

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

733

Press
~~Mis.~~

228-229.

Dated

18.5.1870

~~19~~

Not to be folded or roughly handled

12

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th May 1870.*

No. 228. Read the following letter from RAJAH CANDRUGOOLA JAGGA-NADIHAROW GOPAULROW, BAHADUR, Zemindar of Dévi Purganah in the Kistna District, to the Right Honourable the Governor in Council, Fort Saint George, dated Mylapore, 24th August 1869 :—

THAT your Memorialist most humbly begs to state that his talook, named Dévi Purganah, in the Kistna District, has not been restored to him on the expiration of his minority, as it ought to have been done ; nor was the monthly allowance of Rupees 525, which was granted to his great-grandfather prior to the grant to him of the said Zemindary, continued to your Memorialist. The Collectors of that district and the Board of Revenue, as also His Excellency the Right Honourable Sir Henry Pottinger, and His Excellency Sir William Thomas Denison, the late Governors of Madras, were all unanimously and clearly of opinion that the estate should be restored to your Memorialist ; and His Excellency Sir William Thomas Denison was strongly in favour of continuing to him the said allowance of Rupees 525. No justice, however, has as yet been done to him in either of these two cases. As your Memorialist and his family are at present placed under the influence of great hardship, owing to the inadequacy of the allowance which has already been granted to him by your Honourable Government, he now takes the liberty of submitting herewith a Memorial to the address of the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India, soliciting (*as a new proposal*) that an average of the collections of revenue of the said Dévi Purganah for ten or twelve years up to the Fusly 1278 may be taken, and thereby the total of the *actual* collections for one year may be arrived at ; and from this sum the yearly charges of establishments of the Purganah may be *excluded*, and on the *net* collections the said Purganah may be *rented* to your Memorialist for ten or twenty or for as many years as it may please His Grace, until his long-pending claims to the restoration to him of the said Dévi Zemindary, and the continuance of the allowance of 525 Rupees a-month, as also to the possession of the 52 Kutties of "Savaram" land in the estate, have been finally determined, and favoured to him by your Honourable Government ; and to pray that your Lordship in Council will be graciously pleased to take the above points into your benevolent consideration, and vouchsafe the favour to him.

2. In case, perhaps, of your Honourable Government deeming it desirable to send on the Memorial to its destination, and have the matter disposed of by that authority, your Memorialist further prays that your Lordship in Council will, in doing so, be highly pleased to add your strong and kind recommendations towards at least *leasing* the estate to him as therein solicited.

3. Your Memorialist most humbly begs your Lordship's pardon for his having troubled your Excellency with these few lines in this case.

For which act of magnanimity and charity your Memorialist, as in duty bound, will ever pray.

No. 229. ORDER THEREON, 18th May 1870, No. 733.

Miscellaneous.

THE Memorial, received with the foregoing letter, will be forwarded, with reference to clause 3 of the Revised

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th May 1870.*

Memorial Rules, to the Government of India for transmission to the Secretary of State, with the recommendation of this Government that Memorialist's pension be increased from Rupees 300 to Rupees 500 per mensem.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) I. A. DALYELL,

Acting Secretary to Government.

grand-father, was continued to him it that he therefore submits herewith a Memorial to the address of the Secretary of State requesting for orders to lease out the Zemindary to him until his claim to the Estate & ready money allowance is disposed of.

Prays that it may be transmitted to Home authorities with a recommendation of this Court.

Perme.
 2279 of 1869.
 No 394. 30.
 From Rajah.
 Candrugoola
 Jagannath Rao,
 Gopaul Rao, Ba.
 Radur Lemundar,
 Derry Purganah
 in Krishna District.
 Dated 24th 30.
 Received 23rd August 1869.
 June to sure Perme.
 No 733-18th May 1870.
 States that neither his Estate Dera Purgana has been restored to him on his attaining majority nor the monthly allowance of Rs 525 enjoyed by his grand

Govt. of 1870: 219085.

REVENUE.

70

Dated May 1871.

Recd.

Ind.

G. O. 1871 May 1870 No 783.

IIAT.

Nos. 228-229.

From Jagannath Rao, Zemindar of Derry Purganah in Krishna District. No 12092.

C. Jagannath Rao, Zemindar of Derry Purganah in Krishna District. Memorial relating to his claim to the estate forwarded to Government of India for transmission to the Secretary of State, with a recommendation that his pension be increased from Rupees 200 to Rupees 600 per annum.

circumstances and the
certain result would be
the impoverishment of the people
and the arrest of improve-
ments.

3 Memorialists
case has already received
thorough investigation at
the hands of this Govt.,
and his claims have been
more than once negatived
by your Grace's predecessors
in office, as will be seen
on reference to the marginally
noted papers.

4 We have no desire
to reopen these discussions,
but on reconsideration of
the decision at which
this

Des. from the Govt. of Indiana
to the Right Hon. Secy. of
State, No. 2 of 1864, of 21st June
Des. from the Secy. of State of 9th
Decr. /64 No. 63.
Des. from Des. of 16th Nov. /65 No. 60
Des. from the Govt. of Indiana No. 4 of
1866 of 26th March.
Des. from the Secy. of State of 16th
July /68 No. 22.

