

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th July 1871.*

No. 247.

Read the following papers :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th July 1871, No. 2,742.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tritrapundi, 11th May 1871, No. 142.

I HAVE the honour to report the circumstances connected with the matter complained of in Board's Petition No. 302 of 1871.

2. Petitioner, Rungasami Pillai, who is the 7th Goomastah in the Nannillam Talook, stayed away from Cutcherry on the 19th of November 1869, and applied to the Tahsildar for leave, alleging that he was suffering from a boil. The Tahsildar having had to find fault with him on the previous day for late attendance, believed that the application was not a *bona fide* one, and recommended to the Sub-Collector that four days' leave should be granted to petitioner with loss of pay. Leave was accordingly granted. Under ordinary circumstances this leave would have been casual; but as there was reason to believe that petitioner had no real necessity for taking leave, his case was treated by the Tahsildar as a special one.

3. Seven months afterwards petitioner applied for one month's privilege leave from 1st July 1870, and this was granted to him by the Sub-Collector after obtaining from the Treasury Deputy-Collector the usual certificate that the leave applied for was due. The Accountant-General, however, stopped petitioner's pay for the month, on the ground that the privilege leave taken by him was not due, he having taken four days' leave within the previous year.

4. As, however, it was intended, when granting the four days' leave with stoppage of pay, that it should be regarded either as casual leave or absence without leave, I do not consider that it should be held as a bar to his claim for privilege leave in July, and accordingly beg to recommend that the sanction of Government may be obtained for returning to petitioner his pay for the month of July.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

UNDER the circumstances the sanction of Government is requested for disbursement of the pay of Rungasami Pillai for the month during which he was absent on privilege leave in 1870, the four days' leave granted to him in November 1869 being reckoned as casual leave without pay.

REFERRED to the Accountant-General for report.

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,

14th July 1871.

Acting Secretary to Government.

No. 248. Memorandum from the Officiating Accountant-General, Madras, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 15th July 1871, No. 164.

THE undersigned has the honour to state that Rungasami Pillai, 7th Goomastah of the Nannillam Talook, having apparently had four days' private affairs leave in November 1869, was considered, under the rules, not eligible to the privilege leave

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th July 1871.*

granted to him from 1st July 1870. This department therefore retrenched his pay for the period of absence on privilege leave. As, however, it now appears that the four days' leave granted to him in November 1869 was intended to be casual leave, there is no objection to the amount which has been recovered being paid to him.

(Signed) R. W. LODWICK,
Officiating Accountant-General.

No. 249.

ORDER THEREON, 19th July 1871, No. 1,260.

Miscellaneous.

SANCTIONED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
.. the Accountant-General.

*Recd 1871 Dept
No 2274
Offg Acct. Genl.*

*Dated 15th } July 1871
Recd 17th }
No. 164
b-2.*

*Reporting on Board's Res
requesting sanction for disbursement
of salary of a farmastick in Tanjore
J^r for a month during which he
was on privilege leave in 1870.
April 60 - 19 July 71*

*With Order
L*

No. 247-248

Recd 1871. Dec 18

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 5TH JUNE 1871, No. 2742.

Received

Encl. 1

JULY.
No. 2742.

Salary of Rangasami Pillai, 7th Goomastah in Nambalam Talook in Tanjore District, for month during which he was absent on privilege leave in 1870, which Accountant General refuses to pass on ground that four days leave was taken by that individual in previous year. — Sanction of Government requested for disbursement of.

The paid 6000 Rupees for salary of Rangasami Pillai 1870-71

13/11/71

The undersigned has the honor to state that Rangasami Pillai, if represented by the Government, being having apparently had 4 days leave in 1870, being 4 days leave, was considered under the rules not eligible to the privilege leave granted to him from 1st July 1870. The Government therefore understood him pay for the privilege of absence on privilege leave. He has no objection to the Government that the 4 days leave granted to him in 1870 was in fact 4 days leave, there is no objection to the Government to that has been as - considered being paid to him.

For 41 days

Under review

15 July 1871

15 July 1871

Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

13/11/71

13/11/71

13/11/71