

846

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th May 1871.

No. 176. Read the following letter from the Under-Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George, dated Simla, 29th April 1871, No. 192 :—

In reply to Under-Secretary Mr. Stokes's letter, No. 1,236, dated 12th August 1870, I am directed to forward a copy of a letter from the Director-General of the Post Office of India, No. 145, dated 15th April 1871, and to say that the Governor-General in Council agrees with the Director-General. The inclusion of any officer in the Privileged List under the Postal Rules involves the keeping of a separate account in the Post Office, and it is inadvisable to include any officer whose correspondence is so small that it is easier for him to treat it in the usual way than for the Post Office to keep a separate account.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From H. A. BROWN, Esq., for Director-General of the Post Office of India, to the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Calcutta, 15th April 1871, No. 145.

In returning the original papers received with your endorsement, No. 3,310, dated the 3rd September 1870, I have the honour to observe that there seems to be no reason whatever for including Deputy-Superintendent of Revenue Survey or Assistant Superintendent in charge of Survey Parties in Madras in the Privileged List. The correspondence of such offices with other non-privileged offices is extremely small. Statistics having been kept of the correspondence passing between the Deputy-Superintendent of Revenue Survey in the Cuddapah District and other non-privileged offices, the result showed on the average a despatch of about two letters per diem and the receipt of a like number. Even were these results very much larger, I should look upon the inclusion of the offices abovenamed in the Privileged List as quite unnecessary.

No. 177. ORDER THEREON, 13th May 1871, No. 846.

Miscellaneous.

COMMUNICATED to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Introductory

No 145.

From A. M. Monteath, Esquire,
Director General of the Post Office
of India.

To The Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Financial Department,
Calcutta 15th April 1871.

Sir,

In returning the original papers received with your endorsement No 3310 dated the 3rd September 1870, I have the honor to observe that there seems to be no reason whatever for including Deputy Superintendent of Revenue Survey or Assistant Superintendent in charge of Survey Parties in Madras in the Privileged list. The correspondence of such Offices with other non-privileged Offices is extremely small. Statistics having been kept of the correspondence passing between the Deputy Superintendent of Revenue Survey in the Cuddalore District and other non-privileged Offices, the result shewed on the average a despatch of about two letters per diem and the receipt of a like number. Even were these results very much larger, I should look upon the inclusion of the Offices above named in the privileged list as quite unnecessary.

I have, &c.

By Hugh A. Brown,
For Dir. G. of the P.O. of India.
(A True Copy)

W. Walling

13 Aug 171 9th 846

Nov-1518/71

~~1717-18~~
No 879

1716-1717

13 Like Copies of Rec Survey Des 1715

Recd 1871/11
From the Western Records
to the Government
India. Jan 1871/11

Recd 1871/11
11th

No 879

level

forward a communication
from the Director Genl of the
Post office in India, with
the reason why it is con-
sidered inadvisable to
include Assistant Super-
of Revenue Survey in a
list of Privileged Officers
of the Post office in
India. The reason is that
the Survey is not a
Post office.