

5. I request that the sanction of the Local Government may be obtained for the retention of the individuals named for a further period of five years, and that the Board will apply for the necessary authority of the India Government in regard to P. Kanakaraz, and the other individuals named in the margin.

Nos. 3, 7, 8, 11, 14, and 17.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—List.

From W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector in charge of the Collectorate, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Coconada, 14th September 1871, No. 324.

REFERRING to the Board's Official Memorandum, No. 1,163, dated 4th instant, I have the honour to send, herewith, a revised Statement of Uncovenanted Servants aged 55 years, showing the length of service in each case.

2. Nos. 6 and 16 are unfit for further service, and have not therefore been named in paragraph 5 of Mr. Fraser's letter.

3. P. Kanakaraz No. 3 is not a specially useful man, and, as his age is upwards of sixty-two years, I think it better that he should retire.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—List.

THE list received with the first letter recorded above, (with certain modifications,) will now be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the officers entered therein may be retained in the service for the further periods set opposite their names in the column remarks.

GO NO. 1937 dt. 15.11.1871

2. With reference to the Acting Head-Assistant's remark in paragraphs 2 and 3, and the Collectors (in paragraph 4 of his letter) the Board are not prepared to recommend that the sanction of the Government of India should be obtained for the further employment of P. Kanakaraz, U. Reldi Pantulu or K. Virarazu or K. Venkata Row; their names have therefore been omitted and they must retire at once.

3. As to C. Suraya and H. Purushottama who have both completed their service for full pension the Board require further information before recommending their retention in the service. The number of years it is proposed to retain them should also be specified in each case.

(True Copies and Extract.)

J. S. Cunningham
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with list.

Copy to the Collector of the Godavery District.

Revd. G. A. Turton.

Uncovenanted Officers—of Godavery Collectorate whose age exceeds 55 years and who are proposed to be retained in service for further employment—List of from Collector, submitted, with certain modifications, for formation requested from Government of India, proposed retention of Bhottama in service in case of some of

OCTOBER.
No. 4,458.

PROCEEDINGS, 21ST OCTOBER 1871, No. 4,458.

Read. 30 Oct. 1871.

Rec'd. 3 Nov. 1871.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

No. 1934-15 November 1871.

Encl. 1

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th November 1871.

No. 124. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th October 1871, No. 4,322:—

Read the following letter from the Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 8th September 1871, No. 444.

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Government dated 21st August 1871, No. 1,433, communicated with Board's Miscellaneous No. 3,061, dated 1st instant.

2. Gnanasagara Row, the permanent Tahsildar of Chittoor, having died since the date of my reference upon which the above orders were issued, I have now the honour to request that the Board will be pleased, for the reasons set forth in my letter No. 330, dated 20th June last, to submit, with their own recommendation, my proposal to appoint Ramanjulu Naidu as permanent Tahsildar of Chittoor without requiring him to pass the Special Tests required for that post.

SUBMITTED for the favourable consideration of Government.

2. In their Proceedings No. 3,331, dated 8th August 1871, the Board recommended that Ramanjulu Naidu might be exempted altogether from the Test prescribed for the office of Tahsildar; but Government, in their order thereon dated 21st idem, No. 1,433, restricted the exemption to the six months' acting appointment then sanctioned.

No. 125. ORDER THEREON, 15th November 1871, No. 1,935.

Miscellaneous.

AT the instance of the Collector and on the recommendation of the Board the Government sanction the appointment of Ramanjulu Naidu as Tahsildar of Chittoor, without requiring him to pass the Tests.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant-General.
" the Commissioner for the Uncovenanted Civil Service Examinations.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 3316

Rev. 1871. Dept.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 9TH OCTOBER 1871, No. 4,322.

Despd 14 Oct 71 Encl.

Received 17 — do —

OCTOBER.

No 4,322.

No. 1905. 15 November 1871

Ramanjulu Nayadu, Acting Tahsildar of Chittoor—in North Arcot District,—Recommendation of Collector for confirmation of, in that appointment, without requiring him to pass Special Test Examination, submitted for favorable consideration of Government.

Wilts Oss

No. 1935.

G.O.No. 1937 dt. 15.11.1871

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 15th November 1871.

