

No 270 & 271

*Speculation on a
Court of Revenue
of Madras in North
India District*

How Mr. Morris
De

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th March 1871.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 272. From G. LEE-MORRIS, Esq., Acting Second Member of the Board of Revenue, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 30th January 1871 :—

I HAVE the honour to request that the Government will be pleased to decide whether, as Officiating Second Member of the Board of Revenue, I am entitled to draw deputation allowance on the salary of the Second or of the Third Member.

2. I have been informed that as a Third Member gets nothing extra for acting for the Second Member, it is doubtful whether I can draw more pay for acting as Second than as Third Member; but I would beg respectfully to point out that it is hardly fair to apply the same rules in the two cases, since my substantive appointment is Collector and Government Agent of Tanjore, and not Third Member of the Board of Revenue.

REFERRED to the Accountant-General for his remarks.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

30th January 1871.

No. 273. Memorandum from the Accountant-General, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 3rd February 1871, No. 79.

THE undersigned has the honour to state that Mr. Morris's claim seems inadmissible, because he must be looked upon not as a Collector, acting for the Second Member of the Revenue Board, but as an Acting Third Member, officiating for the Second Member, inasmuch as on Mr. Thornhill's return, he would revert not to his permanent appointment of Collector, but to his acting appointment in the Revenue Board.

Vide G. O., No. 273, dated 29th May 1868. Now as it has been decided that a permanent Third Member can draw no deputation when acting for the Second Member, higher acting allowances seem, on the same principle, inadmissible to an Acting Third Member, when called on to perform duty as an Acting Second Member. The Government of India have decided, as pointed out in letter from this office, No. 461, of the 12th May 1868, that when the duties of Members of a Board or Court are the same, acting duty is not to carry with it deputation allowance, and it is submitted that, under the spirit of this ruling, Mr. Morris has no claim to increased acting allowance for the performance of duty as Acting Second Member.

(Signed) F. LUSHINGTON,
Accountant-General.

No. 274. ORDER THEREON, 20th March 1871, No. 492.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government do not concur in the Accountant-General's view of the case.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th March 1871.

2. Mr. Morris must be looked on as Collector of Tanjore, Acting Second Member of the Board of Revenue, and his deputation allowances will be adjusted accordingly.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To G. Lee-Morris, Esq.
,, the Accountant-General.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman

Revenue Department.

No.

9079

MENT.

1871.

letter papers.

1871.

1871. No. 99

intant

in will be

to Mr.

Govt. concu

The undersigned has the honor to state that Mr. Morris' claim seems inadmissible because he must be looked upon not as a Collector acting for the 2^d Member of the Revl. Board but as an Acting 3^d Member officiating for the 2^d Member & inasmuch as on Mr. Thornhill's return he would revert not to his permanent appointment of Collector but to his acting appointment in the Revl. Board. Now as it has been decided that a permanent 3^d Member can draw no Deputation when acting for the 2^d Member, higher acting allowances seem on the same principle inadmissible to an Acting 3^d Member when called on to perform duty as an Acting 2^d Member. The Government of India have decided as pointed out in letter from this office of 14th of the 12 May 1870 that when the duties of Members of a Board or Court are the same, acting duty is not to carry with it deputation allowance and it is submitted that under the spirit of this ruling Mr. Morris has no claim to increased acting allowance for the performance of duty as acting 2^d Member.

Fort Saint George
Accountants Office
3^d February 1871

H. A. Thompson
Account General

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Camp 1871

W. Morris

Govt. concu

R. S. S.
H. A.

in it. L. B.

think Mr. Morris is right.

[Signature]

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th March 1871.

2. Mr. Morris must be looked on as Collector of Tanjore, Acting Second Member of the Board of Revenue, and his deputation allowance accordingly.

Revenue Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the February 1871.

Read the following letter papers.

From G. L. Morris Esq. 2nd Member
Board of Revenue.

Requesting to decide whether as offg.
2nd member he is entitled to deputation
allowance on the salary of the 2nd or of the
3rd member.

Indorse^d of the Accountant General
Reporting that Mr. Morris is not
entitled to deputation allowance while offg.
as 2nd member.

H. E. 30 January 1871.

H. E. 3rd Feby. 1871. No 49

I give you the concise
of the ruling. Mr. Morris
is Colr. of Tanjore &
acting 2nd member.
He cannot be acting
3rd member because
his claim is that.

R. S. S.
H. A.

I think Mr. Morris is
right.

[Signature]

The Accountant

General's opinion will be
communicated to Mr.

Morris. The Govt. concurs

in it. *[Signature]*

To G

Ed. F. R. Bantleman

Y. L.
Deputation al
lance & 102
Morris, As Mem
ber Bd. R.

3 71

Revenue Department.

No. 492

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 20th March 1871.

Read the following letter papers.

From G. L. Morris Esq.,
As 2nd member Board of Revenue.
Requesting to decide whether
as Offg 2nd member he is entitled
to deputation allowance on the
salary of the 2nd or of the 3rd member.

H. E. 30th January 1871.

Endorsement of the Accountant General
Reporting that Mr. Morris
is not entitled to deputation
allowance while offg as 2nd member.

H. E. 3 February 1871 No. 79.

The Government do
not Concur in the Accountant
General's view of the Case.
2. Mr. Morris must be
looked on as collector of
Tanjore, acting 2nd member of
the Board of Revenue, and

his deputation allowances
will be adjusted accordingly.

AD

To G. L. Morris Esq. Depy Secy
6 - the Anti-Slavery
112 - Asst Secy

W. M. D.

No 272-273

Repetition of letter
to Mr Morris, Esq
Member R. D.

2

dict
by Mr
B. P.

(2)

495

10

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th March 1871.*

No. 275. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th
March 1871, No. 1,007 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting
Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 20th February 1871,
No. 103.

THERE is a building in the town of Vellore called the old Police Cutcherry,
the property of Government, which is now disused. As the Acting Sub-Collector
reports that it is utterly useless, is falling to pieces, and altogether dangerous, I
have the honour to request the sanction of the Board for selling it by public
auction. The price of the materials of the building has been estimated at Rs. 297.

SUBMITTED for the sanction of Government.

No. 276. ORDER THEREON, 20th March 1871, No. 493.

Miscellaneous.

SANCTIONED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant-General.