

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st September 1871.*

No. 5. Read the following despatch from the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India, (Revenue,) to His Excellency, the Right Honourable the Governor in Council, Fort Saint George, dated India Office, London, 29th June 1871, No. 16:—

I HAVE considered in Council the despatch from your Excellency in Council, numbered 19 and dated the 9th ultimo, with which you transmit a memorial to my address from Soobbiah, son of Andiappa of Nagalore in the Bellary District, appealing against your decision which refused to interfere with the decision of the Board of Revenue, rejecting his claims to the Reddyship of the village and its dependent hamlets.

2. I gather from the documents sent home that your Government does not believe the statement of the memorialist that the office was only temporarily held by his guardian during his minority, but believes that it has always been held by the family of his opponent, although the Inam land was held by the memorialist. I approve therefore of the course taken by your Excellency in Council in this case.

No. 6. ORDER THEREON, 1st September 1871, No. 1,508.

Miscellaneous.

COMMUNICATED to the Board of Revenue, who will cause the decision of the Secretary of State to be made known to the memorialist.

2. The Board will, however, cause further inquiry to be made as to how and when the Reddy office passed from memorialist's family (which still enjoys the Service Inam) to that of his opponent who have held it for two generations, in view to the claims of the family which holds the Inam to the office being considered when a vacancy occurs.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue.

Sp 16.

India Office

London 29 June 1871

My Lord

I have considered in
Council the Dispatch from
Your Excellency in Council
numbered 19 and dated the 9th
ult., with which you
transmit a Memorial to my
address from Soobiah, son
of Andiaffer of Nagelore in
the Bellary District, appealing
against your decision which
refused to interfere with the decision
of the Board of Revenue, respecting

His Excellency
The Right Honorable
The Governor in Council
For the General

rejecting his claims to the Reddyship
of the Village and its dependent
hamlet.

I find from the documents
sent home that your Government
does not believe the statement of
the Memorialist, that the office
was only temporarily held by
his guardian during his
minority but believes that it

has always been held by the
family of his opponent, although
the Inamland was held
by the Memorialist.

I approve therefore
of the course taken by

Your Excellency for
Council

Council in this case.
I have the honor to be,
My Lord,
Your Lordship's most obedient
humble servant

[Signature]
[Faint text below signature]

[Faint text block]

[Faint text block]

Revenue.
29 June 1871

The
Letter to Fort M. George.
Ms. 16.

№ 1508

Command to the B. of Nev.
Who will cause the decision
of the Sec. of State to be
made known to the
Governorship -

Rec^d. 22^d. July 1871
via
Brindisi & Bombay.

The B. G. L. however
 came for the inquiry to
 be made as to how and
 from the leading office
 passed from the memorialists
 family (B. G. L. being
 the same as the
 that of his opponent
 who has held it for
 2 generations. in view
 of the ~~claimant~~ ^{claimant} of the
 office & the family who
 held the same & the office being
 merged in the same & a
 vacancy occurs.

L. A.
1 Sept. / 91.

14 To the Ordnance Dept
Gen 7 14

C10⁴ Airt 7/71 R40 17.
R. Cir. 25 Airt 7/71

Approving the course taken by the
Madras Gov^t. Refusing to interfere with the
decision of the Bd. of Revs. respecting Claims
of Sobiah to the Reddyship of the
Village of Nagalase in Bellary D^t.

No 1508 - 1 September 1870

My dear Mother & Lou

Please look through
these papers if you can -
as I am in some doubt
at this last stage!

Pelt is in possession
administered by the En-
tire Red Dy. Bureau - this
leaves a strong branch
in his power. His deb.

- must of course get the
pres. as the only way.
Now this arrangement
originated is not stated.
The plan - was sent in
the early - all have letters
of the names but I think
it is odd that one man
should have all, without
the others. I have decided

has him play an important.

he can discuss the
matter in the day before
or after the event - could
we not take hold that
when a vacancy
occurs he can say
before his election - or
some thing to that
effect?

Geo. E. Egan

L. O. Pinner

28 Sept. 71