

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2098	Press Ms.
438-39	Dated 23-12-1820	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

22

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd December 1870.*

No. 438. Read the following letter from the Government Solicitor, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated 22nd December 1870, No. 648 :—

WITH reference to the Order of Government, dated 2nd December, instant, No. 1,916, Revenue Department, desiring me to take the necessary steps for opposing the discharge of Ingua Seetaramaya under the Insolvent Debtors' Act, and to report the result, I have now the honour to state, for the information of Government, that, on receipt of the above-mentioned Order, I gave the necessary notice of opposition to the Insolvent Ingua Seetaramaya, and subsequently obtained evidence to show that he had concealed property, and had not accounted sufficiently for the proceeds of the sale of building-materials of his house, and afterwards instructed Mr. O'Sullivan, on behalf of the Advocate-General, to appear on the hearing of the Insolvent's petition, and oppose his discharge.

2. On the 16th December, instant, the petition of the Insolvent came on for hearing in the Insolvent Court, when it was partly heard and adjourned to the 20th instant. On the 20th instant, the case was concluded, and the Court reserved its judgment until yesterday, when the Court decided that the costs of Government were *prima facie* a debt due to the Crown, within the meaning of Section 62 of the Insolvent Act, 11th Victoria, Cap. 21, and that, therefore, the Insolvent was not entitled to the benefit of the Act, so far as that debt was concerned, but that he was entitled to be discharged in respect of all other debts mentioned in his Schedule, and the Insolvent was directed to be sent back to Jail. The Judge, when deciding the case, stated that the Insolvent might have a *Habeas Corpus* to try the validity of his ruling in respect of the costs due to Government.

3. I have now the honour to request the orders of Government as to whether I should continue paying the subsistence allowance of four Annas per diem to Seetaramaya, in order to keep him in Jail for the remainder of the period of two years for which he was sent to prison.

4. I have paid Seetaramaya's subsistence allowance to the end of the current month.

No. 439. ORDER THEREON, 23rd December 1870, No. 2,098.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government desire to be informed whether there is any limited period fixed within which Ingua Seetaramaya must sue out the writ of *Habeas Corpus*, if he is to obtain it.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Government Solicitor.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

N^o 648.

Madras 22nd December 1871

Throu,

H. G. Prichard

Govt. Solicitor. Madras.

W. Huddleston Esq.

Secretary to Govt. Rev. & Dep^y Secy.

Fort Saint-George.

Sir,

With reference to the Order of Government dated 2nd December instant, N^o 1916, Revenue Department desiring me to take the necessary steps for opposing the discharge of Inguva Sectaraniya under the Insolvent Debtors Act and to report the result, I have now the honor to state for the information of Government, that on receipt of the above mentioned Order, I gave the necessary notice of opposition to the Insolvent Inguva Sectaraniya and subsequently obtained evidence to shew that he had concealed property and had not accounted sufficiently for the proceeds of the sale of building materials of his house, and afterwards instructed

instructed Mr. O'Sullivan on behalf of
the Advocate General to appear on the hearing
of the Insolvent's Petition, and oppose his
discharge.

2. On the 16th December instant, the
Petition of the Insolvent came on for hearing
in the Insolvent Court, where it was
partly heard and adjourned to the 20th
instant. On the 20th instant the case
was concluded and the Court reserved
its Judgment until yesterday when
the Court decided that the costs of
Government were paid *pro* a debt
due to the Crown within the meaning
of Section 62 of the Insolvent Act 11th
Victoria Chap 21, and that therefore the
Insolvent was not entitled to the benefit
of the Act, so far as that debt was
concerned, but that he was entitled to
be discharged in respect of all other debts
mentioned in his Schedule and the Insolvent
was directed to be sent back to Jail.
The Judge when deciding the case stated
that the Insolvent might have a Habeas
Corpus to try the validity of his ruling
in respect of the Costs due to Government.

3. I have now the honor to request the
Orders of Government as to whether I should
continue paying the subsistence allowance
of 4 Annas per diem to Sectarumaya,
in order to keep him in Jail for the
remainder of the period of 2 years, for
which he was sent to Prison.

4. I have paid Sectarumaya's subsistence
allowance to the end of the current month.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedt Servant

H. P. Richards
Government Solicitor

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
28th November 1870.

No. 405. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th November 1870 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 12th October 1870, No. 435.

I HAVE the honour to forward herewith Parts I. and II. of the Local Fund Budget for 1871-72, prepared in accordance with the instructions contained in the Board's Circular Memorandum, dated 19th August last, No. 883. The Budget has been countersigned by the Superintending Engineer.

