

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th September 1871.*

No. 314. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th September 1871, No. 3,911.

Read the following letters:—

From the Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 22nd August 1871, No. 2,539.

WITH reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd August 1871, No. 3,231. I have the honour to report that there is no objection to the three Jaggiapet merchants (Jaithmull Ramdhun, Moolchund Shreekisson, and Juggonath Sewnath) being taxed in Bombay as the principal place of business of their Firm. I have already communicated to the Income-tax Collector of Bombay the estimated amount of their profits at Jaggiapet in this district.

From the Officiating Collector of Madras, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 15th August 1871, No. 135.

WITH reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 3,231, of 2nd August 1871, I have the honour to state that I see no objection to the merchants noted in the margin being assessed at Bombay. They were assessed there last year on their income, inclusive of their profits at Madras.

From the Acting Collector of South Canara, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Mangalore, 22nd August 1871, No. 1,100.

WITH reference to Board's Proceedings, dated the 2nd instant, No. 3,231, referring for report a letter from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, I have the honour to state that the Firm of Nursey Khutao therein alluded to, who have a branch at Mangalore, may be taxed in Bombay, as their principal place of business is in that city.

From the Acting Collector of Malabar, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 26th August 1871, No. 221.

WITH reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd August 1871, No. 3,231,

Cochin.
Visram Khutao.
Hajee Tar Mohamed Sallay Mohamed.
Messrs. Dossabhoy Merwanjee & Co.
" Mooljee Jaitha & Co.
Hajee Elias Hajee Oosman.

Cannanore.
Hajee Jooma Jaffer
Hajee Tar Mohamed Sallay Mohamed.
Messrs. Heerjeebhoy Muncherjee and Sons.
Hajee Joosub Noor Mohamed.

Calicut.
Bhanjee Jewraz.
Messrs. Mooljee Jaitha & Co.,
Toolsidoss Anundjee.
Messrs. Dwarkadoss Vussonjee and Luckmidoss
Khemjee, Executors of the estate of the late
Goculdoss Tejpal.

I have the honour to state that I see no objection to Bombay being deemed to be the principal place of business for the Mercantile Firms marginally noted, who have branches of business in this district for the purpose of Income-tax assessment.

2. These Firms were assessed at Bombay during the last official year.

3. I may add that Messrs. Volkart Brothers and Messrs. Edward Bandry & Co., in this district were assessed at Bombay, their principal place of business, during the last official year, but

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th September 1871.*

I observe that their names are not included in the list annexed to the Proceedings under reply.

The foregoing letters will be submitted to Government, with reference to their endorsement, dated 13th July 1871, on a letter from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated 5th July 1871, No. 972.

Madras.
Nursey Jugjeewon.
Kissonram Mohunlall.

Jaggiapet.
Jaithmull Ramdhun.
Moolchund Shreejisson.
Juggolath Sewnath.

Mangalore.
Nursey Khutao.

Cochin.
Visram Khutao.
Hajee Tar Mohamed Sallay Mohamed.
Messrs. Dossabhoj Merwanjee & Co.
Mooljee Jaitha & Co.
Hajee Elias Hajee Oosman.

Cannanore.
Hajee Jooma Jaffer.
Hajee Tar Mohamed Sallay Mohamed.
Messrs. Meerjeebhoy Muncherjee & Sons.
Hajee Joosub Noor Mohamed.

Calicut.
Messrs. Mooljee Jaitha & Co.
Toolsidoss Anundjee.
Messrs. Dwardkaddoss Vussonjee and Luckmidoss
Khemjee, Executors of the estate of the late
Goculdoss Tejpal.

were assessed last year at Bombay, have been omitted in the list of the Bombay Government. He has evidently no objection to the assessments in these cases also being made at Bombay.

No. 315.

ORDER THEREON, 25th September 1871, No. 1,663.

Miscellaneous.

ORDERED that the above Proceedings of the Board of Revenue be communicated to the Government of Bombay, with reference to their Chief Secretary's letter, dated 5th July 1871, No. 972.

2. This Government see no objection to the proposed arrangement.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

*No. 972 of 1871.
Financial Department.
Bombay Castle 5th July 1871.*

*The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras*

Sir,

In forwarding the accompanying list of mercantile firms whose principal place of business is in this city, where also their accounts are made up, and who have, under the names mentioned in the 2nd col: of the list, branches at several places in the Madras Presidency, I am directed to state that it is proposed to declare, as provided for in para. Published in the Gazette 31 of the Rules under Sect. 47 of India Act No. 1974 of 1st of Income Tax Act III of 1871, April 1871, that Bombay be deemed to be the principal place of business for the firms included in the above list for the purposes of Income Tax Assessments; and to enquire whether the Government of Madras have any objection to the proposal.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt^l Servant.

*W. C. C. C.
For Chief Secy to Government.*

No. 3051

Rev. 1871. Sept.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 12TH SEPTEMBER 1871, No. 3,911.

Supp 18 Sept 171

Received. 21-8-

SEPTEMBER:
No. 3,911.

ck. 1663 - 25 September 1871

Income-tax.—Letters from Collectors of Kistna, Madras, South Canara and Malabar Districts, stating there is no objection to certain merchants, whose principal place of business is in Bombay and who have branches in those Districts, being assessed to Income-tax in Bombay, submitted to Government.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

the Officiating Collector of Madras.

., the Acting Collector of Malabar.

the Collector of the Kistna District.

., the Acting Collector of South Canara.

.. the Collector of Coimbatore.

Ed. J. Tulloch.

14. To the Oboe of the

72.1663

department.
 Did not take the above
 transfer of the 18th of Dec. to
 the 1st of the 18th of Dec.

Lt. & Mrs. C. L. L. L. L.
5 July 71. N. G. S. The first see root system
alloch. I do
The proposed arrangement. L. H.

25-12-71

Recd 1871 Sept

Ms 2176

From

Wm Lloyd Garrison
of Boston

Report to the Board.

of Revenue for early report

in communication with

the collector of the District

General.

10

Dr. Wm. Bryant

2-4-1947

13 July 1871

Received

Entered & Returned 18 July 1874

Charles Stuart

It is proposed to

David West Bromwich

desired to be the first in

effect of use of the river

to the same number

for the Dispersal of them
by Association & Learning

rather the other way

has any objection to the

Handwritten signature: *Handwritten signature*

W. J. W.

Appendix

No 314

5
Govt. of 1871 Madras.

REVENUE.

To
THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated September 25th.

Recd.

Each

G. O., 25th September 1871, No. 1,663.

SEPTEMBER.

Nos. 314-315.

*Note No 2692 from the
Bd of Rev. of 9th Nov/71.*

Income-tax.—Board's Proceedings relative to certain merchants whose principal place of business is in Bombay, and who have branches in certain districts, being assessed to — in Bombay, communicated to Bombay Government with remark that Madras Government see no objection to the proposed arrangement.