

(1) Number of pages 6(2) Date of repair 21-4-42(3) Initials of the Referrer **MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.****Government of Madras.****Revenue. DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. <u>93.</u>	Press <u>Mis.</u>
Dated <u>13. 1.</u>	<u>1871.</u>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th January 1871.*

No. 192. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th November 1868, No. 6,897:—

Read the following letter from the Collector of Salem, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th November 1870, No. 237.

I HAVE the honour to report that Veesulatchammal and Moottoo Veerama Reddy, the Joint Proprietors of the Mettooputty Mootah in the Namkul Taluq, having died, I have registered the names of Veerammal, the daughter of Veesulatchammal, and Teroomala Reddy, the only son of Moottoo Veerama Reddy, in lieu of the names of the deceased.

2. Both Veerammal and Teroomala Reddy are minors, the former being 12 years and the latter 6 years old. As the property belongs to Joint Proprietors, I have, under Section 20, Regulation V. of 1804, reported the matter to the Civil Court in view to the appointment of guardians.

3. The Mootah pays a peishcush to Government of Rs. 1,667-3-9, and stands registered in the name of Polama Reddy and the two minors above referred to.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government.

2. The Collector's arrangements are approved.

No. 193. ORDER THEREON, 13th January 1871, No. 93.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government presume that the Collector will in due course make the report prescribed by Section 3,

Regulation V. of 1804, regarding the *management* of the estate, if, as the Government infer, *all* the joint proprietors are minors.

2. The intention of Section 20 of the Regulation is not very clear, but it appears to have contemplated cases where some one or more of the joint proprietors being of competent age to manage the estate, the Court of Wards is not required to intervene, and therefore the Zillah Court is empowered to appoint *guardians* for any minors among the proprietors. But it is evident that it in no way provides for the *management* of any estate of which *all* the joint proprietors are minors.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

93

3/

Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Under date the 13th Jan. 1870

Read the following

Pro. of the Bd. of Revenue.

7/

Submitting letter from Coll^r
reporting death of Veesulatchammal
& M. Veeranna Reddy joint proprietors
of Mettoorputty Mootah in Namkul
Talug, Salem Dist. and arrangements
made by him in consequence.

H. E. 30th Nov^r 1870 No. 6,897

The Gov^t presume
that the Collector will in due
course make the reports prescribed
by Section 3, Regulation 5 of 1804
regarding the management of
the estate, if, as the Gov^t infer
all the joint proprietors are
immove.

The intention of Section

div

10/11/71
11/11/71

Govt. of 1871 30th Nov.	
REVENUE.	
THE BOARD OF REVENUE.	
Dated January 13th.	
G. O. 13th January 1871, No. 93.	
JANUARY. Nos. 192-193.	
Mettoorputty Mootah in Salem District. Proceedings, Board of Revenue, reporting the death of the proprietors and the arrangements made consequent with orders thereon.	

20 of the Regulation is not
very clear, but it appears to
have contemplated cases where some
one or more of the joint proprietors
being of competent age to manage
the estate the Court of Wards is
not required to intervene, and
therefore the Zillah Court is
empowered to appoint guardians
for any minors among the
proprietors. But it is evident
that it in no way provides for
the management of any estate
of which all the joint proprietors
are minors.

L. H.

14 Feb 1854

L. H.

14

Wm
Metropolitan Society
in Salem Dist.

C. W.
Dec

20 Dec 70 Am Jan 71