

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th September 1871.*

No. 220. Endorsement of the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 27th August 1871.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Agriculture, Revenue, and Commerce, (Land-revenue and Settlement,) to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 17th August 1871, No. 186.

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1,043, dated the 13th of June last, recommending the grant of a loan of public money for the relief of the ancient Zemindary of Ramnad in the Madura District, and to forward copy of a Resolution in the Financial Department, No. 2,618, dated 10th instant, communicating the orders of the Government of India on the subject.

2. From paragraph 2 of your letter above quoted it appears that in 1867 Mr. J. B. Norton, while holding the appointment of Advocate-General to the Government of Madras, went to England on furlough and appeared before the Privy Council on behalf of the Zemindar in his suit against Government for the reversal of the order declaring his adoption invalid, which order had been passed on the advice of Mr. Norton himself when Government Pleader.

3. I am directed to inquire whether the Government of Madras consented to Mr. Norton's defending the Zemindar's case, and whether His Excellency the Governor in Council considers Mr. Norton's conduct in the matter to have been becoming.

TRANSFERRED to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) R. S. ELLIS,
Chief Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

34
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
OOTACAMUND,
16th September 1871.

No. 221.

No. 1,618.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
REVENUE, AND COMMERCE.

Land Revenue.

SIR,—Referring to the latter part
of your letter dated 17th August
1871, No. 186, on the subject of aid to

the Ramnad Zemindar, I am directed to forward, for the information of the Government of India, copy of Proceedings of this Government dated 17th September 1863, embodying a letter from Mr. Advocate-General Norton, explaining his connection with the Ramnad case in a manner which the Government of the day deemed satisfactory.

2. Mr. Norton advised Government in the case as Government Pleader, but subsequently resigned the office, and, in the interval of time between his resignation and his appointment to act as Advocate-General, he was retained on behalf of the plaintiff.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

From

A. O. Hume Esq^{re}. C.B.
 Secy to the Govt of India,
 Department of Agriculture,
 Revenue, and Commerce.

To

The Secy to the Govt of Madras.
 Simla the 17 August 1871.

Laid Revenue
 and
 Settlement } Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge
 the receipt of your letter N^o 1043 dated
 the 13th of June last, recommending
 the grant of a loan of public money
 for the relief of the ancient Zemindars
 of Ramnad in the Madura District,
 and to forward copy of a Resolution
 in the Financial Department N^o
 2018 dated 10th instant, communicating
 the orders of the Government of India
 on the subject.

x Brought on record
 9/6/11 Sept 17
 N^o 1530.

From para: 2 of your letter
 above quoted it appears that in 1857
 Mr J. B. Norton, while holding the
 appointment of Advocate General to

10220

for of Indian letter
forwarding letter?
on the "Hammam" defect

Memorandum for order

I circulate a draft
J.O. on the receipt of
the for of Indian. and
and request orders on
the last para of this
letter. coming letter
- 2^d request and expression
of the opinion of for.
on Mr. Norton's connection
with the case.

Having the Supreme
for allude to para
30 of Mr. Bliss
report in the en-
testimonies - I
have no knowledge
of the matter I do

It was pointed out in
Mr. Carmichael's letter
to the for. that the
for. acted on his advice
as for. Plead in
disputing the names
2^d part of the letter. but
I do not find service
the for. advised of which
they state they quote from
para 2 of the letter

Rec 1891 Dec
1892/1352
Transcript of letter
at J.P.

Oct 17th 1891
Oct 23rd Aug 1/91.
1898.

See 1.
of Dec 1898 - 1899
marking with the
weekly copy of the Review
trans. of the communication
order of front of the letter
on the subject of the for
the leave to the Review
proceeds.

It is not there. And this is
it in para 26. of W. Miss's
report 2d. report to W. Estlin
in regard to a report in
England.

My impression is that
W. Estlin as for. Reader
advised the Gov. that
consequently after his
resignation of the Gov. office
of the office. His resignation
as Ad. Gen. he accepted
since kind of certain
from the family &
from him to maintain
this since after he became
Ad. Gen. since it was before
the Gov. office.

But I have no papers
to guide me in the matter
and I am very doubtful
whether there is any thing
recorded in the Gov. Office
but I have reported
him as I ascertained.
I mean to think

that W. Blunt is
fully informed^{11d}
and the case stands
as I think it must
have been under his
notice when Chief
Sec. L. J.

1.
~~2.~~
3.

satisfactory.

M. H. H. H. H. H.

For the purpose of the

subsequently resigned

the office of the

of time between his resignation

and his appointment

as the

in the

in the

in the

in the

in the

in the

in the

in the

in the

in the

in the

in the

in the

in the

I have not
seen any