1727

14

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,

14th November 1871.

No. 110. From the Under-Secretary to the Government of Bombay, to the Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George, Revenue Department, dated Bombay Castle, 28th October 1871, No. 5,410 :—

I AM directed to request that, with the permission of His Excellency the Governor in Council, you will be good enough to favour this Government with copies of the rules, if any, that may have been framed by the Madras Government under Section 18 of the "Land Improvement Act" (No. 26 of 1871).

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue Dept.
Dy. No. 3604

Proceedings of the Government of Madras, Revenue Department.
Dated the 14th November 1871.
Read the following Letter from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Revenue Department, No. 5,410, dated the 28th October 1871.

Letter from Secretary to Government of Bombay, Revenue Department, No. 5,410, dated 28th October 1871.

Requesting to be favoured with copies of the rules, if any, that may have been framed by the Madras Government under Section 18 of the "Land Improvement Act" (No. 26 of 1871).

Ordered that a copy of the Act be forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Revenue Department, in reply to his letter No. 5,410, dated 28th October 1871.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

14 To the Bd of Revenue 15 do 71

Revenue. — Calling for copies of the rules likely to be framed by the Madras Government under Section 18 of the "Land Improvement Act" (No. 26 of 1871).

Ans. 15th Nov. 71. R. 14th Nov. 71.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
14*th November* 1871.

No. 111.

No. 1,928.

To

THE UNDER-SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY,

Miscellaneous.

SIR,—In reply to your letter No. 5,410, dated 28th October 1871, I am directed to inform you that no rules have yet been passed by this Government under Section 18, Act 26 of 1871.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

1929

D

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th November 1871.

No. 112. From the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Revenue Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George, dated Bombay Castle, 26th October 1871, No. 5,356 :—

I AM directed to forward the accompanying copy of a letter from the Collector of Canara, No. 2,984, dated 18th instant, and to request that, with the permission of the Right Honourable the Governor in Council, this Government may be furnished with the information therein required as early as possible.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From the Collector of Canara, to the Revenue Commissioner, Southern Division, dated Carwar, 18th October 1871, No. 2,984.

AT the suggestion of Mr. Cashinath Purushram Gadgil, I have the honour to request that the following information may be obtained from the Government of Madras, with a view to the preparation of the defence in the suits to set aside the survey assessment brought against the Revenue Survey Commissioner and Collector of Canara :—

(1.) Were any regulations or laws bearing on Revenue settlements in force in Canara from the date of its acquisition up to the 1st January 1802, on which latter date Regulations I. to XXIII. of 1802 of the Madras Code were passed ?

(2.) On what date did Regulation XXV. of 1802 (passed on the 13th July 1802) come into force in Canara, and was it in force up to the date of the transfer of North Canara to Bombay ?

(3.) Has that Regulation been repealed or modified since the date of Mr. Clarke's edition of the Madras Regulations (1848) ?

(4.) Have any Sunnuds or Milkeutistimrar, or their correspondent Caboolcats been executed as regards North Canara ?

(5.) The Government of Madras should be asked to furnish a specimen of such Sunnuds and Caboolcats, if any have been granted in Canara or elsewhere.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
15th November 1871.

No. 1,929.

No. 113.
To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

Sir, I am directed to [illegible]

No. 5,356 of 1871, giving cover to one from the Collector of North Canara, seeking certain information required for the preparation of the defence in the suit against the Government Revenue authorities to set aside the new survey assessment, and I have the honour to furnish the following replies to the questions therein put:—

(1.) It cannot be said that there were "any regulations or laws bearing on Revenue settlements" in force anywhere in this Presidency prior to 1802. The systems of land-revenue, which were in force under our predecessors, were carefully ascertained and maintained so far as they were considered to be consistent with good administration. In Canara, generally, the occupied land was found to have been always recognized as private property held in individual estates, on which a gross revenue-demand was assessed with reference to its extent and capabilities, and without regard to the actual crop raised or purpose to which the land was devoted; and this system, as ascertained by Major (afterwards Sir T.) Munro, was maintained. Buchanan's "Mysore, Canara, and Malabar" furnishes much detailed information as to the tenures proved to exist under the former regime and maintained by the British Government, as found by him on his tour eighteen months after the province fell into our hands.

N.B.—The assessment was on each estate or wurg, not on each field.

(2.) Regulation 25 of 1802 "*came into force*" throughout the Madras Presidency from the date of its enactment; but contemplating as it did the extension of the Zemindari settlement and the issue of Sunnuds "i. Milkeutistimrar" and the receipt of corresponding Kabuliats, it cannot be said ever to have *operated* in Canara where the Zemindari system was not introduced.

