

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1930	Press Mis.
85-87.	Dated 5-12-1820	<i>N</i>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th December 1870.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 85. From A. L. LISTER, Esq., Head Assistant to the Collector and Magistrate of South Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 31st October 1870.

I HAVE the honour to ask you to lay before Government this application of mine that they should recommend the Government of India to order the full pay of my substantive appointment to be disbursed to me from the 20th instant, the date of my arrival at Madras, on the following grounds:—

Three months' privilege leave were due to me when I took special leave; the same principle which induced the Government of India to allow full pay during subsidiary or preparatory leave when privilege leave is due, would seem to require a similar indulgence during subsidiary or rejoining leave when privilege leave is still theoretically due.

2. I am informed, through the Accountant-General's Department, that the privilege leave which was due to me has lapsed through my taking six months special leave. This is not distinctly laid down in the rules, and I beg to ask that the question may be authoritatively settled.

No. 526.

REFERRED to the Accountant-General for his remarks.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
2nd November 1870.

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

No. 86. From the Accountant General, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 4th November 1870, No. 1,243.

With reference to the endorsement of 2nd instant on Mr. Lister's letter, dated 31st ultimo, the undersigned has the honour to state that it is not quite clear whether the subsidiary leave or privilege leave pay, which is allowable under the Orders of the Government of India, No. 101, dated 24th April 1869, to a Covenanted Civil Servant to whom privilege leave is due at the time he may proceed on furlough, or other leave, can be allowed when such an officer returns and gets subsidiary leave to rejoin his appointment. The point would, therefore, seem properly referable to the Government of India for decision.

2. It will be seen from the Notification at page 576 of the *Fort Saint George Gazette* of 1857, that privilege leave lapses by an officer taking special leave.

(Signed) F. LUSHINGTON,
Accountant-General.

No. 87.

ORDER THEREON, 5th December 1870, No. 1,930.

Miscellaneous.

UNDER the Orders of the Government of India under date 24th April 1869, No. 101, an officer who might have served for thirty-three months continuously forfeited the privilege leave to

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th December 1870.*

which this service entitled him, if he proposed to proceed on special leave or furlough within six months. These orders were in some measure relaxed by the provision in paragraph 2 of the ruling which permitted officers, who might be entitled to privilege leave, and who might make application for special leave or furlough, to enjoy the regulated preparatory or subsidiary leave on full allowances.

2. Mr. Lister now submits that, under the spirit of the latter part of the ruling, he is entitled to full salary during the subsidiary leave allowed for rejoining his appointment on his return from special leave.

3. The Accountant-General considers that the ruling of the Government of India is not quite clear on the point; but observes that officers taking special leave or furlough forfeit, by doing so, any privilege leave to which they may be then entitled.

4. The Right Honourable the Governor in Council is of opinion that officers who have not availed themselves of privilege leave are fairly entitled to enjoy subsidiary leave, whenever taken, on the same favourable terms, as to salary, as privilege leave. He accordingly resolves to recommend that the ruling of the Government of India, under date 24th April 1869, No. 101, be declared applicable to subsidiary leave whether taken as preparatory or subsequent to furlough or special leave.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To A. L. Lister, Esq.
,, the Accountant-General
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

3

From A. L. Lister Esq. M.A.
 Head Assistant Collector & Magistrate of South Arcot District
 To R. A. Seligman Esq.
 Act. Secretary to Government

Sir, I have the honour to ask you to lay before Government the application of mine that they should recommend the Government of India to order the full pay of my substantive appointment to be disbursed to me.

Govt. of Madras

REVENUE.

To

A. L. LISTER, Esq.

Esq., &c., &c.

Dated December, 5th.

Recd.

Per

G. O., 5th December 1870, No. 1,330.

DECEMBER.
 Nos. 85-87.

Mr. Lister's salary while on subsidiary leave.—Letters from the Accountant-General and Mr. Lister on this subject with orders thereon.

Mr. Lister's salary while on subsidiary leave.—Letters from the Accountant-General and Mr. Lister on this subject with orders thereon.

from the 20th inst. the date of my arrival at Madras - on the following grounds:—

Three months privilege leave were due to me when I took special leave; the same principle which induced the Government of India to allow full pay during subsidiary or preparatory leave when privilege leave is due, would seem to require a similar indulgence during subsidiary or re-joining leave when privilege leave is still theoretically due.

I am informed through the Accountant-General's department that the privilege leave which was due to me has lapsed through my taking six months special leave; this is not distinctly laid down in the Rules and I beg to ask that the question may be authoritatively settled.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your most obed^t serv^t
Allister

Madras. October 31st. 1870.

proposed to proceed, on
special leave or furlough
within six months. These
orders were in some measure

relaxed by the provision in
para 2 of the ruling which
permitted officers who
might be entitled to privilege
leave and who might make
application for special
leave or furlough to enjoy
the regulated preparatory
or subsidiary leave on
full allowances.


2. Mr. Lister now
submits that under the
spirit of the latter part of the ruling
he

he is entitled to full
salary during the sub-
sidiary leave allowed
for rejoining his appoint-
ment on his return from
special leave.

3. The Accountant
General considers that
the ruling of the Govt
of India is not quite
clear on the point, but
observes that officers
taking special leave
or furlough forfeit, by
doing so, any privilege
leave to which they
may be then entitled.

4. The Right Hon^{ble} the
Governor

Governor in Council is of
opinion that officers who
have not availed themselves
of privilege leave are fairly
entitled to enjoy subsidiary
leave, whenever taken, on
the same favorable terms,
as to salary, as privilege
leave. He accordingly
resolves to recommend that
the ruling of the Govt of India
under date 24. April 1869, No 108
be declared applicable to
subsidiary leave whether
taken as preparatory or
subsequent to furlough or
special leave.



1 To Lt Col. Lester Esqr. D. B. Can
14. The Officer of the
6. The Officer of the