

1271

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th July 1871.*

No. 270. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th July 1871, No. 2,702:—

Read the following letters:—

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 19th December 1870, No. 593.

IN reply to your Circular Official Memorandum No. 1,477 of the 2nd instant, I have the honour to state that in the three estates now under the management of the Court of Wards there are three Managers who will be all affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No. 2,967.

2. Their appointment in the Government service, past and present pay, and the extent to which their monthly salary will be curtailed, if the minor estates are not capable of paying the six per cent. deductions upon their pay, are shown in the enclosed statement.

3. It is, I think, only fair the estates, whose affairs these men are employed to manage, should be made to pay the six per cent. deductions, so long as they are benefited by their service.

4. The Manager's pay is very small, and the Court of Wards in their Proceedings No. 6,932, dated 3rd December 1870, have declined to sanction their travelling batta in future. If they are now made to suffer a further deduction of six per cent., the salary will be so inadequate when compared with the nature of the duties to be performed, and for the faithful discharge of which the Managers are made to furnish security, that it will be impossible to secure the services of really respectable and properly qualified men. I therefore would strongly deprecate the proposed deductions from their salaries.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement.

From the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 16th January 1871, No. 15.

REFERRING to the Board's Circular Official Memorandum No. 1,477, dated the 2nd ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that there is only one Uncovenanted Servant in the employment of the Court of Wards in this district who will be affected by the Notification of the Government of India therein quoted.

2. The official above referred to is Satchoothanandum Pillay, Goomastah of the Musery Talook, on a salary of Rs. 15 per month. He is now Manager of the Peramur estate under the Court of Wards, and draws a salary of Rupees. 20.

3. As the responsibility devolving on him as Manager is great, and his remuneration is comparatively small, I would recommend that the six per cent. adverted to in the Notification be paid to Government from the assets of the Peramur estate.

From the Principal Assistant Collector, in charge of Ganjam, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Belghur, 26th January 1871, No. 80.

WITH reference to your Circular Official Memorandum No. 1,477, dated the 2nd ultimo, I have the honour to report that under the order of Government, No. 893, of the 23rd May 1863, Revenue Department, the servants entered in the annexed memorandum were allowed to reckon their services under the Court of

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th July 1871.*

Wards towards pension. The servant No. 2, however, has, it appears, taken service under the Mysore Government; No. 4 is dead; and No. 6 has been readmitted into the Government service.

2. T. Ramiah, Supervisor, Department of Public Works, has been selected to have charge of the Public Works of the Purlah Kimedy estate, and I therefore beg to bring his case to the favourable notice of the Board.

List of Servants.

1. P. Kistniah, Manager of Purlah Kimedy,
2. B. Gopal Row, Sheristadar of do.
3. A. Pattabhi Ramiah, Karkoon of do.
4. P. Ragava Charloo, do. do.
5. V. Raja Row, guardian of do.
6. K. Venkatramdoss, Manager of Jalantra.
7. N. Purushotama Row, Goomastah of do.

From the Collector of Madura, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 10th May 1871, No. 129.

With reference to the Board's Circular Official Memorandum dated 2nd December 1870, No. 1,477, I have the honour to report that Shaik Ismail Saib, Manager of the Bodinaikanur estate now under the Court of Wards, is the only person in the district who will be affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No. 2,967. This man was a Goomastah in the Dindigul Talook, and was promoted to his present post with a promise that his service as Manager would be reckoned towards pension, and this circumstance has already been brought to the notice of the Court of Wards when his nomination was submitted for their confirmation.

Vide letter to Court of Wards, dated 19th February 1870, No. 62, embodied in their Proceedings dated 2nd May 1870, No. 2,879. However, he now consents to a reduction of 6 per cent. of his salary as required in the Resolution quoted above.

These replies to the Board's Circular Memorandum, calling for a list of servants who were affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No. 2,967, will be submitted for the orders of Government, with reference to their order No. 877, dated 17th May 1871.

2. The Board must admit at once that they did not understand that the order of the 23rd May 1863, No. 893, was in force, and they will explain how the mistake (if it is a mistake) came to be made.

3. In Proceedings dated the 21st August 1862 the Government of India on a consideration of the question whether service under the Court of Wards in Madras should count as service for pension thought it desirable that it should *not*. This decision was communicated to the Board in Government Order, 6th October 1862, No. 2,143.

4. Subsequently Government allowed present incumbents to continue to reckon their service towards pension (Government Order, 21st November 1862, No. 2,455,) and the Board thereupon called for a list of the cases in which Collectors thought existing arrangements of the kind contemplated by the new

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th July 1871.*

ruling should be allowed to stand. It was on the receipt of this list and with reference to it and to the orders which have been quoted and no others, that Government issued their order of the 23rd May 1863, No. 893, declaring that Government servants should not in future be employed in the estates of Minors without losing the privilege of counting their service towards pension.