(3.) The regulation in question has not been repealed or modified since the date of Clarke's Edition of the Madras Regulations, except that Section 10, which had been superseded by Section 2, Regulation 1 of 1 819, has been repealed by Madras Act II. of 1869.

(4.) No Istimrar Sunnuds have ever been issued in Canara, the Zemindari system not having been introduced in that district.

(5.) No blank forms of Sunnud or Kabuliat are available, but copy of a form adopted from it for recent use in the case of Polliems has been altered in red ink, so as to correspond with the old forms issued to Zemindars in 1802, and is enclosed. It will probably answer all purposes.

The Kabuliats were counterparts to correspond.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

For Chief Secretary to Govt.

Immediate.

No. 5356 of 1871.

Revenue Department,

Bombay Castle, 26 October 1871.

From,

The Honorable T. S. Chapman,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
Bombay.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I am directed to forward the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Collector of Canara, No. 2984, dated 18th instant, and to request that, with the permission of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, this Government may be furnished with the information therein required as early as possible.—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

H E Jacob
For Chief Secretary to Govt.

Immediate.

No. 5356 of 1871.

Revenue Department,

Bombay Castle, 26 October 1871.

From,

The Honorable T. S. Chapman,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
Bombay.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I am directed to forward the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Collector of Canara, No. 2984, dated 18th instant, and to request that, with the permission of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, this Government may be furnished with the information therein required as early as possible.—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

H E Vacant
For Chief Secretary to Govt.

Immediate

No. 2984 of 1871.

From,

M. J. Shaw Stewart, Esquire,
Collector of Canara.

To,

W. H. Havelock, Esquire,
Revenue Commissioner,
Southern Division.

Canara Collector's Office,
Carwar, 18th October 1871.

Sir,

At the suggestion of Mr. Cashinath Purushotam Gadgil, I have the honor to request, that the following information may be obtained from the Government of Madras, with a view to the preparation of the defence in the suits to set aside the Survey Assessment, brought against the Revenue Survey Commissioner, and Collector of Canara.

(1) Were any regulations or laws, bearing on revenue settlements, in force in Canara from the date of its acquisition up to the 1st January 1802 on which latter date Regulations I to XXIII of 1802 of the Madras Code were

Cons. 24 June 1791
" 17 Dec. 1802

were passed.—

(2) On what date did Regulation XXV of 1802 (passed on the 13th July 1802) come into force in Canara, and was it in force up to the date of the transfer of North Canara to Bombay.—

Govt: 22 of 1816

(3) Has that Regulation been repealed or modified since the date of Mr Clarke's edition of the Madras Regulations (1818).—

not to my knowledge. Rev. Dept. 11/1

(4) Have any Sunnuds or Inilkent-istimrar, or their correspondent Cabooleats been executed as regards North Canara.—

No Sunnuds for Canara in the Register.

(5) The Government of Madras should be asked to furnish a specimen of such Sunnuds and Cabooleats if any have been granted in Canara or elsewhere.—

Sd defaced & illegible as per Mad. Cabooleat

I have the honor to be &c
(Signed) M. J. Shaw Stewart,
Collector of Canara

True Copy.

W Macneil
Under Secy to Govt

B.P.

Received 1st January 1821. Mlle

Rev. Dept.
15th Nov 71.
No 1929.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Bombay.

Sir,

I am directed to
acknowledge receipt of
your letter of 26th October
No 5356 of 1871 - giving
cover to one from the Col-
lector of North Canara
seeking certain informa-
tion required for the pre-
paration of the defence in
the suit against the Sout
revenue authorities, to
set aside the new Survey
assessment, and I have
the honor to furnish the
following replies to the
questions therein put:-
(1) It cannot be said
that there were "any regu-
lations or Laws" bearing
on revenue settlements
in

Rev. 1871. No 1961.

From
Chief Secretary
to the Govt of Bombay

dated 26th Oct 2
Rec'd 3rd Nov } 1871.

No 5356
C-1-
L & C No 1929 - inclosing
Translation of copy of
letter from Collr of Canara
requesting to be furn-
-ished with certain
information for the
defence in the Suit to set aside
the Survey Assessment
lately introduced.
Survey Commissioner

and Collector of Canara.

