

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th June 1871.

No. 188. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th May 1871, No. 2,081 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Chingleput, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 19th April 1871, No. 158.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated the 11th February last, No. 670, sanctioning the sale of certain Mootahs and enfranchised Shrotriems and Inam villages, I have the honour to request that the Board will obtain the sanction of Government for the sale of the Mootahs, as it appears from the orders of Government, conveyed in Board's Miscellaneous Circular Order No. 16 of 1865, and embodied at the end of the Board's Standing Circular Order No. 274, that such sanction should be obtained through the Board for the sale of each Mootah, previous to issuing the notice of sale. I may add that this course was considered necessary in regard to certain Mootahs, the sale of which was recommended to the Board by Mr. Blair in his letter, dated the 15th December 1869, No. 456, reviewed by the Board in their Proceedings, dated the 21st idem, No. 9,473.

2. In paragraph 5 of their Proceedings under reference, the Board observe that so much only of each enfranchised Inam village as will suffice for the realization of arrears should be sold. This order of the Board is apparently founded on their Standing Circular Order No. 136-1, which authorizes the sub-division of joint tenure whole Inam villages, where the Inamdars agree among themselves to sub-divide the benefits derivable from the grant, and to have the quit-rent apportioned amongst them according to their respective shares, subject, however, to the continuance of the joint liability of all the share-holders, if the sale of the defaulter's share and of his other property proved insufficient to cover the arrears. I wish to know whether, by sub-dividing the villages as is now directed, the joint liability of the remaining portion does not become affected. As the sub-division will be *without* the consent of the share-holders, I am inclined to think it would, and would therefore feel obliged to the Board for an expression of their opinion on the subject.

With reference to paragraph 1 of the Acting Collector's letter, the Board request the sanction of Government for

	Annual Peishcush.	Arrears.
	RS.	RS.
1. Latchmipuram	196	249
2. Agaram	104	36
3. Chinnakavanam	378	301
4. Peruvail	1,771	1,603
5. Kalemadulambedu	1,468	646

the sale of the five Mootahs noted in the margin for the arrears of peishcush, etc., entered opposite to their names.

2. Adverting to paragraph 2 the Collector is informed that the Standing Circular of the Board quoted by him is

not applicable to portions of Inam villages sold for arrears of revenue. Where a portion of an Inam village has to be sold for arrears of revenue, the quit-rent upon such portion should be determined by the Collector under Section 45, Act II. of 1864. When this is done, no joint liability can be enforced upon the holder of such divided portion. He will only be responsible for the quit-rent fixed on his portion.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th June 1871.*

No. 189.

ORDER THEREON, 15th June 1871, No. 1,062.

Miscellaneous.

SANCTIONED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

No. 670.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th February 1871.

Read the following letter from W. T. BLAIR, Esq., Acting Collector of Chingleput, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 24th January 1871, No. 34:—

I HAVE the honour to forward a Statement of Mootahs and enfranchised Shrotriems which I have found necessary to attach in consequence of default on the part of the several Mootahdars and Shrotriemdars concerned, to pay the kist due on their respective estates.

2. As the Mootahs in all cases consist of only one village, the entire estate has been attached.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement.

THE estates named in the margin are proposed to be sold for arrears of Peishcush, Quit-rent, Road-cess, Demarcation expenses, etc., due by each from Fusly 1276 to Fusly 1280 both inclusive.

2. All the estates consist of single villages, five are Mootahs and three are enfranchised Shrotriems.

3. The Board approve of the proposed sale of the estates in question.

4. It is not clear from the statement submitted that interest has been charged in the case of Estate No. 1.

5. So much only of each enfranchised Inam village should be sold as will suffice for the realization of the arrears.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. B. PENNINGTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Collector of Chingleput.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

	Arrears.
Oothookad.....	2,263
Latchmiparam.....	249
Agaram.....	36
Chinakavaram.....	301
Perovoil.....	1,603
Kilmadalambedu.....	696
Seekanamkoppum.....	689
Mappedoo.....	230

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 20th May 1871.