5. In the meantime, however, the Government of India saw reason, on a reference from the Punjaub, to modify their views, and in a letter dated the 23rd April 1863, No. 1,653, ruled that when Government servants were chosen for duties under the Court of Wards, their service should count for pension, but that they should not be so chosen *if it could be avoided*. This letter was communicated to the Board in an order dated the 18th May 1863, No. 858, which specially referred to the previous orders which were affected by it.

6. Although this Government Order is dated five days previous to the one passed on Board's Proceedings, 2nd May 1863, No. 2,614, it seems to have been assumed that it was overlooked when the latter order was passed, and accordingly the order declaring that Government servants might be employed under the Court of Wards (without losing pension time) in cases where it could not be avoided, was embodied in Standing Circular No. XI. of the 29th May 1863 (which was communicated to Government as usual), and has ever since been considered in force.

7. When Collectors have recommended Government employes for service under the Court of Wards, the Court have always satisfied themselves that it could not be avoided, and neither they nor the Collectors nor the employes concerned have supposed that pension time was lost.

8. It will be observed from the list of cases appended to these Proceedings that the number of cases falling within the scope of Government Order, 17th May 1871, No. 877, is very small, and under the explanation now given, the Board hope Government will relax it in their favour. The difficulty of finding men not in Government service who can be trusted with the management of an important estate, is often insuperable.

List of Officials of the Uncovenanted Service in the employ of the Court of Wards who are affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No. 2,967.

Districts.	Estates.	Names of Individuals.	Appointments held under Court of Wards.
Ganjam	Purla Kimedy	P. Kistniah*	Manager.
		A. Pattabhi Ramiah*	Karkoon.
		V. Raja Row*	Guardian.
		N. Purushotama Row*	Goomastah.
		T. Ramiah	Supervisor.
North Arcot.	Rungari	Ramakistna Naidoo	Manager.
	Naraganti	Balakistna Row	Do.
	Kullur	Runga Charlu	Do.
Tanjore	Kapistalam	Seshadri Iyengar	Do.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	Satchootthanandum Pillay	Do.
Madura	Bodinaicknur	Shaik Ismal Sahib	Do.

* Permission to count the service of these individuals towards pension has already been obtained—*Vide Government Order dated 23rd May 1863, No. 893.*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th July 1871.*

No. 271.

ORDER THEREON, 20th July 1871, No. 1,271.

Miscellaneous.

UNDER this explanation the G. O.,
17th May last, No. 877, will be relaxed

in favour of the (7) seven men named by the Board.

2. The Acting Collector of North Arcot, it is observed, speaking of the duties exacted of Managers of Estates under the Court of Wards, adds these words: "for the faithful discharge of which the Managers are made to furnish security." The Board have not noticed this point, and it is necessary to remark that the Manager is required (Section 10, Regulation V. of 1804) to give security for his appearance only during the course of his management. The Bond that follows is a personal obligation.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

22 May '72 No 409-410
 3 Oct 72 45-46
 21 Nov 72 257-258
 18 May '73 210-211
 23 May '73 279-280
 17 May '74 222-243

Rev 1871. Dep^d
Board of Revenue,
 No. 2259
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 4TH JULY 1871, No. 2,702.

Dep^d 14 July 71 Encl.
 Received 15

Slips 20 July 71

JULY.
 No. 2,702

Uncoerced servants in employment of Court of Wards,—who are affected by Resolution of Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No 2,967, allowing service under Court to be reckoned towards pension, provided 6 per cent of salary paid for such service be made over to Government, submitted for orders of Government, with remarks.

13 270
 C. J. 5/7/71

N. 1271 20th July 1871
 Read Progs Bd Rev. 2702
 d/ 4th July 71

Under his explanation,
 he G.O. 17th May last No 877
 will be released in favour of the
 seven (?) men named by the Bd.

2. The Acting Col^l of the Bd
 it is observed, speaking of the duties
 consisted of Managers of Estates under
 the Ct of Wards, adds these words: "for
 the faithful discharge of which the
 Managers are made to furnish
 security". The Bd have not noticed
 this point, and it is necessary to
 remark that the Managers is
 required (Act 8. Reg. I of 1804) to
 give security for his personal appearance
 only, during the course of his manage-
 ment. The Bond that follows is a
 personal obligation.

A. A.
 N

157/71

14 Father to Bd Rev 2702
 6 Kestel 1/71

42-4074

9-10-1918

Received of the
United States in the
payment of cash
to the United States
Treasury

See

Oct 1/18

11
Capt. of 1871 3/4

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

&c. &c. &c.

Dated July 20th.

Recd.

2nd.

G. O., 20th July 1871, No. 1,371.

JULY.

Nos 270-271.

Enclosed are the following in employment of
Court of Revenue - Board of Revenue
on the Municipal Corporation, six towards
provision, with orders for same.

*Note to 3/50/71 from
the Govt of India.*

B5