Q. No 112

in force anywhere in this Presidency prior to 1802- The systems of land revenue which were in force under our predecessors were care= =fully ascertained and maintained so far as they were consistent with good administration — In Canara generally, the occu= =pied land was found to have been always re= =cognised as private pro= =perty held in individual estates, on which a gross revenue demand was assessed with reference to its extent and capa= =bilities and without re= =gard to the actual crop raised — or purpose to which the land was devoted — and this system as ascer= =tained by Major (afterward Sir T) Munro was main= =tained — Buchanan's "Mysore — Canara and Malabar" furnishes much detailed

Vide Revenue 1831 Oct 4th

N.B. The assessment was on each estate as a whole & not on each field —

detailed information as to the tenures proved to exist under the former regime and maintained by the British Government as found by him on his tour 18 months after the province fell into our hands

(2) Regulation 25 of 1802 "came into force" throughout the Madras Presidency from the date of its enact= =ment, but contemplating as it did the extension of the Zemindari settle= =ment and the issue of Summuds "i. milkeutis= =timar" and the receipt of corresponding Kabuli= =ats — it cannot be said ever to have operated in Canara where the Zemin= =dari system was not in= =troduced —

(3) The Regulation in question has not been repealed or modified since the

the date of Clarke's Edition of the Madras Regulations – except that Sec. 10, which had been superseded by Sec 2. Regl of 1819 has been repealed by Madras Act 2 of 1869.

(4) No Istimrar Sunnuds have ever been issued in Canara – the Zemindari system not having been introduced in that District.

(5) No blank forms of Sunnud or Kabuliat are available. [illegible] adopt forms for Sunnuds in the case of Collectors. Such Sunnuds attended with Cubes are to correspond with the other Sunnuds issued to Zemindars in Bombay Presidency and to be executed by both parties.

No Kabuliats have been executed. Correspondence.

Perusal 1871. Blank

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 15th November 1871.

No. 114. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 10th November 1871, Miscellaneous No. 4,044 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Sub-Collector in charge of the Kistna District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 4th October 1871, No. 3,063.

In forwarding herewith an extract from the Register of Inams of the village of Aravapalli in Repalli Talook, I have the honour to state that the Inam of Nummagodda Lakshmayya and another was resumed in the year 1855 for arrears of Jodi, amounting to Rs. 12-8-11. The Inam Commissioner re-opened this case under the orders of Government No. 1,900, dated 15th August 1867, and, under the circumstances stated in the register, he issued a Title-deed, No. 1,392, in the names of the said individuals for acres 3·95 of dry land, with an annual quit-rent of twelve Annas.

2. The land was accordingly made over to the Inamdars, who now claim that the assessment paid to Government from Fusly 1266 up to Fusly 1278 by the ryots who cultivated the Inams in question may be refunded to them, as the old Jodi, for the arrears of which the Inam was formerly resumed, was struck out by the Inam Commissioner.

3. The following statement shows the amount of assessment paid by the ryots on the Inam land from the date of its reversion to Government :—

Fuslies.	Extent of land.	Dry assessment.	Consolidated wet assessment.	Road Cess.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	A. C.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1266	3 95	7 11 8	.....	.....	7 11 8
1267	3 95	7 11 8	.....	.....	7 11 8
1268	3 95	7 11 8	.....	.....	7 11 8
1269	3 95	7 11 8	.....	.....	7 11 8
1270	3 95	7 11 8	.....	.....	7 11 8
1271	4 40	8 9 9	.....	.....	8 9 9
1272	4 40	8 9 9	.....	.....	8 9 9
1273	4 40	8 9 9	.....	.....	8 9 9
1274	4 40	8 9 9	.....	.....	8 9 9
1275	4 40	.....	22 0 0	.....	22 0 0
1276	4 40	.....	22 0 0	0 5 6	22 5 6
1277	4 40	.....	22 0 0	0 11 0	22 11 0
1278	4 40	.....	22 0 0	0 11 0	22 11 0
Total ...	.....	73 1 4	88 0 0	1 11 6	162 12 10

4. In Fusly 1271 the Inam was surveyed, and from that time its area was recorded as acres 4·10, assessed at Rs. 8-9-9. In Fusly 1275 the ryots converted this land into wet under anicut-channel irrigation. As settlement rates for the Guntoor portion of the district have not yet been introduced, a consolidated wet assessment was fixed for this land according to the rates of the adjoining wet lands, at Rs. 5-8-0 per acre.

