

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 236.	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated 4. 2.	1871.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th February, 1871.*

No. 61. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th January 1871, No. 144 :—

Read the following letter from the Officiating Collector of the Kistna District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Guntoor, 14th December 1870, No. 5,175.

IN the Notification, dated Revenue Department, 10th August, published at page 1171 of *Fort Saint George Gazette*, dated 13th September 1870, the Government have been pleased to extend the provisions of Madras Act I. of 1870 (Canals and Ferry Act) to the portion of the Kistna river between Pondugala in the Palnad Taluq of this district and Vadapalli in the Hyderabad Territory, and further to fix a scale of fees to be levied for the conveyance of passengers, goods, etc., across such ferry. Different rates have been fixed in the Schedule annexed to the said Notification upon bullocks, etc., laden or unladen.

2. The Brinjaries in conveying salt across the Kistna river in this district make their bullocks swim across the river, and carry the sacks, loads, etc., only in the boats to the other side of the river, where they load the bullocks again. The Schedule makes no provision for levying fees on such loads, fee being authorized to be charged only on bullocks, etc., laden or unladen. The Brinjaries have complained to me that since the issue of the Notification in question, a fee of Rs. 4 has been demanded of them for every hundred of their bullock-loads instead of Rs. 2 as before. This, I think, is done under Schedule, item 5, which runs thus—“Bullocks or buffaloes, per head, if laden 0-1-0.” The bullocks not being ferried across in the boats, I doubt if the collection of such fee is legal, and request the instructions of the Board as to the rate of fee to be levied. Should the Board concur with me that the Schedule, as it stands at present, does not provide a fixed ferrying charge on goods exclusive of the animals that carry them, I would propose that the following scale of rates be added to the Schedule with the sanction of Government :—

Particulars of Items.	Rates proposed to be observed.		
	RS.	A.	P.
On every cart-load	0	2	0
Do. bullock or buffalo, sack or load	0	0	6
Do. camel-load	0	4	0
Do. horse-load	0	0	9
Do. tattu or mule-load	0	0	3
Do. ass-load	0	0	3

3. These sums are the differences between rates already fixed on carts, horses, etc., if laden, and those fixed on the same if not laden, and are fair rates to be charged if cart, animals, etc., are not themselves conveyed by the boats, but only the loads. Of course, the old rates continue, should the animals be carried as they are laden or not.

4. At the same time, I would suggest whether or not full rates should be charged if the animals though not actually conveyed on the boats are either tied to the vessel, or held with a rope and towed across by the ferry boat so as to guide them in swimming. This is sometimes done.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th February 1871.*

SUBMITTED to Government with the recommendation that the supplemental Schedule of tolls suggested in paragraph 3 of the Acting Collector's letter be sanctioned. No charge can be levied for animals not carried in the ferry boat.

No. 62.

ORDER THEREON, 4th February 1871, No. 236.

Miscellaneous.

BEFORE passing orders on the proposed additional Schedule, the Government resolve to request the

Board of Revenue to submit their views on the general question of a separate ferry-charge on goods. In the permanent Schedule attached to Act XV. of 1864 no provision was made for goods except as attached to the vehicle or animal which carried them. In the various local schedules since published under Act I. of 1870, provision appears to have been occasionally made for the toll on a "cavady-load"; in one instance, toll has been fixed for "straw bundles." At the Pondugala ferry in the Kistna District, it is now suggested to rate separately shipped goods according to the nature of the vehicle or animal which conveys them to the water's edge; the charge to be the difference between the toll on that vehicle or animal laden and the same unladen. The Board are requested to report how far this mode of rating is likely to meet all cases, and how far this mode of calculating the charge appears to them equitable as a general principle.

2. With reference to paragraph 5 of the Collector's letter and the remark of the Board that "no charge can be levied for animals not carried in the ferry boat," the Government observe that a charge for towing animals would not be inadmissible under Madras Act I. of 1870. The words "for the conveyance of passengers, goods, carriages, carts, palanquins, or animals, across such ferries," occurring in those portions of Sections 13 and 14, which give authority for the institution of tolls, are quite wide enough to include this case. At the same time the Government do not at present see the necessity of authorizing a charge for towing at the Pondugala ferry or elsewhere. The ferry-man can, of course, decline to tow, if he chooses.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 12th January 1871.

Read the following letter from J. A. C. BOSWELL, Esq., Officiating Collector of the Kistna District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Gunttoor, 14th December 1870, No. 5,175:—

In the Notification, dated Revenue Department, 10th August, published at page 1,171 of *Fort Saint George Gazette*, dated 13th September 1870, the Government have been pleased to extend the provisions of Madras Act I. of 1870, (Canals and Ferry Act,) to the portion of the Kistna river between Pondugala, in the Palnad Talook of this district, and Vadapalli, in the Hyderabad Territory, and further, to fix a scale of fees to be levied for the conveyance of passengers, goods, etc., across such ferry. Different rates have been fixed in the schedule annexed to the said notification upon bullocks, etc., laden or unladen.

