M.R.C. 1—20,000—16-10-20.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2042 Press Mis.

319-320
Dated 16-12-1870

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 319. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th September 1870, No. 5,785 :—

Read the following letter from the Officiating Collector of the Kistna District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 31st August 1870, No. 3,931.

I HAVE the honour to forward herewith copy of a letter from the Executive Engineer in charge of 7th Range. The Tahsildar of Bunder has requested him to include in his emergent estimate a sum of Rupees 130, required for cutting a channel to drain off the flood-water which inundated the village of Avinigadda. The Executive Engineer considers that this is not properly chargeable to Imperial Funds, and suggests that the amount should be met from Local Funds. If the Board approve, I would beg an early sanction to incur this charge, and debit to the head of Public Improvements under Local Funds.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From the Executive Engineer, in charge No. 7, or Masulipatam Range, to the Officiating Collector of the Kistna District, dated 23rd August 1870, No. 342.

THE Tahsildar of Bunder has requested me to include in my emergent estimate for repairs to embankments, etc., at Divy a sum of Rupees 130 for cutting a channel to drain off the water lying about Avinigadda. Will you be good enough to inform me whether this sum could not be granted out of Local Funds ? It does not seem to me to be a proper item for entry in an estimate to be sanctioned out of Imperial Funds. The work, too, I think, might be done at once without the supervision of this department.

As the amount is but small, and the work is urgently necessary, the Board resolve to sanction the charge (Rupees 130) from the Road Fund.

2. At the same time the Board do not think the expenditure is fairly chargeable to the Road Fund. The work is not a road-work. The revenue of Government is imperilled by allowing the flood-water to remain on the land, and the question of *interest* lies between Government and the ryots individually concerned. The general interests of the district are not affected.

3. These Proceedings will be submitted for the orders of Government.

No. 320. ORDER THEREON, 16th December 1870, No. 2,042.

Local Funds, No. 4.
Civil Works.

THE Government concur in opinion with the Board that the charge was not properly debitable to Local Funds, and desire that such charges may not be admitted in future even on emergency.

2. The work appears to be one that the people might well have been expected to do for themselves, unless the inundation was induced by the State irrigation works, in which case the cost of the work should be borne by Imperial Funds.

Govt. of 1870 Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated December 16th.

Recd. Encl.

G. O., 16th December 1870, No. 2,042.

DECEMBER.
Nos. 319-320.

Cutting of channel in the Kistna District—Passing orders on the impropriety of debiting the cost to the Local Fund.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 16th December 1870.

3. If the charge has been actually incurred, the Local Fund should be reimbursed, and the papers will be referred to the Department Public Works, for disposal under this view.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Public Works Department.
,, the Accountant-General.

Bkd. E. B. Baddeley.

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the November 1870.

Read the following

Proceedings of the Board

of Revenue.

Sanctioning from Road
and expenditure of Rs 130 for
cutting a channel to draw off
the water lying about the ruins
of a Choultry in Kistna Dist.

(R.E. 19th Sept. 1870 No. 5785)

The Right Honora-
ble the Governor in Coun-
cil concurs with the Board
of Revenue in considering
that charges of the nature
of that under reference —
should, as a rule, be —
met

This is strictly &
essentially an
Imperial charge.
The 130 Rs should
be expended &
the Collr written
from making such
grant.

J.D.S.

K.V.

met from Imperial funds, but be approves of their proceedings in having made an special allotment from Local Funds in the fourteen case under notice as the work was emergently needed.

Is every emergent work to be a local charge?

It does not appear exactly what the nature of the improvement was by the flood was - whether it was to the inhabitants of the village by the water standing, or was it to the houses & whether the water about took in the adjacent of the town or was it to the premises of the town? It seems to me that the work was one which the people might to have turned out to do themselves

If payment shall be payment is necessary it should be charged more correctly to Imperial Funds

A.

Revenue Department

W. 2042.

Pros of the Madras Govt

Under date the 16th December 1870

Read the following

Pros of the Bd. of Revenue

Sanctioning from Local Fund expenditure of Rs. 130 for putting channel to draw off flood water Ujup about Avimpadda in Rentua District.

} M.L. 19th Sept. 1870, No 5,785.

The Govt concur in opinion with the Board that the charge was not properly debitable to Local Funds, and desire that such charges may not be admitted in future even on emergency.

2 The work appears to

to be one that the people might well have been expected to do for themselves unless the inundation was ordered by the State irrigation works, in which case the cost of the work should be borne by Imperial funds.

3. If the charge has been actually incurred, the Local Fund should be reimbursed, and the papers will be referred to the D.C.W. for disposal under this rule.



14 Tons Bar Beam Deep
24 — Low Deep
6 — Mild Steel