Read the following letter from R. W. BARLOW, Esq., Acting Collector of Chinglepet, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 19th April 1871, No. 158:—

With reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated the 11th February last, No. 670, sanctioning the sale of certain Mootahs and enfranchised Shrotriems and Inam Villages, I have the honour to request that the Board will obtain the sanction of Government, for the sale of the Mootahs, as it appears from the orders of Government, conveyed in Board's Miscellaneous Circular Order, No. 16 of 1865, and embodied at the end of the Board's Standing Circular Order, No. 274, that such sanction should be obtained through the Board for the sale of each Mootah, previous to issuing the notice of sale. I may add, that this course was considered necessary in regard to certain Mootahs, the sale of which was recommended to the Board by Mr. Blair, in his letter, dated the 15th December 1869, No. 456, reviewed by the Board, in their Proceedings, dated the 21st idem, No. 807-9473.

2. In paragraph 5 of their Proceedings under reference, the Board observe, that so much only of each enfranchised Inam Village, as will suffice for the realization of arrears, should be sold. This order of the Board is apparently founded on their Standing Circular Order, No. 136-1, which authorizes the sub-division of joint tenure whole Inam Villages, where the Inamdars agree among themselves to sub-divide the benefits derivable from the grant, and to have the quit-rent apportioned amongst them according to their respective shares, subject, however, to the continuance of the joint liability of all the share-holders, if the sale of the defaulter's share and of his other property proved insufficient to cover the arrears. I wish to know, whether, by subdividing the villages as is now directed, the joint liability of the remaining portion does not become affected? As the sub-division will be *without* the consent of the share-holders, I am inclined to think it would, and would, therefore, feel obliged to the Board for an expression of their opinion on the subject.

With reference to paragraph 1 of the Acting Collector's letter, the Board request the sanction of Government for the sale of the five Mootahs noted in the margin for the arrears of peishcush, etc., entered opposite to their names.

	Annual Peishcush.	Arrears.
	RS.	RS.
1. Latchmipuram.....	196	219
2. Agaram	104	36
3. Chinnakavanam.....	378	301
4. Peruvoil	1,771	1,603
5. Kalemadulambedu	1,468	646

2. Adverting to paragraph 2, the Collector is informed that the Standing Circular of the Board quoted by him is not applicable to portions of Inam Villages sold for arrears of revenue. Where a portion of an Inam Village has to be sold for arrears of revenue, the quit-rent upon such portion should be determined by the Collector under Section 45, Act II. of 1864. When this is done, no joint liability can be enforced upon the holder of such divided portion. He will only be responsible for the quit-rent fixed on his portion.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. Grole
Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
,, the Acting Collector of Chingleput.

Copy to all other Collectors.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

1871.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS 17TH FEBRUARY 1871, No. 670.

Encl.

Received

Rev-1757/11

No. 15 Sydapet 1871 A. R. AGES

FEBRUARY.

No. 670.

Estates in Chingleput District.—Proposed sale of certain, for arrears of Peishcush, Quit-rent, &c., due by each from Fusly 1276 to Fusly 1280, approved.

Rec

11

14/188-189

14 For the 12 of 6 June 1871

Rec - 1871. Dist

Board of Revenue

MADRAS.

1757

PROCEEDINGS, 20TH MAY 1871, No. 2,031.

24/1871 31 May 1871

Encl.

Received. J. A. Lums

14/1871 31 May 1871

MAY.
No. 2,031.

Moolahs—in Chingleput District.—Sanction of Government requested for sale of certain, for arrears of peishchush, etc., —, Collector informed that Board's Standing Circular No. 136-1, regarding sub-division of joint tenure Inams, is not applicable to portions of Inam Villages sold for arrears of revenue. 14/1871.

14/1871 31 May 1871

15 June 1871