

(1) Number of pages 22
(2) Date of repair 28-4-62
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <u>147</u>	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated <u>23-1-1871</u>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd January 1871.*

No. 303. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9 November 1870, No. 6,572:—

Read the following letter from the Collector of Cuddapah, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Gurramcondah, 2nd September 1870, No. 152.

ADVERTING to the Order of Government, dated 8th June 1870, No. 844, communicated with the Board's Proceedings, dated 12th July last, No. 4,841, I have the honour to state that on a careful search of the office records it appears that the Poligar pension was originally granted to the deceased Aparā Chintala Vencatramaraze on the 12th July 1801, on the ground of his being a relation of the Chitwél Rajah, and not *in lieu of resumed lands, offices and privileges*, which is the major head adopted for Poligar pensions of various descriptions, as entered in Statement X., submitted by Mr. Ross.

1. Chendrase, the son of the deceased pensioner Aparā Chintala Vencatramaraze, in support of which he has produced the genealogical table of the family, transmission whereof is herewith submitted, and that one Ragammah, a widow of the late Chitwél Poligar, who is in the receipt of an annual allowance of Rs. 1,723-1-8, under Orders of Government, dated 3rd October 1848, communicated with Board's


* She is the individual to whom the arrears of pension due to her mother, amounting to Rs. 51,467-14-3, for a period of 15 years 6 months and 13 days, was disbursed under Orders of Government, dated 7th October 1869, No. 2,747.

Proceedings of the 12th idem, and who resides in Vengalayapally, in Sidhout Taluq, and one Narasingammah, * granddaughter of Vencatammah, the wife of Vencatramaraze, the step-brother of the late Chitwél Poligar Vencatraghavaraze,

and who is in the receipt of an annual allowance of Rs. 3,313-4-0, under Orders of the Government of India, dated 8th April 1818, communicated with Order of the Board, dated 6th May 1818, No. 470, received with the Board's Proceedings, dated 18th idem, and who is a resident in Narasingammah, in North Arcot, the last only two members of the Chitwél Poligar's family who are living.

3. I inform the Board that I am unable to procure any further information on the subject of this pension.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd January 1871.*



(Signed) J. A. BOYLE,
Assistant Collector, for Collector.

(A by Translation.)

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd January 1871.*

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of the Board's Proceedings, dated 12th July 1870, No. 4,841.

2. It will be observed that the entry in column 4 of the Collector's former report was inaccurate. The pension was evidently granted on the ground that deceased was a member of the family of Chitwél which the Government resolved to support in their Order of the 25th July 1801, (not 12th July as quoted by the Collector.)

3. As observed in Proceedings No. 4,841 of the 12th July last, Government Order, No. 1,050 of the 21st October 1851, does not appear to have any reference to the pensioner in question.

4. The genealogical table now submitted shows that he, the deceased, was only connected by marriage with the Poligar, but the pension is entered as "hereditary," and must therefore, the Board presume, be continued to the present claimant.

No. 304. ORDER THEREON, 23rd January 1871, No. 147.

Miscellaneous.

THE Collector's report does not establish any relationship between the applicant and the Chitwél Poligar, for

the pedigree submitted only shows that the applicant's father, now deceased, was son of the youngest brother of the Poirar's sister's husband.

2. The Government desire to know whether any blood relationship exists between the applicant's family by adoption and that of the Chitwél Poligar, and, if not, whether any ground can be assigned, or any order quoted, as the reason or authority for the original grant of the allowance.

3. The entry, No. 49, of the name of Aparā Chintala Venkatamarāze in the list enclosed in Mr. Ross's letter of 21st February 1814, is said to refer to the lately deceased pensioner, and describes him as "relation to the Rajah of Chitwél."

4. The entry in the column headed "Date of the commutation of the service or resumption of the privilege," namely, 12th July 1801, is stated in the tabular statement regarding the lapse, dated 11th April 1870, to be the date of the original grant by Government.

5. The Government have been unable to trace the order referred to, but find that, on 14th May 1801, the then Collector of the Ceded Districts (Major afterwards Sir T. Munro) reported that it had been necessary to occupy the Pelliya with a Military force. On 5th July 1801, the Revenue Board submitted their remarks on Major Munro's proposal to allow ten per cent. of the collections, (the usual Malikhana) for the support of the family, which was sanctioned by Government on 25th idem.

