

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2004	Press ~~Mis.~~
241 Dated	12-12-1870	~~N~~

Not to be folded or roughly handled

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
FORT SAINT GEORGE,
12th December 1870.

No 241.

No. 2,004.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Miscellaneous.

Proceedings of Government, 5th December 1870,
Nos. 85—87.

SIR,—I am directed to submit, for the orders of the Government of India, the accompanying Proceedings of Government relative to a claim made by Mr. Lister, Head Assistant Collector,

South Arcot, for the full salary of his appointment during the subsidiary leave recently granted him for rejoining his appointment on his return from special leave.

2. Under the Financial Proceedings of the Government of India, dated 24th April 1869, No. 101, an officer, who may have served for thirty-three months continuously, forfeits the privilege leave to which this service entitles him, if he proposes to proceed on special leave or furlough within six months. These orders are, in some measure, relaxed by the provision in paragraph 2 of the ruling permitting officers entitled to privilege leave, and who may obtain subsidiary or preparatory leave, to enjoy such leave on full allowances.

3. Mr. Lister, to whom three months' privilege leave were due when his special leave was granted, now submits that the spirit of the latter part of the ruling above referred to entitles him to full salary during the subsidiary leave for rejoining.

4. The Accountant-General considers that the ruling of the Government of India is not quite clear on the point, but observes that officers taking special leave or furlough forfeit by doing so any privilege leave to which they may then be entitled.

5. The Right Honourable the Governor in Council is of opinion that officers who have not availed themselves of privilege leave which is due to them, are fairly entitled to enjoy subsidiary leave, whenever taken, on the same favourable terms as to salary as privilege leave. I am accordingly directed to recommend that the ruling of the Government of India, under date 24th April 1869, No. 101, be declared applicable to subsidiary leave, whether taken preparatory or subsequent to furlough or special leave.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Financial 1870 Department

From Government of

Port St. George.

dated 13th December.

No. 2.

Enclosure

6 spare copies.

Dr.

Progs.

D.

No.

Dr.

Summary of the Proceedings of Government relative to a claim made by Mr. Fisher, Head Assistant Collector of South Africa, for the full salary of his appointment during the subsidiary leave granted him for visiting his appointment on his return from special leave.

4 Copies Dec 14/70

To The Secretary to the Govt of India
Financial Dept

for

No. of Govt. Dec. 1870
Nos 85. 87

12th Dec 70
7-2004
I am directed to submit for the order of the Govt of India the accompanying copy of Govt. relative to a claim made by Mr. Fisher M. P. for the full salary of his appointment during the subsidiary leave which had recently been granted him for visiting his appointment on his return from special leave.
Under the seal of the Govt of India
dated

Dated 24 April 1864
No 501, an Officer who
^{may} have served
for thirty-three months,
continuously performed
the privilege have to
which this service
entitled him, if
he proposed to pro-
ceed on special leave
or perhaps entirely
quit. There was
some delay in some cases
caused by the promise
of a ^{copy of the} ~~copy~~ of the
promised Officer,
but it is with
to privilege have,
and also ^{may} ~~will~~ ^{be} ~~be~~
affiliated from
the ^{copy} ~~copy~~ of the
promised Officer,
to enjoy
the privilege
of ^{the} ~~the~~ ^{copy} ~~copy~~

~~prolonging~~ ^{such} ~~and~~ leave a full allowance.

3. Mr. Lister to whom
three months' privilege
leave were due when
the special leave
was granted now
submit that under
the spirit of the later
part of the
Ruling above referred
to, ~~he~~ ^{him} is entitled to
full salary during
the subsidiary leave
for visiting.
~~in question~~

4. The Board
considers that the ruling
of the G. of India is
not quite clear on
the point, but thinks
that it means that they
specifically leave or
furlough for fift,

by doing so, any privi-
lege leave to which
they may then be
entitled.

The R.H. the Gov.
with is of opinion
that Officers who have
not availed themselves
of ^{bridge leave} privilege leave, are
fairly entitled to
enjoy subsidiary leave
whenever today, on the
same favorable terms
as to salary, as privi-
lege leave. I am
repeatedly directed
to recommend that
the ruling of the Govt.
of India in regard to
this subject be
continued, and that
subsidiary leave,

W. H. S.

Whether to know
preparatory or sub-
sequent to furlough
or special leave

L. A.

Left for the sea
Mr. Lupton's claim
to full salary
during his absence
leave

8.