2. The mileage of the roads, merely entered in the Budget, has been given in pencil at an approximate estimate. The Superintending Engineer states, in his letter of the 7th instant, No. 2,809, that as estimates have not been received for these roads, and as there is no information available in the records of his Office regarding their exact length, he is unable to give the precise number of miles.

3. I beg also to return the District Road Map. All the proposed new roads have been entered therein, with the exception of the three named in the margin, the position of which, the Superintending Engineer states, cannot be traced in the Map; nor are the villages, denoting their termini, to be found in any of the Maps or Atlas sheets kept in his Office.

4. These roads can be marked on the Map when the necessary estimates have been framed.

THE District Road Fund Budget-estimates for 1871-72, received with the foregoing letter, are submitted for the approval of Government, with reference to paragraph 1 of their Order, dated 16th March 1869, No. 711.

2. Part I. contains twenty-nine new works, with an aggregate allotment of Rupees 68,000. Of these works all, with the exception of those* noted in the margin, are unsanctioned, and Items Nos. 10—29 inclusive are new proposals.

3. An estimate for the first work is now before the Board, and will be submitted to Government shortly.

4. In addition to the allotments shown by the Collector opposite to Items Nos. 1 and 2, a sum of Rupees 29,000 is required to finish those works, and it is not in accordance with the orders of Government to fritter away Rupees 40,900 on twenty new works under such circumstances. In the absence of any explanation of the reason for this anomaly, the Board have no alternative but to raise the allotments for Items Nos. 1 and 2 to the full amount required, and to strike out the items marginally noted.

	RS.
No. 10	3,000
" 11	1,000
" 14	1,000
" 16	1,500
" 17	1,500
" 18	1,000
" 19	2,000
" 20	5,000
" 21	5,000
" 22	2,000
" 28	1,000
" 29	400
	<hr/> 24,400

From the Govt. Secretary
23rd November 1870

Reporting the receipt by
Settlementary Section
to the District Collector
for his discharge for
Rs. 24,400 due to Govt.

23rd November
1870

Acting Secy.
The Revenue Dept.
Chittoor

23rd November
1870

The highest Rs. 24,400
is any limit the
Rs. 24,400 is the
Rs. 24,400 is the
Rs. 24,400 is the

23rd November
1870

5. The sixth work is the road from Chittoor to Aurugondah with a branch to Iral. An estimate, amounting to Rupees 6,000, was sanctioned* for the main line only. In the list of famine roads, appended to the Collector's letter of the 10th June 1869, No. 174†, an estimate for Rupees 2,000 appears to have been prepared for the branch line, but by some oversight, it has not been submitted for sanction. The computed expenditure on the work up to 31st March 1871 is given as Rupees 7,992, and the allotment proposed is Rupees 2,500, making a total of Rupees 10,492, which is Rupees 2,492 in excess of the total‡ of both the estimates. The Acting Collector will, in communication with the Superintending Engineer, expedite the submission of a revised estimate for the work, and until it is sanctioned by Government, all further progress on the work must be stopped.

* In G. O., Revenue Department, dated 28th March 1867, No. 736.

† Recorded in G. O., Revenue Department, dated 19th July 1869, No. 2,098.

‡ Rupees 8,000.

6. The seventh work is that noticed in paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 19th November 1869, No. 8,555. In paragraph 3 of his letter of the 27th May 1870, No. 213, the Acting Collector reported that the allotment of Rupees 1,000 in the current year's Budget§ was "partly to pay for work executed in 1866 as a remedial measure in consequence of the drought and scarcity which then prevailed, and partly to improve the condition of the road."

7. No allotment has been proposed by the Acting Collector for the eighth work,¶ under the erroneous impression that it is to be undertaken by the Vellore Municipality. An estimate, amounting to Rupees 6,100, was sanctioned for re-metalling the road, and it was agreed to make it over to the Municipality after it had been put in order. The work is in progress out of an allotment of Rupees 2,500 in the current year's Supplemental Budget, and therefore the Board have provided for the balance of the estimate, on the understanding that its maintenance from 1871-72 will be looked after by the Municipality, as already directed in the Orders of Government marginally noted.

¶ Recorded in G. O., Revenue Department, 25th November 1869, No. 3,047.

§ No. 81.

¶ Road from Vellore Railway Station to Vellore. G. O., Revenue Department, 24th August 1869, No. 2,411.