6. On 27th May 1805, the Board reported the death of the elder Zemindar Vencatramaraze, between whom and his brother Vencatraghavaraze, the pension of 10 per cent. on the net revenue of Chitwél had been divided in equal shares, and recommended that two-thirds of the whole pension should be granted to the surviving brother, one-half of the remaining one-third to the widow of the eldest

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd January 1871.*

brother Vencatapathyrage, and the other half between the two widows of the then lately deceased Vencataramarage, which distribution was approved and sanctioned by Government, under date 8th June 1855.

7. A re-distribution was made in 1846, on the death of Ragararage, and was again revised in Extract Minutes of Consultation, 3rd October 1848, No. 1,078.

8. None of the above orders appear to cover the case of the present applicant, late father, and the Government desire that further search be made by the Collector for information regarding it. Reference may be made with advantage to the Bellary records, if nothing can be traced in Cuddapah.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

19-2-20
16-10-16
15-12-16
12-11-16
15-2-18
1-03-18

Child Polio Foundation
 Board of Directors requested to furnish
 further information in connection with it.

JAFFURY.
 Nos. 303-304.

*Detail January 27th.
Recd.
G. O., 23rd January 1871, No. 147.*

TO
THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

encl
Cont. of 118713 gibbon

No. 6,572.

No. 6,572.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th November 1870.

Read the following letter from G. VANS AGNEW, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Gurramcondah, 2nd September 1870, No. 152:—

dated 8th June 1870, No. 844, communicated with

ADVERTING to the Order of Government, dated 8th June 1870, No. 844, communicated with the Board's Proceedings, dated 12th July last, No. 4,841, I have the honour to state that on a careful search of the Office records it appears that the Poligar pension was originally granted to the deceased Aparā Chintala Vencatramaraze on the 12th July 1801, on the ground of his being a relation of the Chitwél Rajah, and not *in lieu of resumed lands, offices and privileges*, which is the major head adopted for Poligar pensions of various descriptions, as entered in Statement X., submitted by Mr. Ross.

is the major head adopted for Poligar pensioners submitted by Mr. Ross.


2. Chendraze the son of the deceased pensioner Aparā Chintala Vencatramaraze states that the Chitwél Poligar was maternal uncle to his deceased father, in support of which he has produced the genealogical table of the family, translation whereof is herewith, submitted, and that one Ragammah, a widow of the late Chitwél Poligar, who is in the receipt of an annual allowance of Rs. 1,000-1-8, under Orders of Government, dated 3rd October 1848, communicated with Board's Proceedings of the 18th inst. and who

catraghavaraze, and who is in the receipt of an annual allowance of Rupees 3,313-4-0, under Orders of the Government of India, dated 8th April 1848, communicated with Order of the Madras Government, dated 6th May 1848, No. 470, received with Board's Proceedings, dated 18th idem, and who is now a resident in Narayanavanam, in North Arcot, are the only two members of the Chitwél Poligar's family who are known to be living.

3. I beg to inform the Board that I am unable to procure any further information on the subject of this pension.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.
Genealogical Tree.

Original Progenitor.



(A true Translation.)

J. A. BOYLE,
Collector, for Collector.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
the Collector of Cuddapah.

Submitted to Government in continuation of the Board's Proceedings dated 12th July 1870, No. 4,841.

2. It will be observed that the entry in column 4 of the Collector's former report was inaccurate. The pension was evidently granted on the ground that deceased was a member of the family of Chitwél which the Government resolved to support in their order of the 25th July 1801, not 12th July as quoted by the Collector.)

3. As observed in Proceedings No. 4,841 of the 12th July last, Government Order No. 1,050 of the 21st October 1851, does not appear to have any reference to the pensioner in question.

The genealogical table now submitted shows that he, the deceased, was only connected by marriage with the Poligar, but the pension is entered as "hereditary" and as such, therefore, the Board presume, be continued to the present claimant.

(True Copies and Extracts.)

Exd. G. A. Turton.

90-20970-11

h. 303

1870-105-104
4-95
1-340
178
200

Dec-1870. *Chit*

Board of Revenue

MADRAS. 8-3571

PROCEEDINGS, 9TH NOVEMBER 1870, No. 6572.


Dec 12 1870

17th

Dec 10 1870-23 Jan 11

NOVEMBER
No. 6572.

*Peliger pension—enjoyed by deceased Al...
Venkataramaraya of Cuddapah District
after from Collector furnishing certain info...
regarding, submitted to Government.*



Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date, the

*be given in...
...
...*

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue.

Submitting letter from Collector
of Cuddapah District furnishing
certain information regarding Peliger
pension enjoyed by deceased *Aparachintala Venkataramaraya* of that
District.

