M.R.O. 1—23,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1660 Press ~~Mis.~~

327-328.

Dated 28.10.1870 ~~19~~

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 327. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th August 1870, No. 5,372:—

Read the following letter from the Officiating Collector of the Kistna District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 26th July 1870, No. 3,482.

THE Board of Revenue in their Proceedings, dated 21st June 1870, No. 4,296, have requested me to explain more fully my reasons for recommending the proposed appropriation of savings upon the abolition of the Yendapilly Salt Station.

2. These savings amount to Rupees 65 a-month, out of which Government have, in their Order, dated 6th June 1870, No. 828, Revenue Department, sanctioned—

	Rs.
1. Temporary retention of three Vettyans for Gannamparra platform, on Rupees 5	15
2. Addition to the pay of Shroff at Nizampatam	5
	20

The proposals regarding which I have to afford explanation are—

3. Addition to pay of Assistant Superintendent at Chinna Pondraka, now Rupees 20	5
4. At Mangenapudi an additional Gumastah, on Rupees 15, and three additional Vettyans, on Rupees 5	30
5. At Nizampatam two additional Vettyans, on Rupees 5 ...	10
Total...	45

3. As the Gannamparra platform is to be temporarily retained, and the duty of looking after it will devolve upon the Superintendent and Assistant Superintendent of Chinna Pondraka since the Yendapilly Assistant Superintendent has been abolished, and as the Gannamparra platform is a considerable distance from the other platforms, I considered that on account of the additional labour and responsibility an addition of Rupees 5 a-month to the pay of the Assistant Superintendent who is left would not be excessive.

4. With regard to my proposal for Mangenapudi I would beg to draw the Board's attention to the Honourable T. Clarke's Administration Report, dated 25th May 1864, paragraphs 73 to 80, from which it will be seen that his proposal was to raise the establishment of this station to the strength of that of the Ganjam Division. This was done, but subsequently it was found necessary to transfer two Clerks on Rupees 15 and six Measurers at Rupees 6 to Nizampatam in consequence of the establishment proposed by Mr. Clarke being found quite inadequate for the work of that station (Government Order, dated 29th May 1865, No. 1,128, Revenue Department).

5. Mr. Clarke has referred to the Kona swamp; an Assistant Superintendent or Clerk, with some of the other salt servants of Mangenapudi, has to be deputed every year for at least six months, or till the monsoon has set in to destroy the spontaneous salt that here forms in large quantities. This reduces the strength of the establishment at Mangenapudi just where the work is heaviest. On this account it

The

has been proposed to give an additional Gumastah and three Vettyans to Mangonapudi.

6. With respect to increasing the establishment at Nizampatam, this is rendered necessary by Mr. Clarke having apparently overlooked the necessity of guarding the swamps at Perali in the Bapatla Taluq, where there was formerly a Salt Depôt, and where spontaneous salt now forms largely which has to be destroyed. As no men could be spared for this work from the Nizampatam establishment, it has been usual to depute two Vettyans for this purpose from the Yendapilly station now abolished. My proposal is to add these two Vettyans to the Nizampatam station on this account.

SUBMITTED to Government, with reference to their Order, No. 828, of the 6th June last.

2. The Board consider the Collector's proposal to raise the pay of the Assistant at Chinna Pondraka not unreasonable, and it will be observed that he only proposes to raise the Mangenapudi establishment to its original strength; the additional Vettyans for Nizampatam are obviously necessary, in consequence of the abolition of Yendapilly.

No. 328. ORDER THEREON, 28th October 1870, No. 1,660.

Separate Revenue, No.
Salt.

UNDER the circumstances now stated by the Collector, the Right Honourable the Governor in Council sanctions the following proposals:—

	RS.
Increase of the pay of the Assistant Superintendent at Chinna Pondraka from Rupees 20 to 25 per mensem ...	5
Employment of an additional Gumastah on Rupees 15, and three additional Vettyans on Rupees 5 each at Mangenapudi	30
Employment of two additional Vettyans on Rupees 5 at Nizampatam...	10
Total...	45

2. The total cost of Rupees 45 will be met from the savings effected by the abolition of the Yendapilly salt station.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

The

Revenue Department
No. 1660.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
dated the 28th October 1870.

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting letter from Collector,
explaining his reasons for recommending that services be effected by abolition of Gundapilli Salt Station in Kistna District, & appropriation & retaining existing establishment at certain other stations —

(G. O. 19th August 1870, No. 5372.)

Under the circumstances
stated by the Collector,

Read

Right Honorable the Governor in
Council sanctions the following
proposals:-

Increase of the pay of
the Assistant Superintendent at
Chinna Gondalakunta from Rs. 20 to
25 per mensem ——— Rs. 5-

Employment of an
additional Gumastah on Rs.
15 and three additional Peliyans
on Rs. 5 each at Mangalapudi — 30.

Employment of two
additional Peliyans at Rs. 5
at Nizampatam ——— 10.

Total 45

Rec

Govt. of {1870} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

&c., &c., &c.

Dated October, 28th.

Read. Encl.

G. O., 28th October 1870, No. 1,660.

OCTOBER.
Nos. 327-328.

Salt establishments at certain stations in Kistna District.—Proposals for strengthening them, sanctioned.

The total cost of Rs 45 will be met from the savings effected by the abolition of the Gendapilly Salt Station.

14 Board of Revenue Despatch
6 Accountant General.

Revenue Department

No. 2592.

~~From~~ Board's Proceedings. No. 5372

Dated 19 August 1870.

For Orders

The Collector seems to have made good his case & should therefore be authorized by the Govt.

L. D. S