No. 128. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th November 1871, Miscellaneous No. 4,057:—

Read the following letter from the Superintendent, Government Farms, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 3rd November 1871, No. 559.

WITH reference to paragraph 17 of Government Order No. 1,657, dated 22nd September, and paragraphs 1 and 2 of Government Order No. 1,854, dated 25th October 1871, I have the honour to request that you will please to obtain the sanction of the Board and Government for the issue of Treasury Order in my name for Rs. (3,000) three thousand from the budget grant for the year 1871-72 on account of the Government Farms at Sydapet.

2. The necessity for an early issue of the Treasury Order is, I regret to say, very great, as, owing to the uncertainty attending the recent changes in the management of the Farm, no provision was made for the "pays" for October, due on the 1st of this month, together amounting to Rs. 1,260-6-8.

3. May I also request that you will please obtain the instructions of the Board as to whether I shall continue to draw the pay of the so-called establishment from the treasury here, or in future *pay all* from general funds; * and if so, whether my own salary must be dealt with in a similar manner.

* The products of Treasury Order and sales of Farm-produce.

THE Board resolve to request the Government to favour them, as early as practicable, with a Treasury Order for Rs. 3,000 required by the Superintendent of the Government Farm.

2. They will address Government again regarding the arrangements to be made hereafter for the drawing of funds by the Superintendent.

No. 129. ORDER THEREON, 15th November 1871, No. 1,937.

Miscellaneous.

ORDERED accordingly that a Treasury Order for Rs. 3,000 be issued, payable to the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with a Treasury Order.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue Department

Mis: No. 4057.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,
dated 11th November 1871.

Read the following:-

No. 559.

From The Superintendent
Government Farms

To The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Chepauk.

Sir,

With reference to para 17
of Government Order, No. 1657,
dated 22d September, and paras
1 and 2 of Government Order No.
1854, dated 25th October 1871,
I have the honor to request
that you will please to obtain
the sanction of the Board and
Government for the issue of
Treasury Order in my name for
Rupees 3000 – three thousand
from the Budget Grant for
the year 1871-72 on account of the
Government Farms at Lydapet.

I

2. The necessity for an early issue of the Treasury Order is, I regret to say, very great, as owing to the uncertainty attending the recent changes in the management of the Farm, no provision was made for the "pay" for October, due on the 1st of this month, together amounting to Rupees 1260. 6. 8.

3. May I also request that you will please obtain the instructions of the Board as to whether I shall continue to draw the pay of the so called Establishment from the Treasury here? or, in future, pay all from *General Funds? and if so, whether my own salary must be dealt with in a similar manner?

* The proceeds of Treasury order, and sales of Farm Produce.

I have the
Govt Farms Office } honor
Lydapat } to be
3d November 1871 } Sir
Your most obedient
Servant
(sd.) W.B. Robertson
Superintendent

The Board resolve to request the Government to favor them, as early as practicable, with a Treasury order for Rs 1260, required by the Superintendent of the Government Farm.

2. They will address Government again regarding the arrangements to be made hereafter for the drawing of funds by the Superintendent.

(True copy & Extract)

J. B. Pennington
Acting Secretary

To
The Secretary to Government
Revl Department.

W.D. Lee Locker

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th November 1871.

No. 130. [*Dated* 15*th November* 1871, *No.* 1,938.

Miscellaneous.

REFERRING to Section 18, Act XXVI. of 1871, the Board of Revenue are requested to consider what rules, if any, [illegible] in accordance with its provisions, and to submit a draft of those they would propose for the consideration of Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Ja 28-12

Revenue 1871 Nov 15
No. 3629.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated Nov 11th 1871
Received

Requesting Government to issue a Circular order for the guidance of Superintendents of Land Revenue at Examination

Printed Vol. 1933 pages 1 to 3

Revenue Department

No. 1938.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 16th November 1871.

Referring to Section 18, Act 26 of 1871, the Board of Revenue are requested to consider what rules, if any, need to be made in accordance with the provisions, and to submit a draft of those they would propose for the consideration of Government.

14 F. & B. Board of Revenue 16 Nov. 71

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 15th November 1871.

No. 133. Read the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 4th October 1871, No. 4,260 :—

Read the following letters :—

From the Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated on Circuit at Panapak, 14th July 1871, No. 377.