This Govt. was composed
in 1866, arrived, we venture
to express our opinion that
the Memorialist has been
treated with less liberality
than the circumstances
of the case would have
justified. The estate was
originally granted for
services rendered to the
State, and it was lost
to the family by no
misconduct or imprudence
on the part of Memorialist.
A pension of \$525
per annum which
of a pal. row. Memorialist
wrote by adoption received.
merged

merged ⁱⁿ the Estate &
was lost with it. Under
these circumstances, we
submit that the increase
of Memorialists pension
from £1. 300 to £1. 500
per annum would be
an act of fitting generosity,
and we beg to recommend
this conception to your
Grace's favorable con-
sideration.

Yours very truly
Edw. M. Wells

Scottish
Missionary
C. Jagannath
Rao of Andhra

and I think
that the
case

is a
very

serious one

has to be

made the

best of India

will be the

best and only

the only way
to the
future

the only way
to the
future

Blue Spotted

To,

The Right Honorable
the Secy of State
for India -

My Lord Sir,

We have the
honor to transmit herewith
a Memorial from
C. Jagannath Rao - of Andhra
in which he prays
that the Saluz formerly
held as a Zamindary by
his adoptive family should
be sent to him for a
term of years -

2. Memorialist's case
has already received a
thorough investigation
at the hands of this Court
and his claims have
been more than once re-
gated by your predecessors
in office, as will
be seen on reference to
the

I am not satisfied about this
case and would like to
have the circumstances
discussed in Council

A/A

Wk

the marginally noted papers - this present request is of a nature allied to those which he formerly preferred and depends on the same arguments, but it is more moderate in character -

* Despatch from the Govt of Madras to the Right Hon. the Secy of State No 2 of 1864 dated 21st June -
 - So from the Secy of State dated 9th Decr 1864 No 63 -
 - So from do dated 16th Novr 1865 No 60 -
 - So from the Govt of Madras No 4 of 1866 dated 26th March -
 - So from the Secy of State dated 16th July 1866 No 22 -

3. We see no reason to differ from the view which has heretofore been held by this Govt an arrangement of the nature proposed by Memorialists is not only inadvisable and we would, in addition, deprecate any arrangement of the nature proposed by him -

3. We should deprecate an arrangement of the nature proposed by Memorialists as general administrative grounds, but on a reconsideration of the decision at which this Govt arrived we are disposed to advocate a slight concession to Memorialists & to recommend that his pension of Rs 300 be raised to Rs 500 - declared hereditary etc.

Offr

W. H. Hall

To the Right Honble the Secy of State
 for India
 India,

Received
 10/10/1880
 10/10/1880

This had better be
 brought at the next
 Council, or H.E.
 desirous
 L.O.S.
 Committed

Revenue Department
 2

To
 The Right Honble the Secy of State
 for India

My Lord Duke

We have the
 honor to transmit herewith
 a Memorial from C. Jagga-
 natharow Gopaulrow, in which
 he prays that the Taluq formerly
 held as a Zemindary by
 his adoptive family should
 be rented to him for a term
 of years.

Should we not send this
 communication which was
 a change on the other through
 the hands of the Secy.
 Yes R.

I would settle this
 here & try.
 2. he have as long
 to him in recommending
 that this prayer be
 rejected. Memorialist
 is in needy circum-
 stances & the certain
 result would be the im-
 poverishment of the ryots
 & the loss to the Govt.

Memorialist's case
 has already received thorough
 investigation at the hands
 of this Govt. and his claims
 have

have been more than once ne-
gated by your predecessors
in office, as will be seen on
reference to the marginally noted
papers. [His present request is
of a nature allied to those which
he formerly preferred, and depends
on the same arguments.]

[We should deprecate
an arrangement of the nature
proposed by Memorialist on general
administrative grounds] but
in a reconsideration of the
decision at which this
Government as composed
in 1866 arrived, we [are
disposed to advocate a
slight

* Despatch from the Govt. of
Madras to the R. Honble
the Secy. of State, No. 2 of 1864,
dated 21st June.
D^o - from the Secy. of State, dated
9th Decr. 1864, No. 68.
D^o - from D^o dated 16th Nov. 1865,
No. 60.
D^o - from the Govt. of Madras,
No. 4 of 1865 dated 26th March.
D^o - from the Secy. of State, dated
16th July 1866, No. 22.

I would say
that he has no desire
to re-open these discussions,
~~but~~

I would try
venture to express
an opinion that the
Memorialist has been
treated in the least

liberality than the
circumstances of
the case would have
justified - The estate
has originally granted
for services rendered
to the State - and
it was lost to the
family by his mis-
conduct or imprudence
on the part of Memo-
rialist - a pension

of Rs. 525 per m: which
for a long time - Memorialist
was by adoption - merged
in the estate and was
lost with it - Under
these circumstances he
submit that the in-
crease of Memorialist's
pension from Rs. 300 to
Rs. 500 per m: would be
an act of filial piety
and he has been much
the more anxious for the
consideration

slight concession to Memorialist
- and to recommend that
his pension of Rs. 300 be
raised to Rs. 500, and declared
hereditary

N.
A.A.
P.D.

70-733

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

18th May 1870

Read the following Petition from Rajah
drugoola Jagannatha Row, Gopur
Row, Bahadur Zemindar, Devy Purg
in Madras District.

Submitting for transmission
to Home authorities, Memorial re-
garding his claim to the Devy
Purganah Estate.

(No. C. 24th August 1869. N^o

The Memorial received
with the foregoing letter will
forwarded, with reference to clause
III of the revised Memorial rules
to the Government of India for
transmission to the Secretary of
State, with the recommenda-
tion of this Govt that
Rajah's pension be in-
creased from Rs. 300 to
Rs. 500 per mensem.

S. P. S. S. S.