P. D., dated 11th May 1869, No. 610.
" " " 18th " " " 651.
" " " 8th Aug. 1870, " " 988.

8. The ninth work*, with an allotment of Rupees 1,500, is struck out of the Budget. A sum of Rupees 1,500 shown as the computed expenditure up to 31st March 1871, appears from the Local Fund Report for 1869-70 to be of that year. Out of the allotment of Rupees 1,500 in the current year's Budget, only 900 were reported to be required for the work, which was retained,

* Road from Sholingur to Annadana Chuttrum.

to 31st March 1871, appears from the actual expenditure up to the close

Vide paragraph 1 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 4th November 1870, No. 6,474.

No. 406.

the remainder, Rupees 600, having been transferred to the "Reserve." The Acting Collector has not apparently included this Rupees 900 under the head "Computed expenditure." Thus the total of the actual expenditure and the current year's allotment amounts to Rupees 2,400, which is Rupees 400 in excess of the Rupees 2,000 promised* as a contribution from the District Road Fund towards the renewal of the Annadana Chuttrum and the construction of the road under reference.

* Paragraph 4 of Board's Proceedings, dated 21st January 1870, No. 413.
Recorded in G. O., Revenue Department, 5th April 1870, No. 447.

9. Part II. contains fifty-three items, with a total assignment of Rupees 72,420. None of these call for any remark, with the exception of Items Nos. 40, 51 to 53.

10. The fortieth item is for the maintenance of the road from Vellore to the junction with Trunk Road No. X, length eleven miles, at 250 Rupees a-mile = 2,750. The portion from the town of Vellore to the Railway Station at Kautpaudy, distance three and-a-quarter miles, is omitted, as it should be maintained by the Municipality, vide paragraph 7 of these Proceedings. In a recent Proceedings,†

* Dated 4th November 1870, No. 6,474.

the Board have reduced the rate per mile for the remaining portion of the road, viz., seven and-three-quarter miles, from Rupees 250 to Rupees 150, to have effect from 1871-72. The necessary alteration has accordingly been made.

11. The Board have again to point out that Items Nos. 51 to 53, being for sanitary improvements, should have been shown in Part I. of the Budget. They have been transferred accordingly.

12. In the abstract of receipts and charges, the available assets of the Fund are given as 1,84,300.

13. The allotment on account of the establishment entertained in the Board's Office is entered as Rupees 150, instead of Rupees 230. The latter sum has been inserted.

14. With the foregoing alterations, the proposed expenditure amounts to Rupees 1,83,430, which, deducted from the available assets of the Fund, viz., 1,84,300, leaves a balance of Rupees 870.

ORDER THEREON, 28th November 1870, No. 1,875.

Local Funds, No. 23.
Account.

In order to obviate delay in the preparation of the General Budget, the Government resolve to sanction the North Arcot Road Fund Budget-estimate

as passed by the Board. But they desire that the Board will re-consider their provision of Rupees 34,750 for Item No. 2 in Part I., in view to the re-inclusion of some of the more important of the works excluded in paragraph 4 (margin) of

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23th Norember 1870.*

their Proceedings. It seems very doubtful whether the large provision made for the single work will be fully employed, and it is desirable, within reasonable limits, to spread the expenditure more equally over the district.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
.. the Public Works Department.

Oct 1875

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 28 November 1870

Read the following

Pro. of the Board of Revenue

Submitting for approval ex-
Road Fund Budget of North
Arcot Dist. for 1871-72.

H. E. 24th November 1871

I have to inform
in the preparation of the
General Budget. The Board
sanction the Road Fund

J. D. S.

Budget Estimate is submitted

I would retain
some of the works
in the margin of
para 4 of H.E. prov.
They will not be
able to spend 34,000 Rs on one road & it
is desirable to within reasonable limits
to spread the expenditure more equally
about the District.

as passed by the Board -
But they desire that the
which recommended the
of Rs. 32,750 more for the
in para 2. in view of the
of some of the more important
the works excluded in para 4 (see
of this Draft. It seems very

J. D. S.

[Signature]

Col. of 1870-71

REVENUE

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Dated November 1871

Pro.

G. O. No. 100, November 1871, No. 1, 1871

NOVEMBER
NO. 505-406

North Arcot Road Fund Budget
made for 1871-72 as passed by the Board of
Revenue, sanctioned subject to certain revision.

But the latter the larger
provision made for the length
both with the fully employed.
and it is desirable within
reasonable limits to spread
the expenditure more equally
over the district.

L.D.

In Copy Letter No. of Council to the Secy
S.C. 14 Decr 18

21 Public Works Dept Decr 18

the same is
the same is
the same is

the same is
the same is
the same is

the same is
the same is
the same is

to 28
u.

1871
R. H. M. M. M.
Estimate of cost
Area / Dist for
1871-72

Chas. M. M. M.

Dispatch
in 1871

1871