ADVERTING to the Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 3rd instant, No. 2,663, calling for an explanation as to the heavy arrears outstanding when the Bungari estate was made over to the proprietor, I have the honour to state that the amount entered in column 6 of the statement, forming an enclosure to my letter No. 305, dated 31st May last, is made up of the old and current arrears. The former item, amounting only to Rs. 6,391, pertains to Fusly 1279, and the latter item, which is Rs. 35,584, to Fusly 1280. The arrears in both cases would have been considerably reduced during May and June last had not the village-officers been detained at the Jammabandi Office and afterwards at the Manager's Cutcherry for the preparation of Jammabandi accounts, which it became necessary to complete immediately after the settlement, in view to the estate being handed over to the proprietor in the latter part of April last.

2. About Rs. 6,000 were paid into the treasury within two or three days at the beginning of June by parties who did not know at the time that the estate was in the hands of the Poligar, and this of itself indicates that the arrears would [illegible] paid up within the Fusly had the estate remained under management until that time.

From the same officer, dated Chittoor, 13th September 1871, No. 458.

I HAVE the honor to submit the report called for by the Court of Wards in paragraph 12 of their Proceedings dated 26th July last, No. 3,113, in regard to the heavy balances outstanding against the three minor estates under management in this district. These results are mostly due to bad seasons, and, in some degree, to the defective machinery available for the collection of revenue in these smaller estates.

2. The season[s] of 1277 and 1278 were so exceedingly adverse that to have forced the collection[s] would have resulted in turning adrift a large portion of the agricultural classes who were with difficulty contriving to keep their families and farm-stock from starv[ation]. The collection of revenue under such circumstances came to a dead lock, and even in Fusly 1279, when there was a great change for the better, it would have been cruel and impolitic to have put sudden pressure upon the people just as they were recovering heart after two seasons of hopeless depression and misery.

3. These are general remarks, and are applicable not only to these estates, but to the whole district. A reference to my Jammabandi reports for Fuslies 1277, 1278, and 1279, would show the Court that the same difficulties presented themselves in the Government talooks, where the whole system of revenue administration is so much more complete and effective, and that in these, too, the outstanding balances, though enormous, could only be very gradually reduced. The policy of patience and forbearance has not been thrown away in the Government tracts, as in the Jammabandi report just submitted I am able to show that out

of ryotwar arrears, amounting to some ten lacs, there is less than one lac now outstanding against the district.

4. T
estates, but
5. W
to be in al
nor under
sooner, ho
and some
disastrous
of reform
and pollie
on the gro
must be s
6. I
impeded,
of 1279, o
7. I
engaging
in hopes o

THE
balances
realization
2. T
more tha
They con
submitted

No. 134.

No. 3301
Rev 1871. Dept
Court of Wards,
MADRAS.
PROCEEDINGS, 4TH OCTOBER 1871, No. 4,260.
Dy 12 Oct 71
Received. 16 Oct Encl.

OCTOBER.
No. 4,260.

Balances outstanding against minor estates—in North Arcot District, under management of Court of Wards, and against Bungari Polliem when it was made over to its proprietor,—Explanation of Collector regarding, submitted to Government.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

No. 1940 - 15 November 1871

c 8 Dec 71 R 13 Nov 71

ury to G

To the Court of Wards.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

c-18

No. 135. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th October 1871, No. 4,440:—

Read the following letter from the Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd October 1871, No. 316.

I HAVE the honour to inform you that in the village of Mettapalli in the *Koilkuntla Talook 342 cattle, out of a herd numbering 750,* were attacked with the disease called "Musara," and of these 105 died and 112 recovered, leaving 125 cattle still sick.

2. The disease broke out on the 11th of August 1871, but was not reported by the villagers till the 19th September, and consequently no medicine was sent from the talook, nor was segregation attempted. The Deputy-Collector in charge of the talook has fined the village officers for this neglect of duty. He has since sent a quantity of Dr. Thacker's medicine to the village, and issued orders for segregating the cattle and fumigating the scene of attack with sulphur.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government.

No. 136. ORDER THEREON, 16th November 1871, No. 1,941.

Miscellaneous. ORDERED to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.