

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd May 1871.*

No. 297. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd May 1871, No. 1,860:—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Chingleput, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 28th March 1871, No. 117.

With reference to paragraph 27 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 4,248, dated the 20th June 1870, I have the honour to state that Kristna Row and Chinniah Pillay, the two taluq officials of Madranticum, implicated in the case of forgery which resulted in the dismissal of the Tahsildar Sankara Row, were dismissed from the service.

2. The part which these officials took in the case has been fully described by the Sub-Collector in his report on the conduct of the late Tahsildar printed with the Board's Proceedings under reference.

3. Though legal proof for their conviction could not be obtained, there can be no moral doubt that they were implicated in the crime of forgery.

4. I beg therefore to endorse the recommendation of Mr. Crole that the above-named two officials as well as Venkata Row, the son of the former of them, and probably the perpetrator of the crime, be declared disqualified for employment in the Government service.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

No. 298. ORDER THEREON, 22nd May 1871, No. 904.

Miscellaneous.

It appears here that two of the taluq officials of Madranticum in Chingleput were recently committed to the

Session Court for forgery in respect of a certain village account filed in the Tahsildar's Cutcherry.

The first, Kristna Row, was the "Nagadi" Gumasta, the second, Chinniah Pillay, was the Gumasta in charge of the Copying Department. One Venkata Row (a lad), a hanger-on at the Cutcherry, son of the first prisoner, was also committed on the same charge.

2. The Session Judge acquitted the lad; also one of the Gumastas (it is nowhere said which), but with the remark that the case against him amounted to strong suspicion. The third prisoner (whichever of the two Gumastas it may be) was convicted and sentenced to four years' rigorous imprisonment.

3. The Board, supporting the Collector, now recommend that all three prisoners be declared disqualified for Government service in any capacity.

4. The Government are informed that the conviction of the only one of the three accused, whose guilt was substantiated in the opinion of the Session Judge, has since been quashed on appeal by the High Court. The Board will be good

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd May 1871.

enough to procure a copy of the High Court's order, on receipt of which the Government will dispose of the present reference.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

22 May/71

No 904

Rev. Sec. of 3 May/71

No 1860

It appears here that two of the
Taleq. officials of Madras District
in Chingleput were recently con-
victed to be defraud of for-
feiture, in respect of a certain
Village account filed in the
Tahildar's Cutcherry -
The 1st, Krishna Row, was the
'Nagadi' Gomasta: the 2nd, Chinniah
Pillay was the Gomasta in charge of
the Copying Dept. The Venkat Row,
a lad, a hanger on at the Cutcherry,
son of the 1st Prisoner, was also
convicted on the same charge.

J. D. S.

2. The Session Judge acquitted the
lad: also one of the Gomastas
(it is now said which) but
with the remark that the case
against him amounted to
strong suspicion. The 3rd Prisoner
(whichever of the two Gomastas it
may be) was convicted & sentenced
to 4 years rigorous imprisonment.

3. The Bd., supporting the Ct.,
now recommends that all three

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd May 1871.

enough to procure a copy of the High Court's order, on receipt of which the Government will dispose of the present reference.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

22 May/71

No 904

Rev. Sec. of 3 May/71

No 1860

It appears here that two of the
Taleq. officials of Madras District
in Chingleput were recently con-
victed to be defraud of for-
feiture, in respect of a certain
Village account filed in the
Tahildar's Cutcherry -
The 1st, Krishna Row, was the
'Nagadi' Gomasta: the 2nd, Chinniah
Pillay was the Gomasta in charge of
the Copying Dept. The Venkat Row,
a lad, a hanger on at the Cutcherry,
son of the 1st Prisoner, was also
convicted on the same charge.

J. D. S.

2. The Session Judge acquitted the
lad: also one of the Gomastas
(it is now said which) but
with the remark that the case
against him amounted to
strong suspicion. The 3rd Prisoner
(whichever of the two Gomastas it
may be) was convicted & sentenced
to 4 years rigorous imprisonment.

3. The Bd., supporting the Ct.,
now recommends that all three

Prisoners be declared
disqualified for Govt service in
any capacity.

The Govt are informed that
the conviction of the only one
of the three accused whose guilt
was substantiated in the opinion
of the Session Judge, has since
been quashed on appeal by the
High Ct. The Govt will be good
enough to procure a copy of the
H.C. order, on receipt of which
the Govt will dispose of the
present reference.

Sd/-

18/5/71

14 Falls Road, Dublin 20

862-1628

619-11

so
about the claims
certain individuals
be disqualified
for that employment.

Chas. H. Hill
Lyon

C. H. Hill, Jr. N16

delay