(A.E. 9th Nov 1870 N° 6572)

I cannot trace the
allowance & I do not

it has it any character furnished by the collector,
& especially its origin.

that point of view
admitted for - v. 1874

letter of Feb 24 1878
& E. H. C. 3 Oct 1878.

When the Pension
was returned
in 1871. the

Under the report—
the Government sanction the
continuance to *Chandragiri* son
of *Aparachintala Venkatarama*
—range of the pension of Rs. 65—8
per annum together with pay-
ment of arrears.—

Ch. L. B.

put not in the land by the
rebellion, can deduct of the M'Henry
from them an allowance of 10%
of the net collections in equal
shares between the two brothers. The
elder brother died in 1804 & then
 $\frac{2}{3} = \frac{8}{12}$ of the whole was given
to the ~~elder~~ surviving brother - $\frac{2}{12}$
to the widow of an elder brother
formerly deceased - & $\frac{1}{12}$ each
of the two widows of the co-shares.
When the younger brother died
the put in 1822 before $\frac{2}{3}$ of his
allowance in equal shares to
each of his 5 widows, the share
of each being a sixth.

I cannot trace the
person who claimed at all

was. He continued for ever, when
the portion of the family was
dealt with as above stated. The
M'Henry records must contain fuller
information.

L. D. L.

B. V.

I think it had better continue this
small piece. The claimant is one
of those having representatives of an
old M'Henry family whose estate
in consequence of their rebellion
the Govt. has taken to the papers.
We might search for it - forced.

Polygar Pension
Muddapaiah Desai

21

77-147
Gros of the Madras Govt
Under date the 23rd July 1871

Read the following
Proc of the Board of Revenue.

Subj: letter from Col^l
furnishing certain information
regarding the Polygar pension en-
joyed by deceased Aparachintala
Venkataramarase of Muddapaiah.

(H.E. 9: Nov: 1870, No 6542)

The collector's report
does not establish any relation-
ship between the applicant
and the Chitwil Polygar, for
the pedigree submitted only
shows that the applicant's
father now deceased was the
adopted son of the youngest
brother of the Polygar's sister's
husband.

Council

Do ascertain if
possible connection
between the Chitwil
& applicant's families
and also from where
Mr. Grant is derived

2. The Govt desire to know whether any blood relationship exists between the applicants family by adoption and that of the Chitwel Polygar, and if not whether any ground can be assigned or any order quoted as the reason or authority for the original grant of the allowance.

3. The entry No 119 of the name of Aparachintala Venkat Ram Ray in the list enclosed in Mr. Ross's letter of 21st February 1814 is said to refer to the lately deceased pensioner and describes him

as "Relation to the Rajah of Chitwel."

4. The entry in the column headed "Date of the commutation of the service or resumption of the privilege" 12th July 1801, is stated in the Tabular Statement regarding the lapse dated 11th April 1840 to be the date of the original grant by Govt.

5. The Govt have been unable to trace the order referred to, but find that on 14th May 1801, the then collector of the Ceded Districts (Major afterwards Sir J. Munro) reported that

that it had been necessary
to occupy the Polam with a
military force. On 5th July
1801 the Revenue Board sub-
mitted their remarks on
Major Munro's proposal to
allow 10% of the collections,
(the usual Malikhana) for
the support of the family,
which was sanctioned by Govt
on 25th Idem.

6. On 24th May 1805 the
Board reported the death of
the elder Ismunder Venkata-
rama Raz, between whom
and his brother Venkata
Ragava Raz the pension
of 10% on the net revenue

of Chitwāl had been divided
in equal shares, and recom-
mended that $\frac{2}{3}$ of the whole
pension should be granted
to the surviving brother,
one-half of the remaining
 $\frac{1}{3}$ to the widow of the
eldest brother Venkatapathy
Raz, and the other half
between the 2 widows of
the ~~late~~ deceased Venkata-
rama Raz, which distri-

bution was approved
and sanctioned by Govt
under date 8th June 1805.

A re-distribution
was made in 1846 on the
death of Ragava Raz

and

and was again revised in
Ext. Min. of Am. 3rd Oct. 1846,
No 1078.

8. None of the above
orders appear to cover the
case of the present appli-
cant's late father, and
the Govt desire that further
search be made by the
Collector for information
regarding it. References
may probably be made
to the advantage to the
Killing records. If
nothing can be traced
in Canada. J. J.

List of references

11. Letter to Prof. Rev. Dec 24

100
10

28/11

18
Cotton P...
P...
G...
18

2

...

...

...

...

...