

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd May 1871.*

No. 299. Read the following letter from the Conservator of Forests, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated 28th April 1871, No. 120.

With reference to Paragraph 1 of the G. O., No. 732, in instant, have the honour to point out that the Post Office Regulation, which compels the sending of "Service Bearing" letters through a Head Office when addressed to a small branch office, peculiarly affects our department, and is the cause of considerable delay and inconvenience. Our chief labours lie in the most out-of-the-way places, which are just the places in which these small branch offices are situated, and the sending of letters on "Service Bearing" is often unavoidable, if the department cannot frank within the limits of the range.

No. 300. ORDER THEREON, 22nd May 1871, No. 905.

Miscellaneous.

Though it is no doubt the case, as represented by the Conservator, that the small branch offices from which

"Service Bearing" letters must be sent through a Head Office are likely to be situated in the very localities in which subordinate forest officials have letters to post, Government do not see how the number of such letters, which, under Rules 10 and 11, may be sent as "Service Bearing," can be considerable.

2. They do not consider that sufficient cause is shown for making an alteration in the general Postal Rules in regard to branch offices being required to send "Service Bearing" letters through a Head Office. It has, moreover, been decided that there is no necessity for giving Forest Range officers further power under paragraph 16 of the rules.

3. The only alternative, therefore, is to instruct the Conservator to supply his subordinates with service labels. The objections to this course have been noted by Government in their Proceedings of the 24th April last, No. 732, but they trust the Conservator will be able to ensure accurate accounts of the expenditure and to prevent waste. It does not appear why, with proper supervision, there should be more opportunity for dishonesty in regard to these labels than in the case of other property with which subordinates in the Forest Department have to deal.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Acting Secretary to Government,

To the Conservator of Forests.
,, the Postmaster-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 120.

From

26
Office of Conservator of Forests,
Camp, Cotacamund,
28th April 1871.

To

Major R. H. Beddome
Conservator of Forests.

Sir,

D. F. Carmichael Esq^r
As Secretary to Government
R. D.

With reference to para 4
of the G. O. No 732 of the 24th in-
stant, I have the honor to point
out that Post Office regulations
which compels the sending of
"Service bearing" letters through
a Head Office when addressed
to a small branch office peculi-
arly affects our Department
and is the cause of considerable
delay

15 May 1871 N 16

delay, and inconvenience, our
chief labors lie in the most out
of the way places which are
just the places in which these
small branch offices are situated
and the sending of letters on
"Service bearing" is often unavoi-
dable if the Department cannot
frank within the limits of the
range.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt. Servt.

Resd. adms Major
Governor

GO. 24 April 1871 No 262-263

W. Brandt

Revenue 1871-72

Forest Department.

From

The W. 1370.

Conservator of Forests.

To

The A. Secy to Govt.
R. D.

Dated 28th

April

1871

Despatched

No. 126

Enclosure. None.

Subject.

Seen 905. 22nd May 1871
Points out the
considerable delay
& inconvenience in
sending letters by
"Service bearing"

Respectfully
Yours

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 126

Dated 28th April. No 126. 1871.

FOR ORDERS.

There appear the two alternatives. Either to visit
on a change in the Post Office Rules in regard
to Branch Offices being required to send their
service bearing letters through a Head Office.
and this is hardly desirable. Secondly to meet
the difficulty raised by the Forest Dept.
or to give Forest Range Officers further
powers under Rule 16 and then the Govt.
have decided that it is undesirable to do.
vide para. 3. G.O. Res. Dec 24th 1870. 732.
Looking at the description of letter which may
be sent under Rules 10, and 16, as "Service."

it does not appear how there can be very
many from the subordinate Forest Officials
though it is true that the smaller Branch Offices
from which such letters must be sent through
a Head Office are likely to be ~~found~~^{found} in
the very places in which Forest Authorities
have to post their letters.

Shall the Conservator be informed that Govt.
cannot think that the number of service
letters is so great as to necessitate
further concession of privilege beyond that
applied for by the Govt. of India. - or
shall he be requested to show what the actual

amount of such correspondence
is. I think there is no necessity
for a reference there. FB

Dr

My dear Sir

FOR ORDERS.

Handwritten text, possibly a signature or address, partially obscured by the stamp.

1870

He must give
his people stamp.
If they cannot be
trusted in the time,
how about our trust
even in?

Yours truly
N. L. S.

25 May 1875

25 May 1875

Revenue Department
#908

Proceedings of the Madras Government
under date the 22nd May 1871.

Read the following
letter from the Conservator of Forests.

Pointing out the
considerable delay and
inconvenience in sending
letters by "Service bearing".

H. E. 28 April 1871 No 120.

Though it is no doubt
the case as represented
by the Conservator, that the
small branch offices from which
"Service bearing" letters must
be sent through a Head Office
are likely to be situated
in the very localities in
which subordinate forest
officials have letters to
post, Government do not
see

J. D. S.

See how the number of such letters, which under Rules 10 & 11 may be sent as "Service bearing" can be considerable.

2. They do not consider that sufficient cause is shown for making an alteration in the General Postal Rules in regard to Branch Offices being required to send "Service bearing" letters through a Head Office. It has more over been decided that there is no necessity for giving Forest Range Officers further power under Paragraph 16 of the Rules.

The

3. The only alternative therefore is to instruct the Conservator to supply his Subordinates with Service Labels. The objections to this course have been noted by Government in their Proceedings of the 24 April last No. 732, but they trust the Conservator will be able to ensure accurate accounts of the expenditure and to prevent waste. It does not appear why with proper Supervision there should be more opportunity for dishonesty in regard to these labels than in the case of other property with which

Which Subordinates in the
Forest Department have to
deal -

7.B.

13 Feb 1903
1- Post Master General

7/4

W. H. B.

Which Subordinates in the
Forest Department have to
deal -

7.B.

13 Feb 1903
1- Post Master General

7/4

W. B. D.

No 299-300

Indorsement of
Service Record
letter sent by So-
viet Dept.

For Mr. [unclear]
[unclear]

21 May 1949