2. The Brinjaries in conveying salt across the Kistna river in this district, make their bullocks swim across the river, and carry the sacks, loads, etc., only in the boats to the other side of the river, where they load the bullocks again. The schedule makes no provision for levying fees on such loads, fee being authorized to be charged only on bullocks, etc., laden or unladen. The Brinjaries have complained to me that since the issue of the notification in question, a fee of Rupees 4 has been demanded of them for every hundred of their bullock loads instead of Rupees 2 as before. This, I think, is done under Schedule item 5 which runs thus—

3. "Bullocks or buffaloes, per head, if laden 0-1-0." The bullocks not being ferried across in the boats, I doubt if the collection of such fee is legal, and request the instructions of the Board as to the rate of fee to be levied. Should the Board concur with me that the schedule as it stands at present, does not provide a fixed ferrying charge on goods exclusive of the animals that carry them, I would propose that the following scale of rates be added to the schedule with the sanction of Government:—

Particulars of items.	Rates proposed to be observed.		
	RS.	A.	P.
On every cart load... ..	0	2	0
Do. bullock or buffalo, sack or load.	0	0	6
Do. camel load.....	0	4	0
Do. horse load.....	0	0	9
Do. tattu or mule load...	0	0	3
Do. ass load... ..	0	0	3

4. These sums are the differences between rates already fixed on carts, horses, etc., if laden, and those fixed on the same if not laden, and are fair rates to be charged if cart, animals, etc., are not themselves conveyed by the boats, but only the loads. Of course the old rates continue, should the animals be carried as they are laden or not.

5. At the same time, I would suggest whether or not full rates should be charged if the animals though not actually conveyed on the boats are either tied to the vessel, or held with a rope and towed across by the ferry boat so as to guide them in swimming. This is sometimes done.

SUBMITTED to Government with the recommendation that the supplemental schedule of tolls suggested in paragraph 3 of the Acting Collector's letter be sanctioned. No charge can be levied for animals not carried in the ferry boat.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Officiating Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

1399/71

Colt. of 118713

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated February 4th.

Recd.

G. O., 4th February 1871, No. 233.

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 61-62.

Tolls to be levied at certain ferries in Kistna District.—Proceedings Board of Revenue for warding a supplemental Schedule in connection with it, with orders thereon.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 4th January 1871.

Read the following ~~letter~~ *Proceedings*
of the Board of Revenue.

A.E. 12th Jan'y 1871. No 1144.

Submitting letter from Coll:
forwarding supplemental schedule
of tolls to be levied at certain
ferries in Kistna D. under Act I
of 1870, with recommendation
that schedule be sanctioned.

Before passing orders
on the proposed additional
Schedule, the Govt resolve
to request that the Board
of Revenue will be good
enough to submit ^{time views} a report
on the general question
of a separate ferry-charge

105

10 Aug 70 No 155/57.

10 Aug 70 No 155/57.

Rev. 1871. 21/11

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS. No. 191.

PROCEEDINGS, 12TH JANUARY 1871, No. 144.

24/12/19 Jan 11/71

Received 19/11

Encl

JANUARY.
No. 144.

No. 236 & 237/71

Tolls—to be levied at certain ferries in Kistna District, under Act I of 1870.—Letter from Collector, forwarding supplemental schedule of, submitted to Government, with recommendation that schedule be sanctioned.

10 Aug 70 No 155/57.

on goods. In the permanent schedule, attached to Act XV of 1864 no provision was made for goods except as attached to the vehicle or animal which carried them. In the various local schedules since published under Act I of 1870 provision appears to have been occasionally made for the toll on a "carriage load"; in one instance toll has been fixed for "straw bundles." At the Pondugala ferry in the Krishna District, it is now suggested to rate separately shipped goods according to the nature of the vehicle

or animal which conveys them to the water's edge; the charge to be the difference between the toll on that vehicle or animal laden and the same unladen. The Board are requested to report how far this mode of rating is likely to meet all cases, and how far this mode of calculating the charge appears to them equitable as a general principle.

2. With reference to para 5 of the collector's letter, and the remark of the Board that "no charge can be levied for animals not carried in the ferry boat

boat, the Govt observe
that, a charge for towing
animals would not be
inadmissible under Madras
Act 1 of 1870. The words "for
the conveyance of passengers,
goods, carriages, carts, palan-
quins or animals across
such ferries," occurring in
those portions of sections 13
and 14 which give authority
for the institution of tolls,
are quite wide enough to
include this case. At
the same time the
Government do not
at present ~~realize~~^{see}
the necessity of autho-
rizing a charge for
towing

117
towing at the Pondugala
ferry, or elsewhere. The
ferry-man can of course
decline to tow, if he ~~wishes~~^{thinks}

2. children

at
L.H.S. Cms

14 To the 18 of Decr. 1870

S. P. S.

No. 4 July 1868

Wm. L. & Co. Lumber
at a time before
the Western Dist
under Oct 24/70