

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th September 1871.*

No. 189. Read the following endorsement of the Under-Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 31st August 1871:—

Read the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, 4th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 29th August 1871, No. 2,260.

I HAVE the honour to forward, for the consideration of Government, the accompanying original letter from the Executive Engineer, East Coast Canal, on the subject of repairing the Government boats purchased for his use under Government Order, Revenue Department, No. 1,253, dated 13th August 1870.

2. An estimate, amounting to Rs. 80 for repairing these boats, was forwarded to the Board of Revenue in June last for sanction from the Canal Funds, but the Board have declined to accord their sanction on the ground that the cost of maintaining Government boats should be borne by the officers using them, in accordance with the practice obtaining in the Godavery District.

3. It will, however, be observed from the enclosed original letter from the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, that all Government boats in the Godavery Delta are kept in repair at Government expense, rents being paid monthly by the officers using them at certain fixed rates graduated according to the different classes of the boats.

4. I see no objection to the introduction of the same system in this division, and in requesting Government to sanction the charge (Rs. 80) proposed to be expended by the Executive Engineer, I would suggest that Mr. Harington be required to pay a monthly rent of Rs. 12, or Annas 8 per diem, for the two boats whilst in use, Government keeping them in repair at their own expense. Mr. Harington may also be required to keep a permanent crew of one lascar for the two boats. If Government rent their boats, and officers in charge have to make the repairs, no repairs will ever be efficiently made.

TRANSFERRED to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) A. DEC. SCOTT, Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

No. 190. ORDER THEREON, 15th September 1871, No. 1,602.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government sanction the estimate of Rs. 80 for repairing the Government boat on the Northern Coast Canal as a charge against the Canal Fund.

2. They approve the Superintending Engineer's proposal that the officer in charge of the canal shall pay a rent of Rs. 12 per mensem, or Annas 8 per diem

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th September 1871.

for any shorter period, for the time that he actually uses the Government boats,
the receipts to be credited to the Canal Fund, and that he be required to maintain
permanently an establishment of one lascar in charge of the boats.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

Enclosures
1 original letter
No. 147 dated 22
July 1871 with
Board's Proceedings.
1 Letter No. 1545
dated 12 August 71

No. 2260. Supp Engrs Office & J.
Madras 29 August 1871

From

Colonel C. F. Wilkinson R.E.
Supp Engineer & Division

To

Colonel J. Cairnsdale R.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Sir

I have the honor to forward
for the consideration of Government the
accompanying original letter from the
Executive Engineer East Coast
Canal on the subject of repairing the
Government Boats purchased for his
use under Government Order Revenue
Department No. 1253 dated 13 August
1870.

2. An estimate amounting to
Rupees 80 for repairing these boats was
forwarded to the Board of Revenue in
June last for sanction from the Canal
funds, but the Board have declined
to accord their sanction on the ground
that the cost of maintaining Government
Boats

Boats should be borne by the Officers using them in accordance with the practice obtaining in the Godavery District.

3 It will however be observed from the inclosed original letter from the Superintending Engineer 1st Division that all Government Boats in the Godavery Delta are kept in repair at Government expense rents being paid monthly by the Officers using them at certain fixed rates graduated according to the different classes of the boats.

4 I see no objection to the introduction of the same system in this Division, and in regarding Government to sanction the charge Rs 80 proposed to be expended by the Executive Engineer, I would suggest that Mr. Harrington be required to pay a monthly rent of Rs 12 or Annas 8 per decm for the two boats whilst in use Government keeping them in repair at their own

expense

expense. Mr. Harrington may also be required to keep a permanent crew of 40 Lascar for the two boats. If Government rent their boats and Officers in charge have to make the repairs, no repairs will ever be effectually made.

I have the honor to be
Your most obedient servant

Wm Wilson Colclough
Supt Engineer 1st Division

No. 1602
15 Oct 1911.
From Supg. Engineer, 4. Division
Offs. Public Works Dept.

10

Am 23 Aug 1947 12.30

~~Dr. J. H. H. H.~~ 1871 Dec 11

202847

John

Wm. B. E. D. D.
The duties of the
Division

Wm. Lloyd Garrison

18 September

Sept 29-30 1870

Dec 1:30 1891

Dec 5:30
Jan 4:30

Jan: 4. 1866
Geo. A. Peck

Capt H. B.
Under Secy. of War
P. M. &

31 August
1871

189

28: bekim 11-13. 13. 13. 13.

The Govt. sanction the
 estimate of \$10,800. for
 repairing the Govt. boat
 on the Northern Coast
 Canal - also charge
 against the Canal Fund.
 & ...

1 They approve the English
Eng^l. proposal that
the Officer in charge of
the Canal shall pay
a rate of \$12. per
hundred - or Annals
\$ per day for ~~the~~ any
shorter period - for
the time that he
actually uses the Gov.
boats & and that

✓ The receipt to be
credited to the fund
fund

1874
 1875
 1876
 1877
 1878
 1879
 1880
 1881
 1882
 1883
 1884
 1885
 1886
 1887
 1888
 1889
 1890
 1891
 1892
 1893
 1894
 1895
 1896
 1897
 1898
 1899
 1900

62

Chang
Chang

64

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a record of some kind. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into columns, with names in the first column and dates in the second column.

2. The second part of the document is a series of paragraphs of text, written in a cursive script. The text is somewhat difficult to read due to the handwriting, but it appears to be a narrative or a report of some kind. The paragraphs are separated by lines of space, and the text is written in a consistent style throughout.

3. The third part of the document is a series of paragraphs of text, written in a cursive script. The text is somewhat difficult to read due to the handwriting, but it appears to be a narrative or a report of some kind. The paragraphs are separated by lines of space, and the text is written in a consistent style throughout.

4. The fourth part of the document is a series of paragraphs of text, written in a cursive script. The text is somewhat difficult to read due to the handwriting, but it appears to be a narrative or a report of some kind. The paragraphs are separated by lines of space, and the text is written in a consistent style throughout.

5. The fifth part of the document is a series of paragraphs of text, written in a cursive script. The text is somewhat difficult to read due to the handwriting, but it appears to be a narrative or a report of some kind. The paragraphs are separated by lines of space, and the text is written in a consistent style throughout.

Feb 189-190

A. G.
Superior to the...
for the...
...
...



CS: Lpt. 71. D. 13. Lpt.