5. The total amount of assessment, *plus* Road Cess paid to Government by the ryots from the date of the resumption of the Inam, is Rs. 162-12-10. I would deduct out of this sum Rs. 70-6-4, being the amount of water-tax which the Inamdars would have had to pay had the Inam been under their management from Fusly 1275 to 1278, and Rs. 10-5-3 on account of Road Cess and remission granted in Fusly 1274; and recommend that the Board will be pleased to sanction the refund to the Inamdars of the remaining sum of Rs. 82-1-3. The Tahsildar of Repalli Talook has reported to me that the Inamdars are entitled to the refund of this sum.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Total assessment for nine years ...	162	12	10
Deduct—			
Water-tax on acres 4·40, at Rs. 4 an acre per year, Rs. 17-9-7 for four years	70	6	4
Road Cess	1	11	6
Remission	8	9	9
	80	11	7
Remainder	82	1	3

6. No copy of the Government order abovementioned is in my Office.

THE refund of Rs. 82-1-3 will be submitted for the sanction of Government.

2. The Collector's attention is drawn to the careless way in which the Acting Sub-Collector's letter has been copied (or drafted), and he should instruct that officer that it was unnecessary for him to quote the opinion of the Tahsildar of Repalli as an authority for the grant of the refund, as it was his business to satisfy himself of its necessity.

No. 115. ORDER THEREON, 15th November 1871, No. 1,930.

Land Revenue.

REFUND sanctioned, Rs. 82-1-3.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 116. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th November 1871:—

Read the following letter from the Officiating Collector of Tanjore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tanjore, 8th November 1871, No. 345.

THE Board's Proceedings dated 1st November 1871, No. 4,578, reached me yesterday, but, as their previous Proceedings of the 21st September therein quoted, [illegible] other papers indicative of the scope and object of the "Railway Census," [illegible] what is required to be done [illegible]est that I may be [illegible]le.

[illegible]efers to passengers [illegible] returns of house [illegible]ns, who, on inquiry [illegible], whether they be [illegible]d in the returns of [illegible] to Railway Stations [illegible]e enumeration at the [illegible]st lead to the same

[illegible] of the Board do not [illegible]night-trains running

[illegible]anjore, since it takes

[illegible]eptember 1871, will be [illegible] Proceedings dated 1st [illegible]at they are to supply [illegible]hem in any other way [illegible] line as well as persons

[illegible] no night-trains on the [illegible] no census of passengers

[illegible]d there is no need to

[illegible] No. 1,931.
[illegible] be recorded.

[illegible]UDLESTON,
[illegible]ecretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Rev. 1871 Sept
No. 3611
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 10th November 1871
Despd. 10th " " —
Received 11th " "
Encl Ords
14 Encls & Cover of Do. Dy 15th Nov 1871
to the Accountant General
Para 36 Do
Letter from the Collector of the Kistna District requesting sanction for refund of Rs 82-1-3, assessment paid to Government by an Inamdar submitted for sanction of Government. W. 1930.
Refund sanctioned Rs. 82-1-3.
Letter 15th Nov 1871
Do Nos 114–115

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th November 1871.

No. 116. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th November 1871 :—

Read the following letter from the Officiating Collector of Tanjore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tanjore, 8th November 1871, No. 345.

THE Board's Proceedings dated 1st November 1871, No. 4,578, reached me yesterday, but, as their previous Proceedings of the 21st September therein quoted, or any other papers indicative of the scope and object of the "Railway Census," have not been received by me, I do not exactly see what is required to be done by me in this district, and have the honour, therefore, to request that I may be favoured with further and specific instructions as soon as possible.

2. I conceive, however, that this "Railway Census" refers to passengers travelling by night-trains, who will not be included in the returns of house enumerators. Indeed, as under present arrangements, all persons, who, on inquiry at each house, are found to have slept in it the night before, whether they be actually present at the enumeration or not, are to be included in the returns of house enumerators, and such as may have left and proceeded to Railway Stations early in the morning will not, on that account, be omitted; the enumeration at the Railway Stations of any but the night-train passengers must lead to the same persons being censused twice over.

3. If my surmises are correct the present Proceedings of the Board do not therefore apply to this district, inasmuch as there are no night-trains running here, I shall feel obliged for a reply by telegram.

4. I beg also that the telegram may be addressed to Tanjore, since it takes two days for one to reach me by post from Negapatam.

A COPY of Board's Proceedings No. 4,037, dated 20th September 1871, will be forwarded to all Collectors concerned, with reference to Proceedings dated 1st November 1871, No. 4,578, and they will be instructed that they are to supply intelligent enumerators to the Railway authorities, and aid them in any other way that may be required in the census of employés on the line as well as persons travelling on the night of 14th and 15th.

