(1) Number of pages 4

(2) Date of repair 18-4-43

(3) Initials of the Referencer

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 307. Press ~~Mis.~~

46-47.

Dated 29. 9. 1871.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th February 1871.

No. 207. Read the following endorsement of the Acting Under-Secretary to Government, Public Department, dated Fort Saint George, 31st January 1871 :—

Read the following letter from the P[illegible]t of th[illegible]cipe, [illegible]ed [illegible]ol[illegible] January 1871, No. 3.

I HAVE the honour to forward an extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Commissioners of Tellicherry, requesting permission to take up a piece of land for a burial ground.

2. The Commissioners endeavoured to obtain the land from the owner, but were not successful.

3. Dead bodies of persons who have died of contagious disease are now buried and burnt in most objectionable localities, and it is very desirable that a public burial ground should be provided. I have, therefore, the honour to request Government will be pleased to permit the taking up of the land for the above purpose.

4. The statement of objections made by the owner and the boundaries of the land are herewith submitted.

TRANSFERRED to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) H. WELLESLEY,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government, P. D.

No. 208. ORDER THEREON, 18th February 1871, No. 307.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government desire to know whether no other suitable piece of land for the purpose can be found which the owner may be willing to part with.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the President of the Municipal Commission, Malabar.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Boundaries of Chowakaren Puthia Purayil Kunhi Kalandan's Kattapram paramba in Moylan Jemmom Amshom - in Extent -

Acre 1-11-10

East ~~~ New road to Thalayi
West ~~~ Waste ground on the sea-shore
North ~~~ Allachal Ponnuthanthy's Chalil Poramba
South ~~~ Valanty Chappilas Chalil Poramba.—

W. Logan

Rev. 324/71.

Chowakkam Puthiapurayil Kunhi Kalandan

Having been served with a Notice dated 19th December last to show objection if he has any to the Commissioners' filing of his Paramba named Kattakaran Paramba in the Chavakkad Amcam for Municipal purpose. states that his Karanavan had thay very dearly for it. that he also had a certain sum to the Govt. on account of it. as it was declard a Govt property by the Revenue department lately. that this is the only Paramba in the Amcam belonging to his Tharavad and that it is therefore a matter of grievance to him and his family to sell the Paramba to the Commissioners and hopes that orders may be issued not to intermeddle its enumeration

W. Logan, I.C.S.

Chowakkam Puthiapurayil Kunhi Kalandan

Having been served with a Notice dated 19th December last to show objection if he has any to the Commissioners' filing of his Paramba named Kattakaran Paramba in the Chavakkad Amcam for Municipal purpose. states that his Karanavan had thay very dearly for it. that he also had a certain sum to the Govt. on account of it. as it was declard a Govt property by the Revenue department lately. that this is the only Paramba in the Amcam belonging to his Tharavad and that it is therefore a matter of grievance to him and his family to sell the Paramba to the Commissioners and hopes that orders may be issued not to intermeddle its enumeration

W. Logan, I.C.S.

In Pub 183/71.

SECRETARIAT DEPARTMENT
27 AUG
1870

Extract from the Proceedings of
Tellicherry Municipal Commissioners.
Dated 1st December 1870

x x x x

Para-4. The subject of the burning and burial of dead bodies in the waste ground near the old gallows on the beach being considered

Resolved that application be made through the President to the Governor in Council for permission to take up Chovakara Kunhi Kelandans paramba called Challil paramba for the purpose of a burning and burial ground

By the Escheat records it appears the land is in extent Acres 1-11-10 and was sold by the Escheat department for Rs: 107-2-- .

(True extract) W. Logan
Vice President

No 3.

From.

A. MacGregor Esqre
President to the Municipal Commission
Malabar

To

The Honorable R. S. Ellis C. B.
Chief Secretary to Government
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Commissioners of Tellicherry requesting permission to take up a piece of land for a burial ground.

The Commissioners endeavoured to obtain the land from the owner, but were not successful.

Dead bodies of persons who have died of contagious disease are now buried and burnt in most objectionable localities, and it is very desirable that a public burial ground should be provided. I have therefore the

honor

honor to request Government will be pleased to permit the taking up of the land for the above purpose.

The Statement of objections made by the owner, and the boundaries of the land are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obt. Servant
A Macfarlane
President

No 307.

Dept. desires to know whether any suitable piece of land for the purpose can be found & the owner name the selling price of such land.

C. B.
18 Feby

1 Encl. to President to the Municipal Commissioners Malahide
Dec 22nd

honor to request Government will

Revenue.

Departt ~~Public~~ 1871.

No. 321.

From

The President to the
Municipal Commission
Malabar

To

The Chief Secretary.
to Government
Madras

Date. 24 January 1871.

Rec'd ~~1871~~
31st Ult. No 3 Transmitted
No 307 18 Idem
Encls. 3.

No. 183.

Requesting to permit the taking up of a piece of land at Tellicherry for a burial ground. The boundaries & the statement of objection made by the owner thereof are herewith submitted with Proceedings of the Commission & Ch. Mr.

L

Transmitted to the Revenue Department.

Forwarded to Government.
31st Jany 1871.
D. Ellerton
Asst. Collr.

Transmitted to the Revenue Department.
Asst. Collr.

Rec'd 18 Feby/71 B. No 207

No. 46. Read the following letter from the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Trevandrum, 22nd September 1871, No. 65:—

I have the honour to forward, herewith, copies of correspondence noted in the margin, regarding a territorial dispute on the Malabar and Cochin boundary.

From Dewan of Cochin, to Resident, No. 677, dated 14th Sept. 1871.
From Resident, to Collector of Malabar, No. 545, dated 22nd Sept. 1871.

