

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th February 1871.*

No. 189. Read the following letter from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Chepauk, 5th December 1870, No. 2,900-80:—

In acknowledging the receipt of Proceedings Madras Government of the 2nd instant, No. 1,911. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a copy of the memorandum on demarcation

* Letter No. 2,534-89 of 28th October 1870. tion was sent on the same day to the
† „ „ 2,528-70 of 28th „ 1870. Secretary* to the Board of Revenue and to the Officiating Director† Revenue Settlement.

2. Demarcation having been withdrawn from the Settlement Department by G. O. of 12th December 1864, No. 2,243, and demarcation itself solely concerning the survey, I was not aware that it was necessary to refer all demarcation questions to the Director Revenue Settlement.

No. 190. ORDER THEREON, 18th February 1871, No. 298.

Miscellaneous.

In modification of G. O., dated 2nd December 1870, No. 1,911, the Government on re-consideration resolve to maintain the Superintendent of Revenue Survey in the position in which he was placed by G. O. of 12th December 1864, No. 2,243.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.
„ the Board of Revenue.
„ the Director of Revenue Settlement.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 2900
80.

Revenue Survey Office.

Chepault
5th December 1870

From

The Superintendent Revenue Survey.

To

The Secretary to Government

Revenue Department.

SIR,

In acknowledging the receipt of Pro. Madras Government of the 2nd Instant N^o. 1911, I have the honor to state with reference to Para 2, that a copy of the Memorandum on demarcation was sent on the same day to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, and to the ⁸⁹Offg. Director Revenue Settlement.

Letter N^o. 2534
of 28th October/70
do N^o. 2528 of
28th October/70
N^o. 2243

2. Demarcation having been withdrawn from the Settlement Department by G. O. of 12th December/64, and demarcation itself solely concerning the Survey. I was not aware, that it was necessary, to refer all demarcation questions to the Director Revenue Settlement.

I have the honor to be
Sir

your most Obed^t. Servant

Printley bol
Superintendent Revenue Survey

Public papers
for Aug -

Dated 5th December
Despd 6th do
Recd 16 do 1870

States that a copy
of Memorandum on
demarkation was sent
to the Secretary Board of
Revenue & to the Director
New Settlement & that he
was not aware it was necessary
to refer all demarkation questions
to the Director New Settlement.

26 Jan 1924 R330 Mr. Lutz

Em Capt. of Artillery.

I would like to have this
in connection with the
article that you send.

since writing the Review.

I have been informed by the
Manager Rev. J. that Mr. Seligle
decision was influenced much
by the draft of a R. M. of Feb. 25.
is a kind of protest by the Am. J. of Feb.
25. 1841. The R. M. of Feb. 25. 1841.
cited. But it appears that this
draft of R. M. is printed by Messrs. Matthews
& Burleigh was objected to by Lieut.
H. Smith (or some similar
Rev. H. Smith) and was not
issued. The latter being simply
"Record" as appears by the R. M. of Feb. 25.
1841. Mr. Seligle did not perceive
the propriety. & the Manager did
not print it out. for he was only
not perceived it himself. J. K.

James J. Bird

The p.c. of 2 Dec.
James C. Wright
for Robertson & Co.
plan for printing
American Tr. W.
Baltimore

I may mention that the
H. J. O. is signed by
me, was in circulation
before I assumed charge.

It was based on a
remark made by W.
Lin. regarding R. it
appears that W. Delg
satisfied him self that
Ch. P. M. had emb. his
letter to Gov. W. B.
since the 1st of Dec. 1840.

Col. P. was present at the
Dinner given to the
from the Little B. & P. in 64
(by the same order B. & P. abolished
the Little B. & P. altogether.) & that
therefore he was not aware that
it was necessary to refer
questions on the subject to
the Director. but at the
same time he reports
that he did furnish a

with copy of the memo. embodying
his plan.

... I am able to state from
that in 1868. The St. Lawrence
directed that in matters
affecting his own district
only the light of common sense
advised. For direct. With
in the Gov. of St. L. it is
plainly out that the primary
object of demarcation is to
quarantine the way for survey.

I think experience has shown
that the whole result of field
demarcation regards survey,
only - for what has the matter
are made uniformly & uniformly
they do not stand for any
length of time. That is why
in actual elapsed between survey
- settlement, they have only
generally been laid before
settling place. Demarcation
here marks are not necessary
for settlement - as the survey
against establishment the object
of the individual holding.

The Gov. of 20 May 68. on the
claim writing. Re. assigned
- Director of Rev. L. L. the survey
- the re. assessment of the same
venue in all those districts
I have yet to be understood
but said nothing about

regarding a change in the
previous Gov. regarding dem
and in fact the same is
reason. But anything else
has been said - The same
it is indeed the change in
the change of demarcation
being given to the trust from
those of the Gov. to the abolition
of the better dept. Now
the of a much more
as now I think demarcation
it is as well remain
under the light of survey
demarcation is now at the
arrangement with which
it is.

Mr. Baubury is very
anxious to get hold of
again - as several copies
are in circulation from
immediately after his
re-assignment even
in the matter of finding
for his matter. -
but having the to admit
as an official from
partial modification
of the existing order
then to necessity for
again subordinating the
of the Gov. - The change
of position since 1868
has produced quite in

place of the old cricket & ...
 & re-education - and the
 ... to ...
 ... is ...
 ... used ...

... are
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

... is ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

... is
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

I quite agree with them ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

The Survey is a
 Revenue Survey
 and, I think,

all matters, not
 purely professional -
 should reach for
 the Director
 as the Head of

the Dept. This was
 the original status
 of the Dept. and
 it should, I think,
 be restored to - Col
 P. will still know
 his way on all matters
 I will not be under
 the ...

Memorandum
 14. Feb. 71. *LD*

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 18th February 1871.

Read the following letter from the

Supd^r Revenue Survey.

Stating that a copy of
 Memorandum on demarcation was
 sent to the Secy. Board of Rev.
 & to the D^r Rev. Settlement &
 that he was not aware it was
 necessary to refer all demarcation
 questions to the D^r Rev. Settlement

(H.E. 5: December 1870 No. 298/80)

In modification of
 G.O. dated 2nd December 1870
 No. 1911, the Govt. on ...
 reconsideration resolve to ...
 maintain the Superintendent
 of Revenue Survey in the position
 in which he was placed by
 G.O. of 12th December 1864

No. 2213. -
H

13 For the Supply and Survey, Dec 20
14 Copy of the P.O.
13 - Boston, Mass. 1864.