2. As observed by the Collector of Tanjore there are no night-trains on the Great Southern of India Railway, and there will therefore be no census of passengers in his district.

3. As the Tanjore census has already been postponed there is no need to telegraph the purport of these Proceedings.

No. 117. ORDER THEREON, 15th November 1871, No. 1,931.

Miscellaneous

ORDERED to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 11th November 1891.

Read the following

No 3486

From W. M. Cadell Esquire
Offg Collector of Saugor

To J. B. Pennington Esquire
Offg Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Chapmanke.

Sir, The Board's Proceedings, dated 1st November 1891 No 4698 reached me yesterday; but as their previous Proceedings of the 2nd September therein quoted, or any other papers indicating the scope and objects of the Railway Census, have not been received by me, I do not exactly see what is required to be done by me in this District, and have the honor, therefore, to request that I may be favored with further and specific instructions as soon as possible.

2. I conceive however that this Railway Census refers to passengers travelling by night trains, who will not be included in the returns of house Enumerations. Indeed, as, under present arrangements, all persons who on enquiry at each house are found to have slept in it the night before, whether they be actually present at the Enumeration or not, are to be included in the returns of house

Enumerators

Enumerations, such Enclosures may have left unopened at the Railway Stations Early in the morning will not on that account be omitted, the Enumeration at the Railway Stations of any but the night train passengers must stand over to the same persons being Censused thereon.

3. If my Instructions are correct, the present Proceedings of the Board do not therefore apply to this District, for as much as there are no night trains running here. I shall feel obliged by a reply by Telegram & in charge also that the Telegrams may be addressed to Jaypore, since it takes two days for one to reach me by Post from Vizagapatam.

Jaypore Collectors Office
Vellam Jaypore Taluq
8th November 1871

I have &c
(Signed) W. Mc Cabe
Off. Collector

A Copy of Board's Proceedings No 4037 dated 20th September 1871 with the forwarded Dist Collectors Enquiries with reference to Proceedings dated 1st November 1871 No 4578 Board, there will be no Census: that they are to supply intelligent Enumerators & the Railway Authorities and Avoid there being any other way that may be required in

in the Census of Employés on the line, as well as persons travelling on the night of 14th-15th

2. As observed by the Collector of Jaypore there are no night trains on the G. S. I. and there will therefore be no Census of Passengers in his District.

3. As the Jaypore Census has already been postponed there is no necessity to telegraph the purport of these Proceedings

(True Copy & Extract)

J. S. Cunningham
Acting Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government &c &c

with copy of Board's Proceedings No 4037 of 1871

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th November 1871.

No. 118. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th October 1871, No. 4,421 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 4th September 1871, No. 184.

As permitted in Board's Proceedings No. 2,942, dated 14th July 1871, I have the honour to nominate Koka Venkatachalapati Nayudu as Sheristadar of this district.

2. This man is at present Tahsildar of Madanapally, and has long been considered by all who have known him as the best Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate in the district. When I was Sub-Collector of this district I had a very high opinion of him, and I am glad to see from Mr. Storr's testimonial, written a few days ago, that Venkatachalapati Nayudu's character still stands high in the sub-division.

3. The Board will see from the enclosed list of services that my nominee has been a Tahsildar for nine years, and that his whole service has been passed in the Ceded Districts. He has a fair knowledge of English, and I trust his having passed no examinations will not prove a bar to the promotion of such a thoroughly efficient man.

4. I must explain a reduction of salary which appears in the service list. Kopparapu Venkatakrishnaya, Tahsildar of Jammalmadugu, was degraded by the Board to the post of Talook Sheristadar. Venkatachalapati Nayudu was promoted to the vacancy, but had to revert to his former place at Madanapally on Venkatakrishnaya being reinstated by Government.

5. Enclosed are copies of testimonials, lists of services, etc.

Enclosure No. 1.—Service Register of K. Vencatachalapati Nayudu, Tahsildar of Madanapally.

Enclosure No. 2.—List showing relations of Tahsildar employed in district.

Enclosure No. 3.—Copies of Testimonials.

Mr. Horsley speaks so highly of Koka Venkatachalapati Nayudu, from long personal knowledge of his character, that the Board resolve to recommend his being appointed Head Sheristadar *unconditionally* as requested.

2. He has held the office of Tahsildar with credit since June 1862, or upwards of nine years.

No. 119. Order thereon, 15th November 1871, No. 1,932.

Miscellaneous.

Sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.