2. I am causing thorough inquiry as to whether the boundaries between British and Cochin territories require definite settlement at any other points, and hope to address Government very shortly on the subject.

3. Meantime I hope that Government will approve of the issue of strict orders against entry on the disputed tract immediately under notice until the boundary has been settled by representatives of both sides.

From the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, to the Collector of Malabar, dated Trevandrum, 22nd September 1871, No. 545.

I have the honour to send copy of a letter from the Dewan of Cochin, No. 677, of the 14th instant, regarding alleged aggression by certain persons in Malabar on Cochin territory. I have not Captain Arthur's map on my records, and cannot trace the names mentioned by the Dewan on the maps I have.

2. As there is evident uncertainty about the boundary line, or at any rate decided difference of opinion about it, I am strongly of opinion that measures should be at once taken to prevent felling in the disputed tract till the boundary can be settled by representatives of the British and Cochin Governments.

3. I trust you will see fit to issue strict orders preventing the felling of any more trees or removal of the logs already felled from the tract lying to the east of Carimpara until the territorial dispute is finally disposed of. The Cochin Sirkar will, of course, similarly abstain from any operations.

From the Dewan of Cochin, to the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, dated Ernacollum, 14th September 1871, No. 677.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honour to inform you that I have received another report from the Acting Conservator of Forests, stating that a more serious trespass has recently been committed by Itty Panghy Atchen's people, who, accompanied by a number of British Police Constables, entered the Pottoondy Hills and commenced cutting teak and other valuable woods from Ooliparah, Caradicoonoo, Vellatty, and other places within the aforesaid hill, and, when the Cochin Forest officials protested, threatened to arrest them and hand them over to the British authorities.

Dewan to Resident, dated 17th December 1870, No. 830.
Resident to Dewan, ,, 21st ,, ,, ,, 905.

2. Mr. Minchin, in his letter above noted, said that the Magistrate of Malabar had asserted distinctly that, on the last occasion, Itty Panghy Atchen felled timber on his private property within British territory, and considered him quite justified in doing so, and that he (Mr. Minchin) did not consider it advisable, therefore, to interfere with Itty Panghy Atchen felling timber in the same locality. In a territorial dispute, of the nature under consideration, the assertion, however distinct it might be, of one party can scarcely be considered as conclusive or as binding on the opposite party, especially when that assertion is apparently opposed to a previous settlement between the parties of the same question which was then at issue.

3. In 1815, the British and Cochin Governments appointed Commissioners to define the boundary between the two countries at this particular locality, and the boundary was accordingly determined by the Commissioners. In the report submitted to His Highness the Rajah by the

Commissioners appointed on behalf of the Sirkar, Carimpara, which is on the range of hills lying to the west of Ooliparah Tode, is stated as the boundary between the two States. In our copy of Captain Arthur's map, prepared especially to show the boundary as settled by the Commissioners in 1815, the stream which runs along the foot of the above range is marked as forming the boundary. The Sirkar considers the former to be the real boundary, but, to avoid further disputes and uncertainty, the Sirkar will be prepared to accept the latter as the boundary if the British Government will also agree to it.

4. The Collector of Malabar asserts that the eastern branch of the Ooliparah Tode is the boundary, and claims for the British Government, not only all the land lying between the Carimpara range and the stream running along the foot of it, but also the fork of land formed by the two branches of the Oolipara River, and his authority for so doing is the letter of one of his predecessors. I regret to say that I am unable to agree in the correctness of this conclusion.

5. From paragraph 7 of the G. O. of the 15th October 1870, No. 324, I find that the Government have requested the Board of Revenue to submit their views on the question as to the expediency of adopting special measures of defining the boundaries on the frontiers of Travancore and Cochin, and I have, in paragraph 5 of my letter, No. 780, of the 26th November 1870, expressed the desire of this Sirkar that the boundary at this locality should be correctly defined. I trust that a Commission will be appointed to dispose of this and other boundary disputes between the two States. The Collector states that "the groundwork of the investigation must, of course, be the Memorandum drawn up by the Boundary Commissioners in 1815," and I beg to invite your attention to the request contained in my letter above mentioned, to be furnished with a copy of this memorandum.

6. Ity Panghy Atchen's aim appears to be to fell and remove all the valuable trees growing in the tract of territory in dispute before any such investigation and settlement can take place, and I beg, therefore, most earnestly to request that the Magistrate of Malabar may be requested to prevent the felling of any more trees, or the removal of the logs already felled from the tract lying to the east of Carimpara until the territorial dispute is finally disposed of. Till such time the Cochin Sirkar will also gladly engage to refrain from carrying on any operations in the locality in question.

No. 47. ORDER THEREON, 29th September 1871, No. 307.

Pending a formal adjustment of the boundaries in dispute, His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that strict orders should be given against entry on the disputed tract.

(True Extract.)

A. J. Baker Captain, R. E.,
Joint ~~Under~~ Secretary, P. W. D.,
for *Chief Secretary.*

To the Resident in Travancore and Cochin.
,, Revenue Department.

Exd. J. Wright.

20 Manuscripts
Sent to Records Office

No. 3202

Govt. of [1871] Madras

POLITICAL.

To

THE RESIDENT IN TRAVANCORE AND COCHIN,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated September, 29th.

Read. 1 Oct. *Encl.*

G. O., 29th September 1871, No. 307.

SEPTEMBER.
Nos. 46-47.

No. 22. 9 October 1871

Directing that strict orders be issued against entry on a tract in dispute, pending the settlement of the boundary at that spot between Cochin and the Malabar District.

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA AND INDIAN STATES.

Rs. 22 Mr. Lush return the accounts with sanction & the Govt. of Madras

9 Oct 71

Mr. Rules Dept. dated 18 Oct 71