

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	/ 78	Press
		<i>M</i>
52-54		
Dated	8-2-1878	N

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th February 1870.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 52. From the Officiating Accountant-General, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 7th October 1869, No. 208.

I HAVE the honour, with reference to the Government Order, No. 2,714, dated 4th October 1869, to forward two Bills as per margin the retrenchments on which amount to Rupees 846-5-0, as set forth in the two Objection Statements, copies of which are enclosed.

			Retrenchments.		
RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
Trichinopoly bill.	735	4 0	644	4 0	
Tanjore do.	365	1 0	202	1 0	
	1,100	5 0	846	5 0	

2. I also beg to observe that although Tanjore is more distant from Madras than Trichinopoly yet the Mirassidars of the former district have drawn at the following rates, viz., at 31-12-0, 33-2-0, 34-4-0, and 33-10-0 each, while those of the latter have drawn at Rupees 105 each.

FORWARDED to the Collector of Trichinopoly for his remarks.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
16th October 1869.

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

No. 53. From the Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Trichinopoly, 29th November 1869, No. 66.

WITH reference to the letter from the Accountant-General to your address, under date the 7th of October, No. 208, forwarded on the 16th idem, under wrapper, for my remarks, I have the honour to state that the sum of 105 rupees paid to each of the Mirassidars was actually under what they allege it cost them to proceed to Madras. They travelled in company with the Tahsildars, and I charged 105 rupees, being the average of the sums expended by the Tahsildars for their trip. These men could not go without a servant; they must necessarily have kept some sort of conveyance when at Madras; and, in fact, the fairest way of treating them is to consider what they would have received as daily subsistence had their summons been to the High Court and not to the Board of Revenue. This amount was taken as our guide, in addition to the second-class Railway fare paid by them when they accompanied me.

2. I beg to enclose copy of my letter to the Commissioner which goes more into details on this subject.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Letter.

No. 54. ORDER THEREON, 8th February 1870, No. 173.

Miscellaneous.

UNDER the circumstances stated in the Collector of Trichinopoly's letter, the Government sanction the charges

referred to in the Accountant-General's letter above recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
„ the Board of Revenue.
„ the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Richmond Collector's Office
18 May 1870
No. 223.

From
Geo. & Barbary Esquire,
Collector of Richmond
To
R. & C. Puckle Esquire,
Collector of Richmond
a/c

Sir,
I have the honor to forward the accompanying Bill of travelling and other allowances due to the two Subalterns of this District, who accompanied me according to your President's request when at hearing before your Committee. It has that your will countersign, and then to the Accountant General with your recommendations for sanction and return to me. The boarding expenses have been fixed at the rate allowed for persons of their standing

6061. of 1870: 20th June

REVENUE.

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Ac. 60. 60.

Dated February, 1870.

Recd.

G. O. 315 February 1870, No. 178.

FEBRUARY.

NO. 22-54.

This bill is not to be presented to the Committee before the 1st of the month of June, 1870. The witnesses must be then produced.


standing in society when summoned
as witnesses before the High Court

2. I presume the same rules
will hold good as regards the witness-
damages would recommend that
they should be allowed at \$100 each
being the average of the amount
due to the Sahit Sahib & others
thus provided for ourselves and for
each although I must allow that
Kumbhak's son Sahibraiah assumes
me he could not possibly get on
with less than nine domestics & his
to accompany him on roads and
to see extra when he arrived at
a place as I should remain at the
attendant myself, this sum in his
I think sufficient for each Mirastan.

at hand &c.
Signed Geo Rankin
Collector

See Copy
Geo Rankin
Collector

Copy 111



No 3240

For Mr. Culbertson's order

The charge for the building
for the station & servants
is a questionable one. The
land is the property
of the Government
(Minister of Irrigation)
for his manors. But General's remarks
ask for more than than
from Jaipur also must
attention.

Forwarded to the Col of P. & C. for his remarks

Done in the
presence of the
Magistrate

Signature

Revenue Department.

No-178.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Under date the 8th ^{July} January 1870.

Read the following

papers.

From the Dy Acct. General, Madras,

Forwarding 2 bills, with refer-
-ence to the Govt. order No 2714
dated 4th Oct^r 1869.

H.E. 4th Oct^r 1869, No 208.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly,

Submg. Remarks, concerning
certain objections taken by the
Acct. Gen^l to the Travelling
allowances paid to Tahsildars
& Mirasidars who appeared
before the ^{1st} Commission at Madras

H.E. 29th Nov^r 1869, No 66.

Under the circum-

stances stated, in the Collector

of Trichinopoly's letter, the

Government sanction the

charges referred to in

the Accountant General's

letter

of
Crawley, N. H.
to the Pass
at the top of
the P. H. Corridor
See also

186