

865

16

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th May 1871.*

No. 215. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th April 1871, No. 1,520 :—

Read the following letter from the Collector of Salem, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tripatur, 6th March 1871, No. 654.

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Order of Government, dated the 17th ultimo, No. 297, I have the honour to point out that the extent of "Giddagavel" lands, specified in my letter of the 24th November 1870, as claimed by the Mutadar, viz., acres 10,349-38-5, is correct, and I do not understand how the Government came to consider it a mistake.

2. The figures entered in paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings, viz., acres 3,449-39-7, represent the three years' average of the ayacut, as will be seen from the statement which accompanied my letter above referred to. The ayacut, of course, never varies, and the entry of its average in the statement was unnecessary.

3. I shall ascertain the wishes of the Mutadar as directed by the Board, and will report the result in due course.

4. I may mention that the ayacut, viz., acres 10,349-38-5, includes all unassessed waste, hill, rock, etc., etc.

SUBMITTED to Government, with reference to Government Order, 17th February 1871, No. 297.

2. Although the ayacut of the Giddagavel lands is acres 10,349, the average annual cultivation is only acres 2,014.

No. 216. ORDER THEREON, 16th May 1871, No. 865.

Land Revenue.

The total ayacut of the Giddagavel land is given as 2,067 in Genguputti and 1,382 in Konganapuramputti, or acres 3,449 in all, in any and each of the three given faslies ; and as the ayacut never varies, clearly no average was required at all.

2. The Board, however, now concur with the Collector that acres 10,349 is the ayacut of the Giddagavel land. These figures are arrived at by multiplying the ayacut by 3, representing the number of faslies for which the Collector's statement is given, so that if the statement had been given for six faslies, the ayacut would have increased to 20,698 acres.

3. The Board in their former Proceedings correctly stated the ayacut at 3,449 acres. It is important that the extent of the ayacut should be correctly stated, as otherwise it would appear that Government were making over three times the extent of land it actually does on payment of a small and apparently quite inadequate addition to the poishcush.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd F. R. Bantleman.

36
No. 1,520.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARDS OF REVENUE, dated 12th April 1871.

Read the following letter from C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tripatur, 6th March 1871, No. 654:—

WITH reference to paragraph 2 of the Order of Government, dated the 17th ultimo, No. 297, I have the honour to point out that the extent of "Giddagavel" lands, specified in my letter of the 24th November 1870, as claimed by the Mutadar, viz., acres 10,349-38-5, is correct, and I do not understand how the Government came to consider it a mistake.

2. The figures entered in paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings, viz., acres 3,449-39-7, represent the three years' average of the ayakut, as will be seen from the statement which accompanied my letter above referred to. The ayakut, of course, never varies, and the entry of its average in the statement was unnecessary.

3. I shall ascertain the wishes of the Mutadar as directed by the Board, and will report the result in due course.

4. I may mention that the ayakut, viz., acres 10,349-38-5, includes all unassessed waste, hill, rock, etc., etc.

SUBMITTED to Government, with reference to Government Order, 17th February 1871, No. 297.

2. On re-examining the statement submitted with the Collector's former letter, it appears that he is right.

3. Although the ayakut of the Giddagavel lands is acres 10,349, the average annual cultivation is only acres 2,014.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. G. Cole

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of Salem.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

*See also submitted to Govt. 1871
Cmte. No. 107. The total of the ayakut
of 3 specified Districts - viz. the Salem, Tanjore
and Tiruchirappalli Districts - is 3,449-39-7
acres of land, of which 3,449-39-7 acres are
cultivated.*

Rev^d Department

N^o 865.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 16th May 1871.

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue.

Subj. letter from Coll^r
stating that extent of Jiddar
= gavel land in Conganapuram
Muttas in Salem Dist. specified
in his letter of 21st Feb^y 1871 are
claimed by Muttahdars is correct.

(H.E. 12th April 1871)
No. 1529

The total extent
of the Gidagavel land is
given as 2067 in Genguputta
and 1382 in Konganapuram
putti, or acres 3449 in all,
in any and each of the
3 given Taluks: and as
the extent never varies
clearly

Dec^r 1871. O/c^r

Board of Revenue

MADRAS. 7th 12/17

PROCEEDINGS, 12TH APRIL 1871, No. 1,520.

24/12/1871

Encl.

Received 20th

APRIL
No. 1,520.

Dec^r 865. 108 May 17

Gidagavel land in Conganapuram Muttas—is
Salem District.—Letter from Collector, stating
that extent of, specified in his letter of 24th No-
vember 1871 as claimed by Muttahdars is correct
submitted to Government.

2 The Board however ^{now}
concur with the Mr.
(still persist) that acres 10349

arrived by multiplying
the C_{y}^{y} with
an ascertained number of

ment is given, so that

if the statement had been given for six Fashies the acreage would have increased to 20,698 acres.

3. It is odd, that
this mistake should have
been again endorsed by
the

The Rpt. in the
former Pss: correctly
stated the Agreement
at 34.40 Acres.

the Board, and it is
important that the extent
of the Aycut should be
correctly stated, or otherwise
it would appear that Go:
vernment were making over
3 times the extent of land
it actually does, on payment
of a small and apparently
quite inadequate addition
to the present sum.

7B.

14. To Mr. R. P. Bowl Dec 17